

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING
OF THE
DRUG, GANG AND VIOLENT CRIME CONTROL COMMITTEE
OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
AND
AGENDA**

Pursuant to A.R.S § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee** of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission and to the general public that the **Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee** will hold a meeting open to the public on **Thursday, May 21, 2015** beginning at **11:00 a.m.** at the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.**

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- I. Call to Order and Roll Call** **Chairperson Joe Brugman**
Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee Members:
Joe Brugman, Chairperson
Joseph Arpaio
Mark Brnovich
Sean Duggan
Clarence Dupnik
Sheila Polk
Charles Ryan
- II. Minutes of the November 13, 2014 Meeting** **P-F-T**
- Approval of Minutes
- III. Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 29 Grant Awards** **Tony Vidale**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on FY16 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control (Cycle 29) Grant Awards. **P-F-T**

IV. Update on the FY 2015 Substance Abuse Prevention and Education Grant Awards **Tony Vidale**

- Staff will update the Committee on the funding awards for substance abuse prevention and education projects. **Info**

V. Call to the Public

Those wishing to address the Committee need not request permission in advance. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

VI. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

- The next Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee meeting will be held at the call of the Chairperson.

VII. Adjournment

A copy of the agenda background material provided to Committee members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office.



**DRUG, GANG AND VIOLENT CRIME CONTROL COMMITTEE
OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

Request for Committee Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 21, 2015	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the November 13, 2014 Meeting

TO: Chairperson and Committee Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager
Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control

RECOMMENDATION:

The Committee approve the minutes of the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee Meeting held on November 13, 2014.

DISCUSSION:

N/A

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee
Minutes
November 13, 2014

A public meeting of the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on November 13, 2014 at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Members Present:

Joe Brugman, Chairperson, Chief, Safford Police Department
Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff, John MacIntyre representing
Timothy Dorn, Chief, Gilbert Police Department
Clarence Dupnik, Pima County Sheriff, Paul Wilson representing
Sheila Polk, Yavapai County Attorney
Charles Ryan, Director, Department of Corrections, Jeff Hood representing
Steven Sheldon, Former Judge

Members Absent:

Tom Horne, Attorney General

Staff Participating:

Tony Vidale, Program Manager
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

I. Call to Order and Roll Call

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Joe Brugman at 11:00 a.m. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present.

II. Minutes of the May 22, 2014 Meeting

Chairperson Brugman called for a motion on the minutes. Designee John MacIntyre entered a motion to approve the minutes of the May 22, 2014 meeting. The motion was seconded by Designee Paul Wilson and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

III. CY2015 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Program (RSAT) Grant Awards

Tony Vidale, Program Manager presented the recommendation by staff to award \$428,424 in federal and local cash and/or in-kind match funds for the 2015 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) grant program.

Mr. Vidale explained the purpose of the RSAT program is to assist governments in developing and implementing substance abuse treatment programs in state and local correctional and detention facilities, and to create and maintain community-based post-release services for offenders. The RSAT program is structured into three areas that consist of residential, jail-based and post-release treatment. There are two funding requirements for the RSAT program; ten percent of the federal award must be made available to local correctional or detention facilities, and funds can be awarded for post-release treatment services that do not exceed one year after release.

Mr. Vidale stated that ACJC was awarded \$238,479 for the FFY 2014 grant of which the agency was allocated ten percent for administrative costs. A total of \$428,424 is available to fund the CY15 projects. The funding breakdown contains \$321,318 in federal funds which included unexpended FFY2013 funds, and \$107,106 in required matching funds. The grant solicitation opened on August 18, 2014 and closed on October 3, 2014. Table DC2 on page 10 of the agenda showed the requested amount of \$666,066 from five agencies with a breakdown of \$499,549 in federal monies and \$166,517 in match funds. The staff recommendation would fund the Coconino County Sheriff's Office, Arizona Department of Corrections, Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections, and Chicanos Por La Causa.

Chairperson Brugman asked if anyone from the public had a comment and there were none.

Designee John MacIntyre questioned why some of the categories in the grant applications were not funded such as travel and training. Mr. Vidale stated that due to limited funding, categories had to be evaluated and prioritized based on needs essential to the success of the project. Commissioner Steven Sheldon had a follow up question on the personnel and fringe benefits that were reduced for agencies, what the impact would be on the program, and if the Commissioners could know in advance how the funding would be used for the particular positions in the agencies. Mr. Vidale stated consideration for the types of positions funded per agency were prioritized to align with the treatment goals of the project. He further stated that if Commissioners were interested in reviewing submitted grant applications, staff can provide them access to the Grant Management System.

Designee John MacIntyre entered a motion to recommend to the Commission the award of \$428,424 in federal and local cash and/or in-kind match funds for the 2015 RSAT grant program beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2015 for the five designated agencies listed on page 10 of the agenda. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Steven Sheldon and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

IV. 2014 Enhanced Drug & Gang Enforcement (EDGE) Report

Tony Vidale, Program Manager presented the 2014 Enhanced Drug & Gang Enforcement (EDGE) Report.

Mr. Vidale explained the report meets the statutory requirement for ACJC to report on the activities related to illicit drugs and drug related gang activity. The report outlines how the funds are distributed and managed, and the methods used for the various programs in coordinating activities toward the goal of combating drug crime and related criminal activity. The report includes

the expenditures of federal monies through the Byrne/Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program as well as the state monies through the Drug Enforcement Account fund.

The EDGE report was summarized by sections. The report includes the Drug Enforcement Account fund, summary information on law enforcement and prosecution activities, account history, and the prevalence of drug activity. The report contains information on individual project areas consisting of agency budget, project description and objectives, quarterly highlights, performance benchmarks, and activity data. The project activities fall under Apprehension, Prosecution, Civil Forfeiture, Forensic Drug Evidence Analysis, Court Adjudication, Medicaid Fraud and Criminal Justice Records Improvement.

Mr. Vidale reviewed the highlights of the report with the Committee. In 2014, there were 5,200 arrests by the funded task forces, with marijuana as the number one drug involving arrests, followed by methamphetamine and heroin. The most common arrestee was male, Caucasian over 18. There were 390 weapons seized of which 210 were handguns. There were 180 drug trafficking organizations dismantled and 184 were disrupted. There were seizures of 128,000 pounds of marijuana, 1,200 pounds of cocaine, 1,800 pounds of methamphetamine, and 307 pounds of heroin. On the prosecutorial side, there were over 30,000 drug prosecutions, 86 percent reported as misdemeanors in which most were related to possession of marijuana.

Mr. Vidale reported that 16,000 offenders received sentences. The most common sentence was probation, followed by a prison sentence. The civil forfeiture project under the Attorney General's office reported that there were \$18M forfeited in assets, 101 criminal enterprises disrupted and 2,500 defendants prosecuted. The forensic support project performed over 14,000 analyses on various types of drugs with an average of 7 days to complete the analysis. Forensic staff testified 168 times in court and the project trained 438 officers on drug field testing. The adjudication project had 12 drug courts that served 2,000 participants and 14,000 probationers, roughly 16,000 drug tests were performed with over 97 percent testing negative, and 16,000 indigent defendants were served for drug crimes.

Designee John MacIntyre noted that the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office has an evidence area where marijuana is picked up by the Drug Enforcement Agency and inquired if it should be accounted for in the EDGE report. Mr. Vidale explained that EDGE report only reflects funded projects out of the Drug Enforcement Account; however, this data would be helpful from a policy perspective and in making recommendations to the Commission.

There was discussion on the arrest activity portion on the report defining whether it is reported as a physical booking or citation. Mr. Vidale stated that staff relies on the law enforcement agencies for the interpretation of reported arrests and the accuracy of the data. There is no formal parameters of what is accepted as a drug arrest or the level of quality by reporting agencies.

Mr. Vidale acknowledged that not all data in the EDGE report is reported to the federal government. The data in the EDGE report reflects the overall activity of each grant funded project and complies with statutory reporting requirements. This helps staff to develop performance measures and evaluate the projects as funding decreases and the challenges increase.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Committee action.

V. Update on Drug Fine Structure

Tony Vidale, Program Manager provided information on the status of the Drug Fine Structure review in A.R.S. Title 13, Chapter 34. Mr. Vidale remarked that at the January 2014 meeting, the Commission approved in concept the proposal to implement a drug fine structure, making the fines and fees more consistent with the sentencing code across all the categories for drug convictions. Staff also briefed the Policy Team at their meeting in February 2014 and gained additional feedback and direction from that group.

Mr. Vidale reported staff met with various criminal justice stakeholders in August 2014 and found good ideas to improve the current fine structure of drug crime sentences. The recommendation was to not move forward at this time because of the current climate of the legislature and the challenges the state of Arizona is going to face with the budget deficit. Staff will continue discussions next year with stakeholders regarding their concerns and monitor the 2015 legislature session.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Committee action.

VI. Call to the Public

Chairperson Brugman made a call to the public. No members of the audience addressed the Committee.

VII. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

The next Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee meeting will be held at the call of the Chairperson.

VIII. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 11:40 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

Audio recording available upon request.



**DRUG, GANG AND VIOLENT CRIME CONTROL COMMITTEE
OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

Request for Committee Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 21, 2015	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 29 Grant Awards

TO: Chairperson and Committee Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager
Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control

RECOMMENDATION:

The Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee recommends to the Commission the award of the Arizona Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Cycle 29 grant funds to eligible criminal justice agencies for the period beginning July 1, 2015 and ending June 30, 2016, except the Attorney General’s Office Medicaid Fraud project, which will be funded from October 1, 2015 and end September 30, 2016.

DISCUSSION:

See attached

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant to recipient agencies

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

Program Background

The Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Program (DC) allows state, county, local and tribal governments to support activities that combat drugs, gangs, and violent crime. The DC program provides funding to support the components of a statewide, system-wide enhanced drug, gang, and violent crime control program as stated in the *2012-2015 Arizona Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Strategy*. The strategy includes the following two goals for the foundation and direction of the program:

- *Curtail the flow of illicit drugs, drug proceeds and instruments used to perpetuate violence across Arizona.*
- *Reduce violent crime and illicit drug use and deter repeat offenders in Arizona.*

The strategy also outlines purpose areas as a guide to funding projects meant to address the drug, gang, and violent crime problem in the state. These purpose areas are as follows:

- Apprehension
- Prosecution
- Forensic Support Services
- Adjudication & Sentencing
- Corrections & Community Corrections
- Substance Abuse Treatment for Corrections-Involved Individuals
- Prevention and Education

In FY 2015, grant awards were made in the amount of \$10.3 million. These funds were awarded to projects addressing apprehension of drug offenders, prosecution of drug offenses, statewide forfeiture activities, forensic support of task forces, and drug adjudication projects. Table *DC 1* on page 10 shows a summary of the FY 2015 grant awards, the FY 2016 grant requests made by applicants, and the FY 2016 proposed projects.

Funding

The program is supported by several funding streams to successfully carry out the statewide strategy. The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne/JAG) funds awarded to Arizona by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance (DOJ/BJA) continue to support program activities along with state Drug and Gang Enforcement Account (DEA) funds established under A.R.S. §41-2402, and matching funds when approved by the Commission. At its January 2014 meeting, the Commission approved implementing a match requirement of 25 percent in response to reductions in overall program revenue.

In FY 2015, \$10.3 million was allocated to grant projects. This amount was comprised of \$3.4 million in Byrne/JAG funds, \$4.3 million in DEA funds, and \$2.6 million in matching funds.

For FY 2016, staff is proposing a program size of \$10,305,097. Of this amount, \$3,529,362 is from the federal Byrne/JAG grant, \$4,224,663 is from the state DEA fund, and \$2,551,072 is from matching funds. The FY 2016 program size represents a decrease of \$2,100 from the FY 2015 program size. The decrease is due to one grantee requesting less funds for FY 2016 than were approved the previous year. Table *DC 2* summarizes the change in program size, by fund source, for FY 2015 and FY 2016 recommended.

DC 2 Program Size FY 2015 – FY 2016			
	FY 2015	FY 2016 (Recommended)	Change
Program Size	\$ 10,307,197	\$ 10,305,097	\$ (2,100)
Fund Source:			
Byrne/JAG	\$ 3,421,339	\$ 3,529,362	\$ 108,023
DEA Fund	\$ 4,334,261	\$ 4,224,663	\$ (109,598)
Match Funds	\$ 2,551,597	\$ 2,551,072	\$ (525)
Total	\$ 10,307,197	\$ 10,305,097	\$ (2,100)

Applications Received

The solicitation for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program opened February 23, 2015 and closed March 20, 2015. Thirty-six applications were received requesting a total of \$14,580,542. Of the 36 applications, 14 were apprehension projects (Tier 1), 17 were prosecution projects (Tier 1), one was a statewide forfeiture project (Tier 1), two were forensic support projects (Tier 2), one was a drug adjudication project (Tier 2) and one was a corrections project (Tier 2). Table *DC 3* on page 11 displays the grant request for each eligible applicant broken out by priority area and expenditure type.

Evaluation and Scoring

Eligible applications were reviewed by an evaluation team consisting of ACJC staff. The projects were scored based on the criteria published in the solicitation with an emphasis on the goals of the statewide strategy. In addition, the solicitation emphasized that personnel expenditures would be prioritized in the budget recommendation allocation.

Recommendation

Staff recommends the funding allocation according to Table *DC 4* on page 12. Under the proposal, \$3,439,148 would be allocated to tier one apprehension projects, \$3,815,660 for tier one prosecution projects, \$869,400 for a tier one statewide forfeiture project, \$503,343 for tier two forensic support projects and \$1,677,546 for tier two drug adjudication projects. The total grant project amount is comprised of \$7,754,025 in grant funds and \$2,551,072 in match funds. All of the funding would support personnel costs for grant projects, allocating \$6,969,198 in personal services, \$2,959,198 in employee related expenditures, and \$376,701 in overtime expenditures.

For applicants scored as eligible, all expenditure types were analyzed by staff and allocated based on the needs and best interests of the grant program in meeting the statewide strategy. The following guidelines were used by staff to build the recommendation:

- Prioritize previously funded projects that demonstrate effectiveness.
- Maintain as much balance funding as possible between tier one apprehension and prosecution projects.
- Fund at least one tier one apprehension project and prosecution project in each county.
- Provide some level of funding to tier two projects impacted by apprehension and prosecution activities.
- Prioritize funding core operations positions. Core operations positions are those considered most critical in meeting the purposes of the strategy (i.e. task force officers, attorneys, criminalists).
- Fund a position and the associated ERE at the current costs as reported by the agency in the application.
- As a priority, fund personal services, ERE, and overtime before considering any other budget category.
- Avoid funding multiple support-type positions for any project until core position needs are met.

The recommendation for the Attorney General’s Office Medicaid Fraud project would require the grant period to run from October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016. This project would provide matching funds for a federal grant and the grant period needs to run concurrent to the federal fiscal year. All other projects would have a grant period of July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016.

The recommendation would comply with the provision in A.R.S. § 41-2402 that limits distribution of monies in the Drug and Gang Enforcement Accounts up to 50 percent for law enforcement agencies, up to 50 percent for prosecution programs/agencies, up to 30 percent for court programs/agencies, up to 30 percent for corrections agencies, and up to 30 percent for criminal justice records integration.

Table *DC 5* on page 13 shows the FY 2015 awards and the FY 2016 recommended awards, broken out by county.

DC 1 Summary of FY 2016 Grant Requests & Recommended Awards				
APPLICANT AGENCY	FY15 Grant Awards	FY16 Grant Request	FY16 Proposed Grant Awards	Distribution % (A.R.S. § 41-2402)
Tier 1 Apprehension				
Apache County SO	\$ 236,316	\$ 318,363	\$ 236,316	
Cochise County SO	131,197	195,010	131,197	
Flagstaff PD	291,660	364,247	291,660	
Gila County SO	336,917	547,411	336,917	
Graham County SO	28,429	35,012	28,429	
Greenlee County SO	32,000	72,000	32,000	
Kingman PD	345,254	423,811	345,254	
La Paz County SO	67,914	340,598	67,914	
Navajo County SO	241,425	418,126	241,425	
Pinal County SO	147,520	178,813	147,520	
Prescott Valley PD	408,756	513,910	408,756	
Santa Cruz County SO	169,526	169,540	169,526	
Tucson PD	756,637	1,167,473	756,637	
Yuma SO	245,597	334,326	245,597	
Sub-Total	\$ 3,439,148	\$ 5,078,640	\$ 3,439,148	33.4%
Tier 1 Prosecution				
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 100,816	\$ 134,530	\$ 100,816	
Apache County Attorney	90,469	113,106	90,469	
Cochise County Attorney	157,305	241,543	157,305	
Coconino County Attorney	135,988	290,929	135,988	
Gila County Attorney	63,656	77,388	63,656	
Graham County Attorney	51,188	102,376	51,188	
Greenlee County Attorney	36,600	34,500	34,500	
La Paz County Attorney	71,499	125,492	71,499	
Maricopa County Attorney	1,377,099	1,458,656	1,377,099	
Mohave County Attorney	154,171	392,771	154,171	
Navajo County Attorney	112,450	290,866	112,450	
Pima County Attorney	515,145	1,232,943	515,145	
Pinal County Attorney	189,749	230,581	189,749	
Santa Cruz County Attorney	49,014	97,913	49,014	
Tucson City Prosecutor	315,018	333,141	315,018	
Yavapai County Attorney	127,004	318,117	127,004	
Yuma County Attorney	270,589	315,132	270,589	
Sub-Total	\$ 3,817,760	\$ 5,789,984	\$ 3,815,660	37.0%
Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities				
Attorney General's Office	\$ 869,400	\$ 1,126,515	\$ 869,400	
Sub-Total	\$ 869,400	\$ 1,126,515	\$ 869,400	8.4%
Tier 2 Forensic Support				
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 445,265	\$ 560,989	\$ 445,265	
Tucson PD - Forensics	58,078	58,078	58,078	
Sub-Total	\$ 503,343	\$ 619,067	\$ 503,343	4.9%
Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections				
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,677,546	\$ 1,836,328	\$ 1,677,546	
Department of Corrections	\$ -	\$ 130,008	\$ -	
Sub-Total	\$ 1,677,546	\$ 1,966,336	\$ 1,677,546	16.3%
TOTAL	\$ 10,307,197	\$ 14,580,542	\$ 10,305,097	100%

DC 3 FY 2016 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Requests								
Applicant Agency	Salary	Overtime	ERE	P & O Services	In-State Travel	Operating Expense	Equipment	Total FY16 Requested
Tier 1 Apprehension								
Apache County SO	\$ 186,126	\$ -	\$ 132,237	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 318,363
Cochise County SO	-	195,010	-	-	-	-	-	195,010
Flagstaff PD	134,641	41,099	80,267	93,480	-	14,760	-	364,247
Gila County SO	311,904	-	235,507	-	-	-	-	547,411
Graham County SO	-	35,012	-	-	-	-	-	35,012
Greenlee County SO	-	72,000	-	-	-	-	-	72,000
Kingman PD	85,375	-	47,111	291,325	-	-	-	423,811
La Paz County SO	219,304	-	121,294	-	-	-	-	340,598
Navajo County SO	269,581	-	148,545	-	-	-	-	418,126
Pinal County SO	51,000	93,643	34,170	-	-	-	-	178,813
Prescott Valley PD	308,401	-	205,509	-	-	-	-	513,910
Santa Cruz County SO	34,611	122,739	12,190	-	-	-	-	169,540
Tucson PD	734,324	-	433,149	-	-	-	-	1,167,473
Yuma SO	196,802	47,462	90,062	-	-	-	-	334,326
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 2,532,069	\$ 606,965	\$ 1,540,041	\$ 384,805	\$ -	\$ 14,760	\$ -	\$ 5,078,640
Tier 1 Prosecution								
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 90,208	\$ -	\$ 44,322	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 134,530
Apache County Attorney	85,310	-	27,796	-	-	-	-	113,106
Cochise County Attorney	185,670	-	55,873	-	-	-	-	241,543
Coconino County Attorney	225,080	-	65,849	-	-	-	-	290,929
Gila County Attorney	58,402	-	18,986	-	-	-	-	77,388
Graham County Attorney	76,646	-	25,730	-	-	-	-	102,376
Greenlee County Attorney	27,600	-	6,900	-	-	-	-	34,500
La Paz County Attorney	91,400	-	34,092	-	-	-	-	125,492
Maricopa County Attorney	1,099,987	-	358,669	-	-	-	-	1,458,656
Mohave County Attorney	274,665	-	118,106	-	-	-	-	392,771
Navajo County Attorney	213,234	-	75,239	-	2,393	-	-	290,866
Pima County Attorney	916,369	-	316,574	-	-	-	-	1,232,943
Pinal County Attorney	180,283	-	50,298	-	-	-	-	230,581
Santa Cruz County Attorney	77,709	-	20,204	-	-	-	-	97,913
Tucson City Prosecutor	216,262	-	116,879	-	-	-	-	333,141
Yavapai County Attorney	239,322	-	78,795	-	-	-	-	318,117
Yuma County Attorney	220,885	-	94,247	-	-	-	-	315,132
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 4,279,032	\$ -	\$ 1,508,559	\$ -	\$ 2,393	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,789,984
Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities								
Attorney General's Office	\$ 717,928	\$ -	\$ 375,737	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 32,850	\$ -	\$ 1,126,515
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 717,928	\$ -	\$ 375,737	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 32,850	\$ -	\$ 1,126,515
Tier 2 Forensic Support								
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 428,537	\$ -	\$ 132,452	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 560,989
Tucson PD - Forensics	40,900	-	17,178	-	-	-	-	58,078
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 469,437	\$ -	\$ 149,630	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 619,067
Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections								
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,322,613	\$ -	\$ 513,715	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,836,328
Department of Corrections	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 130,008	\$ 130,008
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 1,322,613	\$ -	\$ 513,715	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,966,336
TOTAL	\$ 9,321,079	\$ 606,965	\$ 4,087,682	\$ 384,805	\$ 2,393	\$ 47,610	\$ -	\$14,580,542

DC 4 FY 2016 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Recommended Awards						
Applicant Agency	Personal Services	Overtime	ERE	Total Proposed Project	Federal & State Funds	Match Funds
Tier 1 Apprehension						
Apache County SO	\$ 143,164	\$ -	\$ 93,152	\$ 236,316	\$ 177,237	\$ 59,079
Cochise County SO	-	131,197	-	131,197	98,398	32,799
Flagstaff PD	187,574	-	104,086	291,660	218,745	72,915
Gila County SO	188,546	-	148,371	336,917	252,688	84,229
Graham County SO	-	28,429	-	28,429	21,322	7,107
Greenlee County SO	-	32,000	-	32,000	24,000	8,000
Kingman PD	218,362	-	126,892	345,254	258,940	86,314
La Paz County SO	43,804	-	24,110	67,914	50,935	16,979
Navajo County SO	157,719	-	83,706	241,425	181,069	60,356
Pinal County SO	51,000	62,350	34,170	147,520	110,640	36,880
Prescott Valley PD	244,285	-	164,471	408,756	306,567	102,189
Santa Cruz County SO	34,611	122,725	12,190	169,526	127,144	42,382
Tucson PD	468,379	-	288,258	756,637	567,478	189,159
Yuma SO	165,076	-	80,521	245,597	184,198	61,399
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 1,902,520	\$ 376,701	\$ 1,159,927	\$ 3,439,148	\$ 2,579,361	\$ 859,787
Tier 1 Prosecution						
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 70,102	\$ -	\$ 30,714	\$ 100,816	\$ 100,816	\$ -
Apache County Attorney	68,665	-	21,804	90,469	67,852	22,617
Cochise County Attorney	123,159	-	34,146	157,305	117,979	39,326
Coconino County Attorney	104,530	-	31,458	135,988	101,991	33,997
Gila County Attorney	48,013	-	15,643	63,656	47,742	15,914
Graham County Attorney	38,323	-	12,865	51,188	38,391	12,797
Greenlee County Attorney	27,600	-	6,900	34,500	25,875	8,625
La Paz County Attorney	52,133	-	19,366	71,499	53,624	17,875
Maricopa County Attorney	1,041,430	-	335,669	1,377,099	1,032,824	344,275
Mohave County Attorney	107,848	-	46,323	154,171	115,628	38,543
Navajo County Attorney	82,690	-	29,760	112,450	84,337	28,113
Pima County Attorney	407,990	-	107,155	515,145	386,359	128,786
Pinal County Attorney	148,425	-	41,324	189,749	142,312	47,437
Santa Cruz County Attorney	38,855	-	10,159	49,014	36,760	12,254
Tucson City Prosecutor	205,843	-	109,175	315,018	236,263	78,755
Yavapai County Attorney	98,489	-	28,515	127,004	95,253	31,751
Yuma County Attorney	194,574	-	76,015	270,589	202,942	67,647
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 2,858,669	\$ -	\$ 956,991	\$ 3,815,660	\$ 2,886,948	\$ 928,712
Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities						
Attorney General's Office	\$ 616,674	\$ -	\$ 252,726	\$ 869,400	\$ 652,050	\$ 217,350
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 616,674	\$ -	\$ 252,726	\$ 869,400	\$ 652,050	\$ 217,350
Tier 2 Forensic Support						
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 340,280	\$ -	\$ 104,985	\$ 445,265	\$ 333,949	\$ 111,316
Tucson PD - Forensics	40,900	-	17,178	58,078	43,558	14,520
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 381,180	\$ -	\$ 122,163	\$ 503,343	\$ 377,507	\$ 125,836
Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections						
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,210,155	\$ -	\$ 467,391	\$ 1,677,546	\$ 1,258,159	\$ 419,387
Department of Corrections	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 1,210,155	\$ -	\$ 467,391	\$ 1,677,546	\$ 1,258,159	\$ 419,387
TOTAL	\$ 6,969,198	\$ 376,701	\$ 2,959,198	\$ 10,305,097	\$ 7,754,025	\$ 2,551,072

DC 5 FY 2015 Awards and FY 2016 Recommended Awards by County				
County	FY 15 Approved	% Allocation	FY 16 Recommended	% Allocation
Apache County				
Apache County Attorney	\$ 90,469		\$ 90,469	
Apache County Sheriff	\$ 236,316		\$ 236,316	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 326,785	3.17%	\$ 326,785	3.17%
Cochise County				
Cochise County Attorney	\$ 157,305		\$ 157,305	
Cochise County Sheriff	\$ 131,197		\$ 131,197	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 288,502	2.80%	\$ 288,502	2.80%
Coconino County				
Coconino County Attorney	\$ 135,988		\$ 135,988	
Flagstaff Police Department	\$ 291,660		\$ 291,660	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 427,648	4.15%	\$ 427,648	4.15%
Gila County				
Gila County Attorney	\$ 63,656		\$ 63,656	
Gila County Sheriff	\$ 336,917		\$ 336,917	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 400,573	3.89%	\$ 400,573	3.89%
Graham County				
Graham County Attorney	\$ 51,188		\$ 51,188	
Graham County Sheriff	\$ 28,429		\$ 28,429	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 79,617	0.77%	\$ 79,617	0.77%
Greenlee County				
Greenlee County Attorney	\$ 36,600		\$ 34,500	
Greenlee County Sheriff	\$ 32,000		\$ 32,000	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 68,600	0.67%	\$ 66,500	0.65%
La Paz County				
La Paz County Attorney	\$ 71,499		\$ 71,499	
La Paz County Sheriff	\$ 67,914		\$ 67,914	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 139,413	1.35%	\$ 139,413	1.35%
Maricopa County				
Maricopa County Attorney	\$ 1,377,099		\$ 1,377,099	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 1,377,099	13.36%	\$ 1,377,099	13.36%
Mohave County				
Mohave County Attorney	\$ 154,171		\$ 154,171	
Kingman Police Department	\$ 345,254		\$ 345,254	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 499,425	4.85%	\$ 499,425	4.85%
Navajo County				
Navajo County Attorney	\$ 112,450		\$ 112,450	
Navajo County Sheriff	\$ 241,425		\$ 241,425	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 353,875	3.43%	\$ 353,875	3.43%
Pima County				
Pima County Attorney	\$ 515,145		\$ 515,145	
Tucson City Prosecutor	\$ 315,018		\$ 315,018	
Tucson Police Department - Task Force	\$ 756,637		\$ 756,637	
Tucson Police Department - Crime Lab	\$ 58,078		\$ 58,078	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 1,644,878	15.96%	\$ 1,644,878	15.96%
Pinal County				
Pinal County Attorney	\$ 189,749		\$ 189,749	
Pinal County Sheriff	\$ 147,520		\$ 147,520	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 337,269	3.27%	\$ 337,269	3.27%
Santa Cruz County				
Santa Cruz County Attorney	\$ 49,014		\$ 49,014	
Santa Cruz County Sheriff	\$ 169,526		\$ 169,526	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 218,540	2.12%	\$ 218,540	2.12%
Yavapai County				
Yavapai County Attorney	\$ 127,004		\$ 127,004	
Prescott Valley Police Department	\$ 408,756		\$ 408,756	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 535,760	5.20%	\$ 535,760	5.20%
Yuma County				
Yuma County Attorney	\$ 270,589		\$ 270,589	
Yuma County Sheriff	\$ 245,597		\$ 245,597	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 516,186	5.01%	\$ 516,186	5.01%
Statewide				
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,677,546		\$ 1,677,546	
Attorney General - Forefeiture	\$ 869,400		\$ 869,400	
Attorney General - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 100,816		\$ 100,816	
Department of Public Safety - Crime Lab	\$ 445,265		\$ 445,265	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 3,093,027	30.01%	\$ 3,093,027	30.01%
Grand Total	\$ 10,307,197		\$ 10,305,097	

**DRUG, GANG AND VIOLENT CRIME CONTROL COMMITTEE
OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

Request for Committee Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 21, 2015	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Update on the FY 2015 Substance Abuse Prevention and Education Grant Awards

TO: Chairperson and Committee Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager
Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control

RECOMMENDATION:

Information Only

DISCUSSION:

Staff will update the Committee on funding awards made to criminal justice/government agencies and non-profit agencies for the FY 2015 Substance Abuse Prevention and Education Grant Program.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

N/A

Background

The Arizona Criminal Justice Commission (ACJC) and the Arizona Department of Health Services, Division of Behavioral Health Services (ADHS/DBHS) collaborated to implement a substance abuse prevention program with a focus on criminal justice agencies. This program was designed to assist state, county, local, tribal, and non-profit agencies in implementing effective substance prevention and education efforts encompassing evidence-based interventions and environmental prevention strategies involving multiple sectors of the community focusing on reducing access and opportunity, enforcing consequences, and decreasing the likelihood of substance abuse by addressing risk and protective factors. Non-profit agencies were required to have a strong collaboration with a criminal justice agency.

Goals of the effort included projects that are designed to prevent and/or reduce substance abuse that are cost-effective and result in increased public safety, proactively address substance abuse before its inception to thwart negative consequences related to safety, health, and academic achievement, and build collaborative relationships between public health, community, and criminal justice organizations to better address substance abuse. In addition, meeting these goals must be done using evidence-based interventions.

Funding

The total funding amount available was \$604,339. The source of the funding is the Strategic Prevention Framework, Partnerships for Success Grant administered by the Arizona Department of Health Services (DHS) through the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). The funds from this SAMHSA grant are set to expire on September 30, 2015.

Funding Requests

Staff established two waves of applicants: criminal justice/government agencies and non-profit agencies. The grant opened on February 2, 2015 for all applicants. Criminal justice/government agency applications were due February 27, 2015 and non-profit agency applications were due March 13, 2015. A summary of the funding requests and recommended projects appears in Table *DC 6* on page 16. In total, 19 agencies submitted applications (nine criminal justice/government agencies and 10 non-profit agencies), requesting a total of \$1,669,209. Table *DC 7* on page 16 provides detail on agency requests for funding. Staff conducted internal evaluations of all the applications and presented the Executive Director with a recommended funding plan.

Funding Awards

Thirteen agencies received awards totaling \$604,339. Nine criminal justice/government agencies were awarded a total of \$401,159 and four non-profit agencies were awarded a total of \$203,180. Table *DC 8* on page 17 provides a breakout of each project funded by line item. In addition, summary information on each award is located on page 17 showing the project focus and the types of expenditures funded.

Summary of FY 2015 Grant Requests & Approved Awards for Substance Abuse Prevention Program		
DC 6		
APPLICANT AGENCY	FY15 Grant Request	FY15 Approved Grant Awards
Criminal Justice/Government Agencies		
Apache County SO	\$ 11,600	\$ 11,600
Arizona Administrative Office of the Courts	443,380	100,000
Arizona Attorney General's Office	70,402	54,778
Arizona Department of Corrections	23,025	23,025
Coconino County Superior Court	22,200	22,200
Kingman PD	87,915	65,915
Maricopa County Adult Probation Department	158,090	79,045
Maricopa County Attorney	14,976	14,976
Tempe City Social Services - Care 7	33,220	29,620
Sub-Total	\$ 864,808	\$ 401,159
Non-profit Agencies		
Citizens Against Substance Abuse	\$ 33,870	\$ -
Friends of Navajo Co. Anti-Drug Coalition	36,550	-
HOPE	29,736	26,798
MATFORCE	401,675	98,975
Pasadera Behavioral Health Network	45,716	41,289
Pima Prevention Partnership	47,009	36,118
Sojourner Center	54,524	-
Technical Assistance Partnership of Arizona	87,797	-
Northland Family Help Center	12,424	-
The Haven	55,100	-
Sub-Total	\$ 804,401	\$ 203,180
TOTAL	\$ 1,669,209	\$ 604,339

DC 7 FY 2015 Substance Abuse Prevention and Education Grant Requests								
Applicant Agency	Salary	ERE	P & O Services	In-State Travel	Out-of-State Travel	Operating Expense	Equipment	Total FY15 Requested
Criminal Justice/Government Agencies								
Apache County SO	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,600	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,600
Arizona Administrative Office of the C	-	-	146,620	90,160	22,500	184,100	-	443,380
Arizona Attorney General's Office	31,526	11,034	6,817	4,128	-	16,897	-	70,402
Arizona Department of Corrections	-	-	-	12,900	-	10,125	-	23,025
Coconino County Superior Court	-	-	22,200	-	-	-	-	22,200
Kingman PD	-	-	61,800	-	-	26,115	-	87,915
Maricopa County Adult Probation Dep	-	-	140,400	-	-	17,690	-	158,090
Maricopa County Attorney	-	-	10,076	600	-	1,400	2,900	14,976
Tempe City Social Services - Care 7	25,020	1,600	-	-	-	6,600	-	33,220
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 56,546	\$ 12,634	\$ 387,913	\$ 107,788	\$ 22,500	\$ 264,527	\$ 12,900	\$ 864,808
Non-profit Agencies								
Citizens Against Substance Abuse	\$ 20,826	\$ 1,514	\$ 7,750	\$ -	\$ 1,106	\$ 2,674	\$ -	\$ 33,870
Friends of Navajo Co. Anti-Drug Coal	-	-	24,000	2,206	1,455	8,889	-	36,550
HOPE	16,938	3,613	-	-	-	8,820	365	29,736
MATFORCE	25,250	4,545	51,000	3,280	-	317,600	-	401,675
Pasadera Behavioral Health Network	11,267	1,774	14,793	522	-	17,360	-	45,716
Pima Prevention Partnership	15,764	5,246	5,200	427	-	20,372	-	47,009
Sojourner Center	10,750	2,150	-	-	-	41,624	-	54,524
Technical Assistance Partnership of A	41,600	4,992	21,600	-	-	19,605	-	87,797
Northland Family Help Center	8,112	1,947	-	-	1,060	1,305	-	12,424
The Haven	35,000	9,580	-	1,980	-	6,640	1,900	55,100
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 185,507	\$ 35,361	\$ 124,343	\$ 8,415	\$ 3,621	\$ 444,889	\$ 2,265	\$ 804,401
TOTAL	\$ 242,053	\$ 47,995	\$ 512,256	\$ 116,203	\$ 26,121	\$ 709,416	\$ 15,165	\$ 1,669,209

DC 8 FY 2015 Substance Abuse Prevention and Education Grant Approved Awards								
Applicant Agency	Personal Services	ERE Costs	Prof./ Outside Svs	In-State Travel	Out-of-State Travel	Operating Expense	Equipment	Total FY15 Proposed
Criminal Justice/Government Agencies								
Apache County SO	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,600	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,600
Arizona Administrative Office of the Courts	-	-	51,060	12,740	-	36,200	-	100,000
Arizona Attorney General's Office	24,568	9,228	6,817	4,128	-	10,037	-	54,778
Arizona Department of Corrections	-	-	-	12,900	-	10,125	-	23,025
Coconino County Superior Court	-	-	22,200	-	-	-	-	22,200
Kingman PD	-	-	41,800	-	-	24,115	-	65,915
Maricopa County Adult Probation Department	-	-	70,200	-	-	8,845	-	79,045
Maricopa County Attorney	-	-	10,076	600	-	1,400	2,900	14,976
Tempe City Social Services - Care 7	25,020	-	1,600	-	-	3,000	-	29,620
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 49,588	\$ 9,228	\$ 203,753	\$ 30,368	\$ -	\$ 95,322	\$ 12,900	\$ 401,159
Non-profit Agencies								
Citizens Against Substance Abuse	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Friends of Navajo Co. Anti-Drug Coalition	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOPE	14,490	3,123	-	-	-	8,820	365	26,798
MATFORCE	-	-	83,975	-	-	15,000	-	98,975
Pasadera Behavioral Health Network	11,267	1,774	14,793	522	-	12,933	-	41,289
Pima Prevention Partnership	15,764	5,246	5,200	427	-	9,481	-	36,118
Sojourner Center	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Technical Assistance Partnership of Arizona	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Northland Family Help Center	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The Haven	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 41,521	\$ 10,143	\$ 103,968	\$ 949	\$ -	\$ 46,234	\$ 365	\$ 203,180
TOTAL	\$ 91,109	\$ 19,371	\$ 307,721	\$ 31,317	\$ -	\$ 141,556	\$ 13,265	\$ 604,339

Drug Prevention and Education Grant Project Summary

Apache County Sheriff's Office \$11,600

Project focus is to educate public and provide safe disposal of unused or unwanted prescription drugs throughout Apache County. Population affected: adults and juveniles.

Proposal would fund a drug incinerator and supplies/materials to promote drug take back events. The incinerator is an equipment purchase item costing \$10,000.

Administrative Office of the Courts \$100,000

Project focus is to provide drug prevention training to probation officers to more effectively deal with substance abusers on probation. Drug prevention and reduction are the keys to the project and drug testing of offenders is included in the project activities. Population affected: adults.

Proposal would fund contract expenses and in-state travel expenses related to the training and 7,240 drug testing kits.

Attorney General's Office \$54,778

Project focus is to support the Alcohol Adult Literacy Project (AALP). This education project is geared towards children and young adults to convey misconceptions about alcohol use and support an alcohol-free lifestyle. Population affected: juveniles.

Proposal would fund contractual services to train AG personnel on the AALP, which includes a 3-day class delivered locally, AG personnel expenses to deliver the training to various locations in the state, and materials/supplies expenses to support the project.

Arizona Department of Corrections \$23,025

Project focus is to receive education and training at the Southwestern School for Behavioral Health Studies Conference in Tucson, August 24-27. The goal of the training is to enhance the clinical skill sets of ADOC substance abuse counseling staff to improve the quality of treatment services and outcomes. Population affected: adults.

Proposal would fund the travel and per diem costs of 25 staff and registration costs to attend the training.

Coconino County Superior Court \$22,200

Project focus is to increase success rate of current or potential substance abusers going through DUI/Drug Court or Integrated Family Court using random drug tests to deter substance abuse. This project would also expand existing DUI/drug testing by increasing the number of substances included in the drug tests from 5 substances to 10 substances. Population affected: adults and juveniles.

Proposal would fund materials and supplies for 3,600 drug tests and a small number of substance abuse assessments for those clients that need a more thorough assessment for treatment/prevention referrals.

Kingman Police Department \$65,915

Project focus is to support the Mohave Opportunities Rehab Education (MORE) program that proactively addresses substance abuse through education to juveniles and adults. This is a pilot program in Kingman, with anticipation of expanding countywide after an evaluation of the program. Population affected: adults and juveniles.

Proposal would fund substance abuse prevention and education training for school resource officers, field officers, school faculty and staff, contract services for initial assessments and specimen testing of participants, and materials and supplies for drug prevention and education activities.

Maricopa County Adult Probation \$79,045

Project focus is to work with Adult Drug Court to increase the frequency of drug testing of program participants to two tests per week, delivered randomly, to ensure accountability and effectiveness in reducing substance abuse. Population affected: adults.

Proposal would fund contract services to cover the costs of 10,400 drug tests over a 6 month period and indirect costs at a rate of 12.6%.

Maricopa County Attorney \$14,976

Project focus is to support the Good Choices, Bright Futures program that delivers substance abuse prevention curriculum for youth between 10-14, in out-of-school settings. Population affected: juveniles.

Proposal would fund contract services for training and evaluation of the project, travel costs related to delivering the presentations, materials/supplies related to the training and presentations, and equipment for the presentations.

Tempe Social Services – Care 7 \$29,620

Project focus is to support the Celebrating Families Program that is a parenting skills program designed for families where one or both parents are in the early stages of substance addiction recovery and there is a high risk for domestic violence/child abuse. Population affected: adults and juveniles.

Proposal would fund personnel costs to deliver the training, contractual expenses to provide technical assistance to trainers, and materials/supplies for program.

HOPE, Inc. \$26,789

Project focus is to support the Community Reintegration Program with substance abuse prevention and education and relapse prevention coping skills. Population affected: adults.

Proposal would fund personnel costs to revise and administer the substance abuse curriculum, materials/supplies to support the curriculum and participants, and equipment costs of the program.

MATFORCE \$98,975

Project focus is to implement a mass media campaign on the harms of marijuana across the state of Arizona and work with community coalitions to affect change by disseminating information and delivering training. Population affected: juveniles.

Proposal would fund contractual services to develop toolkits, deliver training presentations, and develop curriculum, cover costs to produce toolkits, and operating expense related to a conference.

Pasadera Behavioral Health Network \$41,289

Project focus is to support the Rx360 and The Buzz programs to target 525 parents and 110 youth with prevention education related to underage drinking, youth Rx drug use, and the consequences associated with these risk taking behaviors. Population affected: adult and juvenile.

Proposal would fund personnel costs related to project oversight and coordination, contract services to deliver various aspects of the prevention training for facilitators and participants and provide translation services, and materials/supplies related to prevention training.

Pima Prevention Partnership \$36,118

Project focus is to support the Pima County Teen Court program deliver substance abuse prevention training to youth that demonstrate higher risk of recidivism due to substance use. Population affected: juvenile.

Proposal would fund personnel costs for program oversight and for workshop facilitators, contract for training services for staff, travel expenses for staff to conduct workshops, and materials/supplies to support delivering the prevention workshops.