

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING  
OF THE  
DRUG, GANG AND VIOLENT CRIME COMMITTEE  
OF THE  
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION  
AND  
AGENDA**

Pursuant to A.R.S § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee** of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission and to the general public that the **Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee** will hold a meeting open to the public on **Thursday, May 23, 2013** beginning at **11:00 a.m.** at the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.**

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- I. Call to Order and Roll Call** **Chairperson Bill Montgomery**  
*Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee Members:*
  - Bill Montgomery, Chairperson
  - Joseph Arpaio
  - Tim Dorn
  - Clarence Dupnik
  - Tom Horne
  - Sheila Polk
  - Charles Ryan
  - Steven Sheldon
  
- II. Minutes of the March 21, 2013 Meeting**
  - Approval of Minutes **P-F-T**
  
- III. Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 27 Grant Awards** **Tony Vidale**
  - Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on FY14 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control (Cycle 27) Grant Awards. **P-F-T**

#### **IV. Call to the Public**

Those wishing to address the Committee need not request permission in advance. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

#### **V. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**

- The next Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee meeting will be held at the call of the Chairperson.

#### **VI. Adjournment**

A copy of the agenda background material provided to Committee members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office.



**DRUG, GANG AND VIOLENT CRIME COMMITTEE  
OF THE  
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

**Request for Committee Action**

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 23, 2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the March 21, 2013 Meeting

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Committee Members

**FROM:** Tony Vidale, Program Manager  
Drug Control and Systems Improvement

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Committee approve the minutes of the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee Meeting held on March 21, 2013.

**DISCUSSION:**

N/A

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee  
Minutes  
March 21, 2013**

A public meeting of the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on March 21, 2013 at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Members Present:

Bill Montgomery, Maricopa County Attorney  
Timothy Dorn, Chief, Gilbert Police Department  
Clarence Dupnik, Pima County Sheriff, Warren Alter representing  
Tom Horne, Attorney General, Paula Alleman representing  
Sheila Polk, Yavapai County Attorney  
Charles Ryan, Director, Department of Corrections, Jeff Hood representing

Members Absent:

Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff  
Steven Sheldon, Former Judge

Staff Participating:

Tony Vidale, Program Manager  
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

**I. Call to Order and Roll Call**

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Bill Montgomery at 9:01 a.m. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present.

**II. Minutes of the May 24, 2012 Meeting**

Chairperson Montgomery called for a motion on the minutes. Designee Warren Alter entered a motion to approve the minutes of the May 24, 2012 meeting. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Timothy Dorn and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

**III. Consideration of Match Requirement for FY 2014 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Grant**

Tony Vidale, Program Manager presented information to the Committee to assist them in determining whether or not to implement a match requirement for the FY 2014 grant year.

Mr. Vidale explained how the match program is a cost sharing plan between ACJC and the funded project, in which the agency provides funding equal to a set percentage based on the amount of grant funds allocated. Mr. Vidale stated benefits of the match program are that these resources help maintain a level of effort dedicated to addressing the drug problem in communities, grant recipients have a stake in knowing they are helping themselves by contributing resources to combat drug-related crime, and in some agencies the match requirement helps to secure or protect a funding set-aside for the project from the county or city appropriation authority.

Mr. Vidale also discussed how the match could be a challenge for some agencies because they may not have any discretionary funds to cover a match requirement and therefore refuse grant awards or experience project reductions. Mr. Vidale explained that this did not occur when a match was required last year. The Committee was referred to Table DC1 on page 8 of the agenda showing actual and estimated state and federal funding from FY 2011 through FY 2015.

Mr. Vidale presented three possible match options to consider and referred the Committee to Table DC2 on page 9 of the agenda. The first option requires no match with an award of \$8 million in funds available and grantees would not be obligated to commit any additional funds to the project. The second option establishes a 20 percent match provided by the programs that equates to approximately \$2 million and sets the program size at \$10 million. The third option is a 25 percent match by programs which is the maximum allowable under program rules that equates to approximately \$2.7 million and sets the program size at \$10.7 million.

After review and discussion, Commissioner Sheila Polk entered a motion to recommend to the Commission the support of a 20 percent match for the FY 2014 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Grant. The motion was seconded by Designee Warren Alter and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

**IV. Funding Priorities for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Grant**

Tony Vidale, Program Manager stated the Commission approved the use of a tiered system to establish funding priorities for the FY 2013 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Grant at the January 2012 meeting. The tiered system uses the purpose areas established and defined in the Arizona 2012-2015 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Strategy.

Mr. Vidale presented staff's recommendation to continue the use of the tiered system to establish priorities for the grant program as displayed in Table DC3 on page 12 of the agenda. Mr. Vidale explained instead of approving the tier structure on an annual basis staff is requesting the approval of the tier structure for the grant program. If in the future the Committee decides to shift priorities, this could be addressed in the January meeting before the grant solicitation is released. Also, the statewide strategy is reviewed and/or revised every four years so the tiered system would also be reviewed at that time.

Commissioner Timothy Dorn entered a motion to recommend to the Commission the approval of the continued funding priorities for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control program. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Sheila Polk and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

**V. Call to the Public**

Chairperson Montgomery made a call to the public. No members of the audience addressed the Committee.

**VI. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**

The next Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee meeting will be held on **Thursday, May 23, 2013** at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

**VII. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 9:30 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

Audio recording available upon request.



**DRUG, GANG AND VIOLENT CRIME COMMITTEE  
OF THE  
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

**Request for Committee Action**

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 23, 2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 27 Grant Awards

**TO:** Chairperson and Committee Members

**FROM:** Tony Vidale, Program Manager  
Drug Control and Systems Improvement

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Committee recommend to the Commission the award of the Arizona Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Cycle 27 grant funds to eligible criminal justice agencies for the period beginning July 1, 2013 and ending June 30, 2014, except the Attorney General's Office Medicaid Fraud project, which will be funded from October 1, 2013 and end September 30, 2014.

**DISCUSSION:**

See attached

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

Significant to recipient agencies

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

## **Program Background**

The Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Program (DC) allows state, county, local and tribal governments to support activities that combat drugs, gangs, and violent crime. The DC program provides funding to support the components of a statewide, system-wide enhanced drug, gang, and violent crime control program as stated in the *2012-2015 Arizona Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Strategy*. The strategy includes the following two goals for the foundation and direction of the program:

- *Curtail the flow of illicit drugs, drug proceeds and instruments used to perpetuate violence across Arizona.*
- *Reduce violent crime and illicit drug use and deter repeat offenders in Arizona.*

The strategy also outlines purpose areas as a guide to funding projects meant to address the drug, gang, and violent crime problem in the state. These purpose areas are as follows:

- Apprehension
- Prosecution
- Forensic Support Services
- Adjudication & Sentencing
- Corrections & Community Corrections
- Substance Abuse Treatment for Corrections-Involved Individuals
- Prevention and Education

In FY 2013, grant awards were made in the amount of \$11.4 million. These funds were awarded to projects addressing apprehension of drug offenders, prosecution of drug offenses, statewide forfeiture activities, forensic support of task forces, and drug adjudication projects. Table ***DC 1*** on page 10 shows a summary of the FY 2013 grant awards, the FY 2014 grant requests made by applicants, and the FY 2014 proposed projects.

## **Funding**

The program is supported by several funding streams to successfully carry out the statewide strategy. The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne/JAG) funds awarded to Arizona by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance (DOJ/BJA) continue to support program activities along with state Drug and Gang Enforcement Account (DEA) funds established under A.R.S. §41-2402, and matching funds when approved by the Commission. At its March 2013 meeting, the Commission approved implementing a match requirement of 20 percent for the FY 2014 grant in response to reductions in overall program revenue.

In FY 2013, \$11.4 million was allocated to grant projects. This amount was comprised of \$4.9 million in Byrne/JAG funds, \$4.2 million in DEA funds, and \$2.3 million in matching funds.

For FY 2014, staff is proposing a program size of \$10,336,292. Of this amount, \$4,044,682 is from the federal Byrne/JAG grant, \$4,244,516 is from the state DEA fund, and \$2,047,094 is from matching funds. The FY 2014 program size represents a decrease of \$(1,080,446) from the FY 2013 program size. Included in this reduction, grant funds drop by \$864,356 and agencies would contribute \$216,090 less in matching funds from FY 2013. Table **DC 2** summarizes the change in program size, by fund source, for FY 2013 and FY 2014.

<b>DC 2 Program Size FY 2013 – FY 2014</b>			
	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014 (Recommended)</b>	<b>Change</b>
Program Size	\$ 11,416,738	\$ 10,336,292	\$ (1,080,446)
Fund Source:			
Byrne/JAG	\$ 4,909,038	\$ 4,044,682	\$ (864,356)
DEA Fund	\$ 4,244,516	\$ 4,244,516	\$ 0
Match Funds	\$ 2,263,184	\$ 2,047,094	\$ (216,090)
Total	\$ 11,416,738	\$ 10,336,292	\$ (1,080,446)

### **Applications Received**

The solicitation for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program opened February 25, 2013 and closed March 22, 2013. Thirty-five applications were received requesting a total of \$16,411,359. Of the 35 applications, 14 were apprehension projects (Tier 1), 17 were prosecution projects (Tier 1), one was a statewide forfeiture project (Tier 1), two were forensic support projects (Tier 2), and one was a drug adjudication project (Tier 2). Table **DC 3** on page 11 displays the grant request for each eligible applicant broken out by priority area and expenditure type.

### **Evaluation and Scoring**

Eligible applications were reviewed by an evaluation team consisting of five ACJC staff and one outside evaluator. The projects were scored based on the criteria published in the solicitation with an emphasis on the goals of the statewide strategy. In addition, the solicitation emphasized that personnel expenditures would be prioritized in the budget recommendation allocation.

### **Recommendation**

Staff recommends the funding allocation according to table **DC 4** on page 12. Under the proposal, \$3,439,895 would be allocated to tier one apprehension projects, \$3,857,492 for tier one prosecution projects, \$874,481 for a tier one statewide forfeiture project, \$458,888 for tier two forensic support projects and \$1,705,536 for tier two drug adjudication projects. The total grant project amount is comprised of \$8,289,198 in grant funds and \$2,047,094 in match funds. All of the funding would support personnel costs for grant projects, allocating \$7,138,399 in personal services, \$2,748,022 in employee related expenditures, and \$449,871 in overtime expenditures.

Considering the funding reductions the program faced, across-the-board reductions were not implemented as a funding strategy. For applicants scored as eligible, all expenditure types were analyzed by staff and allocated based on the needs and best interests of the grant program in meeting the statewide strategy. The following guidelines were used by staff to build the recommendation:

- Prioritize previously funded projects that demonstrate effectiveness.
- Maintain as much balance funding as possible between tier one apprehension and prosecution projects.
- Fund at least one tier one apprehension project and prosecution project in each county.
- Provide some level of funding to tier two projects that are impacted by apprehension and prosecution activities.
- Prioritize funding core operations positions. Core operations positions are those considered most critical in meeting the purposes of the strategy (i.e. task force officers, attorneys, criminalists).
- Fund a position and the associated ERE at the current costs as reported by the agency in the application.
- As a priority, fund personal services, ERE, and overtime before considering any other budget category.
- Avoid funding multiple support-type positions for any project until core position needs are met.

The Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, which was funded in FY 2013, did not submit an application for the FY 2014 grant. The recommendation includes funding for two agencies, the La Paz County Sheriff's Office and the Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office that did not receive funding in FY 2013. Prior to FY 2013, these projects received grant funds from the DC program to support task force operations and a tandem prosecution project, respectively.

The recommendation for the AG's Medicaid Fraud project would require the grant period to run from October 1, 2013 to September 30, 2014. This project would provide matching funds for a federal grant and the grant period needs to run concurrent to the federal fiscal year. All other projects would have a grant period of July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014.

Table **DC 5** on page 13 shows the FY 2013 awards and the FY 2014 recommended awards, broken out by county.

DC 1 Summary of FY 2014 Grant Requests & Proposed Awards			
APPLICANT AGENCY	FY13 Grant Awards	FY14 Grant Request	FY14 Proposed Grant Awards
<b>Tier 1 Apprehension</b>			
Apache County SO	\$ 246,008	\$ 255,740	\$ 239,496
Cochise County SO	135,695	237,799	132,839
Flagstaff PD	298,117	419,372	293,693
Gila County SO	344,288	392,727	340,146
Graham County SO	29,152	35,012	28,728
Greenlee County SO	32,560	70,000	32,200
Kingman PD	350,993	376,599	346,016
La Paz County SO	-	320,351	46,750
Maricopa County SO	383,560	-	-
Navajo County SO	245,193	424,184	241,860
Pinal County SO	151,343	164,705	148,810
Prescott Valley PD	418,399	2,113,205	409,884
Santa Cruz County SO	178,696	178,685	170,534
Tucson PD	787,010	1,014,479	762,162
Yuma SO	251,849	251,303	246,777
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,852,863</b>	<b>\$ 6,254,161</b>	<b>\$ 3,439,895</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution</b>			
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 100,816	\$ 127,066	\$ 100,816
Apache County Attorney	96,152	140,307	91,849
Cochise County Attorney	167,425	245,340	158,957
Coconino County Attorney	146,080	285,495	138,354
Gila County Attorney	67,727	79,773	63,818
Graham County Attorney	54,284	102,617	51,315
Greenlee County Attorney	36,600	37,500	36,600
La Paz County Attorney	77,435	122,369	72,250
Maricopa County Attorney	1,482,804	1,790,922	1,394,295
Mohave County Attorney	165,935	385,058	156,239
Navajo County Attorney	117,040	290,862	112,452
Pima County Attorney	555,071	831,029	523,652
Pinal County Attorney	198,598	270,337	190,162
Santa Cruz County Attorney	-	97,835	48,918
Tucson City Prosecutor	332,171	317,352	317,352
Yavapai County Attorney	134,115	368,077	128,023
Yuma County Attorney	288,167	322,230	272,440
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 4,020,420</b>	<b>\$ 5,814,169</b>	<b>\$ 3,857,492</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities</b>			
Attorney General's Office	\$ 983,862	\$ 1,948,249	\$ 874,481
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 983,862</b>	<b>\$ 1,948,249</b>	<b>\$ 874,481</b>
<b>Tier 2 Forensic Support</b>			
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 482,388	\$ 560,989	\$ 399,417
Tucson PD - Forensics	66,896	59,471	59,471
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 549,284</b>	<b>\$ 620,460</b>	<b>\$ 458,888</b>
<b>Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections</b>			
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 2,010,309	\$ 1,774,320	\$ 1,705,536
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,010,309</b>	<b>\$ 1,774,320</b>	<b>\$ 1,705,536</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 11,416,738</b>	<b>\$ 16,411,359</b>	<b>\$ 10,336,292</b>

DC 3 FY 2014 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Requests						
Applicant Agency	Salary	Overtime	ERE	In-State Travel	Operating Expense	Total FY14 Requested
<b>Tier 1 Apprehension</b>						
Apache County SO	\$ 157,603	\$ -	\$ 98,137	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 255,740
Cochise County SO	32,024	173,268	12,507	-	20,000	237,799
Flagstaff PD	224,437	68,003	112,012	-	14,920	419,372
Gila County SO	257,234	-	135,493	-	-	392,727
Graham County SO	-	35,012	-	-	-	35,012
Greenlee County SO	-	70,000	-	-	-	70,000
Kingman PD	230,919	-	145,680	-	-	376,599
La Paz County SO	234,038	-	86,313	-	-	320,351
Maricopa County SO	-	-	-	-	-	0
Navajo County SO	260,902	-	163,282	-	-	424,184
Pinal County SO	52,478	70,740	31,487	-	10,000	164,705
Prescott Valley PD	1,185,433	210,563	641,299	-	75,910	2,113,205
Santa Cruz County SO	-	178,685	-	-	-	178,685
Tucson PD	704,614	-	309,865	-	-	1,014,479
Yuma SO	183,243	-	68,060	-	-	251,303
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 3,522,925</b>	<b>\$ 806,271</b>	<b>\$ 1,804,135</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 120,830</b>	<b>\$ 6,254,161</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution</b>						
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 81,150	\$ -	\$ 45,916	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 127,066
Apache County Attorney	106,552	-	33,755	-	-	140,307
Cochise County Attorney	187,679	-	57,661	-	-	245,340
Coconino County Attorney	218,439	-	67,056	-	-	285,495
Gila County Attorney	60,965	-	18,808	-	-	79,773
Graham County Attorney	76,646	-	25,971	-	-	102,617
Greenlee County Attorney	30,000	-	7,500	-	-	37,500
La Paz County Attorney	89,977	-	32,392	-	-	122,369
Maricopa County Attorney	1,318,326	-	472,596	-	-	1,790,922
Mohave County Attorney	269,271	-	115,787	-	-	385,058
Navajo County Attorney	213,234	-	75,245	2,383	-	290,862
Pima County Attorney	639,102	-	191,927	-	-	831,029
Pinal County Attorney	210,162	-	60,175	-	-	270,337
Santa Cruz County Attorney	74,000	-	23,835	-	-	97,835
Tucson City Prosecutor	202,727	-	114,625	-	-	317,352
Yavapai County Attorney	275,676	-	92,401	-	-	368,077
Yuma County Attorney	221,464	-	100,766	-	-	322,230
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 4,275,370</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,536,416</b>	<b>\$ 2,383</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 5,814,169</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities</b>						
Attorney General's Office	\$ 1,395,537	\$ -	\$ 552,712	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,948,249
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,395,537</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 552,712</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,948,249</b>
<b>Tier 2 Forensic Support</b>						
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 428,537	\$ -	\$ 132,452	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 560,989
Tucson PD - Forensics	42,479	-	16,992	-	-	59,471
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 471,016</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 149,444</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 620,460</b>
<b>Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections</b>						
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,268,028	\$ -	\$ 437,508	\$ -	\$ 68,784	\$ 1,774,320
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,268,028</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 437,508</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 68,784</b>	<b>\$ 1,774,320</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 10,932,876</b>	<b>\$ 806,271</b>	<b>\$ 4,480,215</b>	<b>\$ 2,383</b>	<b>\$ 189,614</b>	<b>\$ 16,411,359</b>

DC 4 FY 2014 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Proposed Awards						
Applicant Agency	Personal Services	Overtime	ERE	Total Proposed Project	Federal & State Funds	Match Funds
<b>Tier 1 Apprehension</b>						
Apache County SO	\$ 146,477	\$ -	\$ 93,019	\$ 239,496	\$ 191,597	\$ 47,899
Cochise County SO	-	132,839	-	132,839	106,271	26,568
Flagstaff PD	176,346	20,725	96,622	293,693	234,954	58,739
Gila County SO	223,226	-	116,920	340,146	272,117	68,029
Graham County SO	-	28,728	-	28,728	22,982	5,746
Greenlee County SO	-	32,200	-	32,200	25,760	6,440
Kingman PD	212,704	-	133,312	346,016	276,813	69,203
La Paz County SO	34,154	-	12,596	46,750	37,400	9,350
Maricopa County SO	-	-	-	-	-	-
Navajo County SO	152,870	-	88,990	241,860	193,488	48,372
Pinal County SO	52,478	64,845	31,487	148,810	119,048	29,762
Prescott Valley PD	270,890	-	138,994	409,884	327,907	81,977
Santa Cruz County SO	-	170,534	-	170,534	136,427	34,107
Tucson PD	525,456	-	236,706	762,162	609,730	152,432
Yuma SO	179,609	-	67,168	246,777	197,422	49,355
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,974,210</b>	<b>\$ 449,871</b>	<b>\$ 1,015,814</b>	<b>\$ 3,439,895</b>	<b>\$ 2,751,916</b>	<b>\$ 687,979</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution</b>						
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 73,496	\$ -	\$ 27,320	\$ 100,816	\$ 100,816	\$ -
Apache County Attorney	70,332	-	21,517	91,849	73,479	18,370
Cochise County Attorney	123,937	-	35,020	158,957	127,166	31,791
Coconino County Attorney	108,597	-	29,757	138,354	110,683	27,671
Gila County Attorney	48,772	-	15,046	63,818	51,054	12,764
Graham County Attorney	38,323	-	12,992	51,315	41,052	10,263
Greenlee County Attorney	29,280	-	7,320	36,600	29,280	7,320
La Paz County Attorney	53,125	-	19,125	72,250	57,800	14,450
Maricopa County Attorney	1,053,435	-	340,860	1,394,295	1,115,436	278,859
Mohave County Attorney	109,258	-	46,981	156,239	124,991	31,248
Navajo County Attorney	82,690	-	29,762	112,452	89,962	22,490
Pima County Attorney	408,099	-	115,553	523,652	418,922	104,730
Pinal County Attorney	150,157	-	40,005	190,162	152,130	38,032
Santa Cruz County Attorney	37,000	-	11,918	48,918	39,134	9,784
Tucson City Prosecutor	202,727	-	114,625	317,352	253,882	63,470
Yavapai County Attorney	98,262	-	29,761	128,023	102,418	25,605
Yuma County Attorney	191,889	-	80,551	272,440	217,952	54,488
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 2,879,379</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 978,113</b>	<b>\$ 3,857,492</b>	<b>\$ 3,106,157</b>	<b>\$ 751,335</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities</b>						
Attorney Generals Office	\$ 669,614	\$ -	\$ 204,867	\$ 874,481	\$ 699,585	\$ 174,896
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 669,614</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 204,867</b>	<b>\$ 874,481</b>	<b>\$ 699,585</b>	<b>\$ 174,896</b>
<b>Tier 2 Forensic Support</b>						
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 304,689	\$ -	\$ 94,728	\$ 399,417	\$ 319,534	\$ 79,883
Tucson PD - Forensics	42,479	-	16,992	59,471	47,577	11,894
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 347,168</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 111,720</b>	<b>\$ 458,888</b>	<b>\$ 367,111</b>	<b>\$ 91,777</b>
<b>Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections</b>						
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,268,028	\$ -	\$ 437,508	\$ 1,705,536	\$ 1,364,429	\$ 341,107
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,268,028</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 437,508</b>	<b>\$ 1,705,536</b>	<b>\$ 1,364,429</b>	<b>\$ 341,107</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 7,138,399</b>	<b>\$ 449,871</b>	<b>\$ 2,748,022</b>	<b>\$ 10,336,292</b>	<b>\$ 8,289,198</b>	<b>\$ 2,047,094</b>

DC 5 FY 2013 Awards and FY 2014 Recommended Awards by County				
County	FY 13 Approved	% Allocation	FY 14 Recommended	% Allocation
<b>Apache County</b>				
Apache County Attorney	\$ 96,152		\$ 91,849	
Apache County Sheriff	\$ 246,008		\$ 239,496	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 342,160</i>	<i>3.00%</i>	<i>\$ 331,345</i>	<i>3.21%</i>
<b>Cochise County</b>				
Cochise County Attorney	\$ 167,425		\$ 158,957	
Cochise County Sheriff	\$ 135,695		\$ 132,839	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 303,120</i>	<i>2.66%</i>	<i>\$ 291,796</i>	<i>2.82%</i>
<b>Coconino County</b>				
Coconino County Attorney	\$ 146,080		\$ 138,354	
Flagstaff Police Department	\$ 298,117		\$ 293,693	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 444,197</i>	<i>3.89%</i>	<i>\$ 432,047</i>	<i>4.18%</i>
<b>Gila County</b>				
Gila County Attorney	\$ 67,727		\$ 63,818	
Gila County Sheriff	\$ 344,288		\$ 340,146	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 412,015</i>	<i>3.61%</i>	<i>\$ 403,964</i>	<i>3.91%</i>
<b>Graham County</b>				
Graham County Attorney	\$ 54,284		\$ 51,315	
Graham County Sheriff	\$ 29,152		\$ 28,728	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 83,436</i>	<i>0.73%</i>	<i>\$ 80,043</i>	<i>0.77%</i>
<b>Greenlee County</b>				
Greenlee County Attorney	\$ 36,600		\$ 36,600	
Greenlee County Sheriff	\$ 32,560		\$ 32,200	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 69,160</i>	<i>0.61%</i>	<i>\$ 68,800</i>	<i>0.67%</i>
<b>La Paz County</b>				
La Paz County Attorney	\$ 77,435		\$ 72,250	
La Paz County Sheriff	\$ -		\$ 46,750	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 77,435</i>	<i>0.68%</i>	<i>\$ 119,000</i>	<i>1.15%</i>
<b>Maricopa County</b>				
Maricopa County Attorney	\$ 1,482,804		\$ 1,394,295	
Maricopa County Sheriff	\$ 383,560		\$ -	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 1,866,364</i>	<i>16.35%</i>	<i>\$ 1,394,295</i>	<i>13.49%</i>
<b>Mohave County</b>				
Mohave County Attorney	\$ 165,935		\$ 156,239	
Kingman Police Department	\$ 350,993		\$ 346,016	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 516,928</i>	<i>4.53%</i>	<i>\$ 502,255</i>	<i>4.86%</i>
<b>Navajo County</b>				
Navajo County Attorney	\$ 117,040		\$ 112,452	
Navajo County Sheriff	\$ 245,193		\$ 241,860	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 362,233</i>	<i>3.17%</i>	<i>\$ 354,312</i>	<i>3.43%</i>
<b>Pima County</b>				
Pima County Attorney	\$ 555,071		\$ 523,652	
Tucson City Prosecutor	\$ 332,171		\$ 317,352	
Tucson Police Department - Task Force	\$ 787,010		\$ 762,162	
Tucson Police Department - Crime Lab	\$ 66,896		\$ 59,471	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 1,741,148</i>	<i>15.25%</i>	<i>\$ 1,662,637</i>	<i>16.09%</i>
<b>Pinal County</b>				
Pinal County Attorney	\$ 198,598		\$ 190,162	
Pinal County Sheriff	\$ 151,343		\$ 148,810	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 349,941</i>	<i>3.07%</i>	<i>\$ 338,972</i>	<i>3.28%</i>
<b>Santa Cruz County</b>				
Santa Cruz County Attorney	\$ -		\$ 48,918	
Santa Cruz County Sheriff	\$ 178,696		\$ 170,534	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 178,696</i>	<i>1.57%</i>	<i>\$ 219,452</i>	<i>2.12%</i>
<b>Yavapai County</b>				
Yavapai County Attorney	\$ 134,115		\$ 128,023	
Prescott Valley Police Department	\$ 418,399		\$ 409,884	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 552,514</i>	<i>4.84%</i>	<i>\$ 537,907</i>	<i>5.20%</i>
<b>Yuma County</b>				
Yuma County Attorney	\$ 288,167		\$ 272,440	
Yuma County Sheriff	\$ 251,849		\$ 246,777	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 540,016</i>	<i>4.73%</i>	<i>\$ 519,217</i>	<i>5.02%</i>
<b>Statewide</b>				
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 2,010,309		\$ 1,705,536	
Attorney General - Forefeiture	\$ 983,862		\$ 874,481	
Attorney General - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 100,816		\$ 100,816	
Department of Public Safety - Crime Lab	\$ 482,388		\$ 399,417	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 3,577,375</i>	<i>31.33%</i>	<i>\$ 3,080,250</i>	<i>29.80%</i>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$ 11,416,738</b>		<b>\$ 10,336,292</b>	