

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING
OF THE
DRUG, GANG AND VIOLENT CRIME COMMITTEE
OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
AND
AGENDA**

Pursuant to A.R.S § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee** of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission and to the general public that the **Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee** will hold a meeting open to the public on **Thursday, May 24, 2012** beginning at **11:00 a.m.** at the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.**

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- I. Call to Order and Roll Call** **Chairperson Bill Montgomery**
Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee Members:
Bill Montgomery, Chairperson
Joseph Arpaio
Clarence Dupnik
Tom Horne
Robert Huddleston
Ralph Ogden
Charles Ryan
- II. Minutes of the March 22, 2012 Meeting** **P-F-T**
- Approval of Minutes **P-F-T**
- III. Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 26 Grant Awards** **Tony Vidale**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on FY13 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control (Cycle 26) Grant Awards. **P-F-T**

IV. Call to the Public

Those wishing to address the Committee need not request permission in advance. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

V. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

- The next Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee meeting will be at the call of the Chairperson.

VI. Adjournment

A copy of the agenda background material provided to Committee members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office.



**DRUG, GANG AND VIOLENT CRIME COMMITTEE
OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

Request for Committee Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the March 22, 2012 Meeting

TO: Chairperson and Committee Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager
Drug Control and Systems Improvement

RECOMMENDATION:

The Committee approve the minutes of the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee Meeting held on March 22, 2012.

DISCUSSION:

N/A

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee
Minutes
March 22, 2012**

A public meeting of the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on March 22, 2012 at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Members Present:

Bill Montgomery, Maricopa County Attorney
Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff, Ray Churay representing
Clarence Dupnik, Pima County Sheriff, Warren Alter representing
Robert Huddleston, Chief, Casa Grande Police Department
Tom Horne, Attorney General, Andrew Pacheco representing
Ralph Ogden, Sheriff, Yuma County

Members Absent:

Charles Ryan, Director, Department of Corrections

Guests Participating:

Charles Loftus, Attorney General's Office

Staff Participating:

Tony Vidale, Program Manager
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

I. Call to Order and Roll Call

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Bill Montgomery at 10:03 a.m. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present.

II. Minutes of the January 19, 2012 Meeting

Chairperson Montgomery called for a motion on the minutes. Designee Andrew Pacheco entered a motion to approve the minutes of the January 19, 2012 meeting. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Ralph Ogden and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

III. Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 26 Grant Fund Reductions

Tony Vidale, Program Manager summarized the current program size for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program during FY12 and the projected program size during FY13 and FY14. In FY12, the grant program size has been set at \$11.7 million; however, it is estimated that the reduction in funds for FY13 will be approximately \$3.8 million leaving a \$7.9 million program. Additionally, staff has projected that in FY14 there may be a further decrease caused by a reduction in the Byrne/JAG grant totaling \$700,000. Mr. Vidale referred the Committee to the chart on page seven of the agenda that outlines the program funding sources for FY10 through FY14.

Mr. Vidale discussed in detail various funding reduction strategies to the Committee; they consist of: 1) Program reductions with no match; 2) Instituting a 25 percent match; 3) Utilizing program income on the project and requiring non-budgeted program income be spent before

grant funds; and 4) Coordinating outside funding sources. Mr. Vidale stressed that the program reduction options he outlined may not have an impact on the grant program size this fiscal year but could present challenges in the long term. He further stated that no matter what options or combination of options that are agreed upon, some level of reduction must occur.

Chairperson Bill Montgomery stated that a representative from the Attorney General's Office asked to present some additional comments on fund reductions.

Charles Loftus, Supervisory Agent, Financial Remedy Section of the Attorney General's Office offered two proposals. The first is to allow a surcharge on forfeitures and Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations (RICO) funding and second to implement the twenty-five percent match and use program income to supplement.

The Committee reviewed, discussed and considered several funding reduction options. The first is a requirement for a match up to twenty-five percent in which an agency would provide funding equal to a set percentage based on the amount of the grant allocation. The second is to evaluate the program's performance and effectiveness in each tier. The third would be to coordinate efforts with other federally funded programs prior to making funding allocation recommendations. The fourth is to encourage agencies to expend any additional discretionary income before requesting additional grant monies.

Commissioner Ralph Ogden entered a motion to recommend to the Commission the four options to address reductions in the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 26 grant funds eligible to criminal justice agencies for the period beginning July 1, 2012 and ending June 30, 2013. The motion was seconded by Designee Ray Churay and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

IV. Call to the Public

Chairperson Montgomery made a call to the public. No members of the audience addressed the Committee.

V. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

The next Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee meeting will be held on **Thursday, May 24, 2012** at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

VI. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 11:30 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

Audio recording available upon request.



**DRUG, GANG AND VIOLENT CRIME COMMITTEE
OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

Request for Committee Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 26 Grant Awards

TO: Chairperson and Committee Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager
Drug Control and Systems Improvement

RECOMMENDATION:

The Committee recommend to the Commission the award of the Arizona Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Cycle 26 grant funds to eligible criminal justice agencies for the period beginning July 1, 2012 and ending June 30, 2013.

DISCUSSION:

See attached

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant to recipient agencies

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

Program Background

The Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Program (DC) allows state, county, local and tribal governments to support activities that combat drugs, gangs, and violent crime. The DC program provides funding to support the components of a statewide, system-wide enhanced drug, gang, and violent crime control program as stated in the *2012-2015 Arizona Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Strategy*. The strategy includes the following two goals for the foundation and directions for the program:

- *Curtail the flow of illicit drugs, drug proceeds and instruments used to perpetuate violence across Arizona.*
- *Reduce violent crime and illicit drug use and deter repeat offenders in Arizona.*

The strategy also outlines purpose areas as a guide to funding projects meant to address the drug, gang, and violent crime problem in the state. These purpose areas are as follows:

- Apprehension
- Prosecution
- Forensic Support Services
- Adjudication & Sentencing
- Corrections & Community Corrections
- Substance Abuse Treatment for Corrections-Involved Individuals
- Prevention and Education

In FY 2012, grant awards were made in the amount of \$11.7 million. These funds were awarded to projects addressing apprehension of drug offenders, tandem prosecution of drug offenses, statewide forfeiture activities, forensic support of task forces, and drug adjudication projects. Table **DC 1** on page 10 shows a summary of the FY 2012 grant awards, the FY 2013 grant requests made by applicants, and the FY 2013 proposed program size.

Funding

The program is supported by several funding streams to successfully carry out the statewide strategy. The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne/JAG) funds awarded to Arizona by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance (DOJ/BJA) continue to support program activities along with state Drug and Gang Enforcement Account (DEA) funds established under A.R.S. §41-2402, and matching funds when approved by the Commission. At its March 2012 meeting, the Commission approved implementing a match requirement for the FY 2013 grant in response to a large reduction in overall program revenue.

In FY 2012, \$11.7 was allocated to grant projects. This amount was comprised of \$9.3 million in Byrne/JAG funds and \$2.4 million in DEA funds. Agencies did not contribute a match in FY 2012.

For FY 2013, staff was projecting a significant decrease in the program size of approximately \$3.4 million dollars from the FY 2012 funding levels due to declining revenue from the Byrne/JAG grant and DEA fund collections. In response, the Commission implemented a 25 percent match for the FY 2013 grant year to help maintain a stable program size. The decrease in available funding, however, was not as large as expected. This was driven by two main factors. The first was a decision by the Legislature to not implement a fund sweep for the DEA fund. The net effect was the availability of \$489,000 for grant projects. The second factor was unexpended federal grant funds, most notably unexpended administrative funds from the ARRA

grant and the Maricopa County Attorney's Office decision to expend unbudgeted program income in lieu of project grant funds.

Staff is proposing a program size of \$11,315,922. Of this amount, \$4,909,038 is from the federal Byrne/JAG grant, \$4,143,700 is from the state DEA fund, and \$2,263,184 is from matching funds. As part of this program size, staff is recommending a match of 20 percent instead of the approved 25 percent, reducing the overall match commitment by \$(565,796). This will ease the burden for funded projects to secure match monies. The FY 2013 program size represents a decrease of \$(351,228) from the FY 2012 program size. The table below summarizes the change in program size, by fund source, for FY 2012 and FY 2013.

Program Size FY 2012 – FY 2013			
	FY 2012	FY 2013 (Recommended)	Change
Program Size	\$ 11,667,150	\$ 11,315,922	\$ (351,228)
Fund Source:			
Byrne/JAG	\$ 9,304,722	\$ 4,909,038	\$ (4,395,684)
DEA Fund	\$ 2,362,428	\$ 4,143,700	\$ 1,781,272
Match Funds	\$0	\$ 2,263,184	\$ 2,263,184
Total	\$ 11,667,150	\$ 11,315,922	\$ (351,228)

Applications Received

The solicitation for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program opened February 27, 2012 and closed March 23, 2012. Thirty-five applications were received requesting a total of \$15,671,055. Of the 35 applications, 14 were apprehension projects (Tier 1), 16 were tandem prosecution projects (Tier 1), one was a statewide forfeiture project (Tier 1), two were forensic support projects (Tier 2), one was a drug adjudication project (Tier 2), and one was a corrections project (Tier 2). Table **DC 2** on page 11 displays the grant request for each eligible applicant broken out by priority area and expenditure type.

Evaluation and Scoring

Eligible applications were reviewed by an evaluation team consisting of five ACJC staff and one outside evaluator. The projects were scored based on the criteria published in the solicitation with an emphasis on the goals of the statewide strategy. In addition, the solicitation emphasized that personnel expenditures would be prioritized in the budget recommendation allocation. Table **DC 4** on page 13 shows the summary of scores.

Recommendation

Staff recommends funding according to table **DC 3** on page 12. Under the proposal, \$3,852,863 would be allocated to tier one apprehension projects, \$3,919,604 for tier one tandem prosecution projects, \$983,862 for a tier one statewide forfeiture project, \$549,284 for tier two forensic support projects and \$2,010,309 for tier two drug adjudication projects. All of the funding would support personnel costs for grant projects.

Considering the funding reductions the program faced, across-the-board reductions were not implemented as a funding strategy. For applicants scored as eligible, all expenditure types were analyzed by staff and allocated based on the needs and best interests of the grant program in meeting the statewide strategy. The following guidelines were used by staff to build the recommendation:

- If the project was previously funded, try to keep the overall project size level.
- Maintain balance funding between tier one apprehension and prosecution projects.
- Fund at least one tier one project in each county.
- Provide some level of funding to tier two projects that are impacted by apprehension and prosecution activities.
- Prioritize funding core operations positions. Core operations positions are those considered most critical in meeting the purposes of the strategy (i.e. task force officers, attorneys, criminalists).
- Fund a position and the associated ERE at the current costs as reported by the agency in the application.
- As a priority, fund personal services, ERE, and overtime before considering any other budget category.
- Avoid funding multiple-type support positions for any project.

There are significant reductions recommended for some agencies that were funded in FY 2012. The Cochise County Sheriff's Office will no longer operate as a task force and the recommendation only includes overtime expenditures for officers to conduct drug case investigations. The Kingman Police Department submitted a proposal that was lower than their FY 2012 award. The recommendation fully funds the agency's personnel request. The Pinal County Sheriff's Office and the Arizona Administrative Office of the Courts proposals requested budget expenditures other than personal services, ERE, or overtime. The recommendation for both of these agencies fully funds their personnel requests.

Two agencies funded in FY 2012 are not recommended for funding. The La Paz County Sheriff's Office and the Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office did not submit.

Two new requests were not recommended for funding based on their application and alignment with the requirements of the program. The Mesa City Prosecutor's Office proposal did not adequately meet the requirements of the grant program. The Department of Corrections proposal requested funding for budget expenditures other than personal services, ERE, or overtime, which was not recommended for any agency submitting a proposal for FY 2013.

DC 1 Summary of FY 2013 Grant Requests & Proposed Awards			
APPLICANT AGENCY	FY12 Grant Awards	FY13 Grant Request	FY13 Proposed Grant Awards
Tier 1 Apprehension			
Apache County SO	\$ 246,008	\$ 365,916	\$ 246,008
Cochise County SO	175,210	175,238	135,695
Flagstaff PD	298,117	398,682	298,117
Gila County SO	344,288	376,410	344,288
Graham County SO	29,152	32,151	29,152
Greenlee County SO	32,560	70,000	32,560
Kingman PD	370,117	350,993	350,993
La Paz County SO	131,776	-	-
Maricopa County SO	383,560	402,084	383,560
Navajo County SO	245,193	404,336	245,193
Pinal County SO	166,313	163,343	151,343
Prescott Valley PD	418,399	1,371,081	418,399
Santa Cruz County SO	178,696	236,964	178,696
Tucson PD	787,010	1,017,891	787,010
Yuma SO	251,849	252,005	251,849
Sub-Total	\$ 4,058,248	\$ 5,617,094	\$ 3,852,863
Tier 1 Prosecution			
Apache County Attorney	\$ 96,152	\$ 140,307	\$ 96,152
Cochise County Attorney	167,425	208,269	167,425
Coconino County Attorney	146,080	288,620	146,080
Gila County Attorney	67,727	67,727	67,727
Graham County Attorney	54,284	72,300	54,284
Greenlee County Attorney	36,600	38,381	36,600
La Paz County Attorney	77,435	145,081	77,435
Maricopa County Attorney	1,482,804	1,772,211	1,482,804
Mesa City Prosecutor	-	157,033	-
Mohave County Attorney	165,935	367,786	165,935
Navajo County Attorney	117,040	209,635	117,040
Pima County Attorney	555,071	748,133	555,071
Pinal County Attorney	198,598	269,239	198,598
Santa Cruz County Attorney	52,838	-	-
Tucson City Prosecutor	332,171	393,227	332,171
Yavapai County Attorney	134,115	146,157	134,115
Yuma County Attorney	288,167	292,562	288,167
Sub-Total	\$ 3,972,442	\$ 5,316,668	\$ 3,919,604
Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities			
Attorney General's Office	\$ 983,862	\$ 1,845,929	\$ 983,862
Sub-Total	\$ 983,862	\$ 1,845,929	\$ 983,862
Tier 2 Forensic Support			
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 482,388	\$ 597,199	\$ 482,388
Tucson PD - Forensics	66,896	116,096	66,896
Sub-Total	\$ 549,284	\$ 713,295	\$ 549,284
Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections			
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 2,103,314	\$ 2,063,274	\$ 2,010,309
Arizona Department of Corrections	\$ -	\$ 114,795	\$ -
Sub-Total	\$ 2,103,314	\$ 2,178,069	\$ 2,010,309
TOTAL	\$ 11,667,150	\$ 15,671,055	\$ 11,315,922

DC 2 FY 2013 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Requests									
Applicant Agency	Salary	Overtime	ERE	Prof./ Outside Svs	In-State Travel	Out-of-State Travel	Operating Expense	Equipment	Total FY12 Requested
Tier 1 Apprehension									
Apache County SO	\$ 231,610	\$ -	\$ 134,306	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 365,916
Cochise County SO	32,024	135,695	7,519	-	-	-	-	-	175,238
Flagstaff PD	200,937	66,558	111,637	-	3,000	3,600	12,950	-	398,682
Gila County SO	262,766	-	113,644	-	-	-	-	-	376,410
Graham County SO	-	30,151	-	-	-	-	-	2,000	32,151
Greenlee County SO	-	67,500	-	-	2,500	-	-	-	70,000
Kingman PD	220,563	-	130,430	-	-	-	-	-	350,993
La Paz County SO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Maricopa County SO	259,556	-	142,528	-	-	-	-	-	402,084
Navajo County SO	263,000	-	141,336	-	-	-	-	-	404,336
Pinal County SO	101,929	-	49,414	-	-	-	12,000	-	163,343
Prescott Valley PD	749,494	177,478	353,249	-	-	-	90,860	-	1,371,081
Santa Cruz County SO	153,381	-	83,583	-	-	-	-	-	236,964
Tucson PD	698,222	-	319,669	-	-	-	-	-	1,017,891
Yuma SO	183,243	-	68,762	-	-	-	-	-	252,005
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 3,356,725	\$ 477,382	\$ 1,656,077	\$ -	\$ 5,500	\$ 3,600	\$115,810	\$ 2,000	\$ 5,617,094
Tier 1 Prosecution									
Apache County Attorney	106,552	-	33,755	-	-	-	-	-	140,307
Cochise County Attorney	162,656	-	45,613	-	-	-	-	-	208,269
Coconino County Attorney	213,840	-	74,780	-	-	-	-	-	288,620
Gila County Attorney	51,293	-	16,434	-	-	-	-	-	67,727
Graham County Attorney	54,550	-	17,750	-	-	-	-	-	72,300
Greenlee County Attorney	30,705	-	7,676	-	-	-	-	-	38,381
La Paz County Attorney	106,677	-	38,404	-	-	-	-	-	145,081
Maricopa County Attorney	1,323,615	-	448,596	-	-	-	-	-	1,772,211
Mesa City Prosecutor	-	7,578	-	-	-	-	149,455	-	157,033
Mohave County Attorney	262,704	-	105,082	-	-	-	-	-	367,786
Navajo County Attorney	152,153	-	47,359	-	2,383	-	4,740	3,000	209,635
Pima County Attorney	592,191	-	155,942	-	-	-	-	-	748,133
Pinal County Attorney	209,309	-	59,930	-	-	-	-	-	269,239
Santa Cruz County Attorney	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Tucson City Prosecutor	257,191	-	136,036	-	-	-	-	-	393,227
Yavapai County Attorney	108,833	-	37,324	-	-	-	-	-	146,157
Yuma County Attorney	217,739	-	74,823	-	-	-	-	-	292,562
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 3,850,008	\$ 7,578	\$ 1,299,504	\$ -	\$ 2,383	\$ -	\$154,195	\$ 3,000	\$ 5,316,668
Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities									
Attorney General's Office	\$ 1,335,385	\$ -	\$ 510,544	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,845,929
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 1,335,385	\$ -	\$ 510,544	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,845,929
Tier 2 Forensic Support									
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 446,089	\$ -	\$ 151,110	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 597,199
Tucson PD - Forensics	80,622	-	35,474	-	-	-	-	-	116,096
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 526,711	\$ -	\$ 186,584	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 713,295
Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections									
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,506,771	\$ -	\$ 503,555	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52,948	\$ -	\$ 2,063,274
Arizona Department of Corrections	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 88,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,795	\$ 19,600	\$ 114,795
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 1,506,771	\$ -	\$ 503,555	\$88,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 59,743	\$19,600	\$ 2,178,069
TOTAL	\$ 10,575,600	\$ 484,960	\$ 4,156,264	\$88,400	\$ 7,883	\$ 3,600	\$329,748	\$24,600	\$ 15,671,055

DC 3 FY 2013 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Proposed Awards									
Applicant Agency	Personal Services	Overtime	ERE Costs	Prof./ Outside Svs	In-State Travel	Out-of-State Travel	Operating Expense	Equipment	Total FY12 Approved
Tier 1 Apprehension									
Apache County SO	\$ 150,827	\$ -	\$ 95,181	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 246,008
Cochise County SO	-	98,060	37,635	-	-	-	-	-	135,695
Flagstaff PD	190,882	-	107,235	-	-	-	-	-	298,117
Gila County SO	241,422	-	102,866	-	-	-	-	-	344,288
Graham County SO	-	21,825	7,327	-	-	-	-	-	29,152
Greenlee County SO	-	32,560	-	-	-	-	-	-	32,560
Kingman PD	220,563	-	130,430	-	-	-	-	-	350,993
La Paz County SO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Maricopa County SO	247,500	-	136,060	-	-	-	-	-	383,560
Navajo County SO	162,027	-	83,166	-	-	-	-	-	245,193
Pinal County SO	101,929	-	49,414	-	-	-	-	-	151,343
Santa Cruz County SO	113,705	-	64,991	-	-	-	-	-	178,696
Prescott Valley PD	287,058	-	131,341	-	-	-	-	-	418,399
Tucson PD	534,773	-	252,237	-	-	-	-	-	787,010
Yuma SO	183,140	-	68,709	-	-	-	-	-	251,849
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 2,433,826	\$ 152,445	\$ 1,266,592	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,852,863
Tier 1 Prosecution									
Apache County Attorney	73,794	-	22,358	-	-	-	-	-	96,152
Cochise County Attorney	131,382	-	36,043	-	-	-	-	-	167,425
Coconino County Attorney	108,345	-	37,735	-	-	-	-	-	146,080
Gila County Attorney	51,293	-	16,434	-	-	-	-	-	67,727
Graham County Attorney	40,971	-	13,313	-	-	-	-	-	54,284
Greenlee County Attorney	30,160	-	6,440	-	-	-	-	-	36,600
La Paz County Attorney	56,838	-	20,597	-	-	-	-	-	77,435
Maricopa County Attorney	1,121,747	-	361,057	-	-	-	-	-	1,482,804
Mesa City Prosecutor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Mohave County Attorney	118,553	-	47,382	-	-	-	-	-	165,935
Navajo County Attorney	89,050	-	27,990	-	-	-	-	-	117,040
Pima County Attorney	438,817	-	116,254	-	-	-	-	-	555,071
Pinal County Attorney	153,537	-	45,061	-	-	-	-	-	198,598
Santa Cruz County Attorney	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Tucson City Prosecutor	217,318	-	114,853	-	-	-	-	-	332,171
Yavapai County Attorney	100,461	-	33,654	-	-	-	-	-	134,115
Yuma County Attorney	214,056	-	74,111	-	-	-	-	-	288,167
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 2,946,322	\$ -	\$ 973,282	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,919,604
Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities									
Attorney General's Office	\$ 777,725	\$ -	\$ 206,137	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 983,862
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 777,725	\$ -	\$ 206,137	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 983,862
Tier 2 Forensic Support									
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 351,823	\$ -	\$ 130,565	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 482,388
Tucson PD - Forensics	46,513	-	20,383	-	-	-	-	-	66,896
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 398,336	\$ -	\$ 150,948	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 549,284
Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections									
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,506,771	\$ -	\$ 503,538	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,010,309
Arizona Department of Corrections	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 1,506,771	\$ -	\$ 503,538	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,010,309
TOTAL	\$ 8,062,980	\$ 152,445	\$ 3,100,497	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,315,922

DC 4 Summary of FY 2013 Scores

DRUG, GANG, AND VIOLENT CRIME CONTROL GRANT PROGRAM

Rank	Tier	APPLICANT AGENCY	Average Score
1	1	Kingman PD	923.33
2	1	Maricopa County SO	912.00
3	1	Pima County Attorney	905.50
4	1	Santa Cruz County SO	902.50
5	1	Coconino County Attorney	896.00
6	1	Flagstaff PD	882.50
7	1	Prescott Valley PD	880.00
8	1	Mohave County Attorney	871.67
9	2	Arizona Department of Public Safety Crime Lab	870.00
10	1	Maricopa County Attorney	865.00
10	1	Yuma County Attorney	865.00
12	1	Tucson PD Task Force	835.67
13	1	Pinal County SO	831.33
14	1	Cochise County Attorney	826.33
15	1	Apache County Attorney	824.33
16	2	Arizona Department of Corrections	823.33
17	1	Navajo County SO	822.50
18	2	Tucson PD Crime Lab	821.00
19	1	Apache County SO	800.00
19	1	Yavapai County Attorney	800.00
21	1	Gila County SO	793.33
22	1	Graham County Attorney	791.67
22	1	Tucson City Prosecutor	791.67
24	1	Navajo County Attorney	784.00
25	2	Administrative Office of the Courts	754.50
26	1	Attorney General's Office	748.33
27	1	Graham County SO	735.00
27	1	Pinal County Attorney	735.00
32	1	Yuma SO	698.67
29	1	Greenlee County Attorney	728.33
30	1	La Paz County Attorney	706.67
31	1	Gila County Attorney	703.33
33	1	Greenlee County SO	667.50
34	1	Cochise County SO	627.00
35	2	Mesa City Prosecutor	623.33