

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING  
OF THE  
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION  
AND  
AGENDA**

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** and to the general public that the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** will hold a meeting open to the public on **Thursday, May 24, 2012** beginning at **1:30 p.m.** at the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.**

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

The Commission may go into Executive Session on any of the following agenda items for the purposes of receiving legal advice pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3).

Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- |             |  |  |
|-------------|--|--|
| <b>I.</b>   | <b>Call to Order and Roll Call</b>   | <b>Chairperson Daniel Sharp</b>  |
| <b>II.</b>  | <b>Minutes of the March 22, 2012 Meeting</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Approval of Minutes</li></ul>   | <b>P-F-T</b>   |
| <b>III.</b> | <b>Executive Director's Report</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>A.</b> Staff and Program Updates</li><li><b>B.</b> Budget Update</li><li><b>C.</b> Legislative Update</li></ul>  | <b>John A. Blackburn, Jr.</b><br><b>Info</b><br><b>Info</b><br><b>Info</b> |
| <b>IV.</b>  | <b>Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund (CJEF) Guidelines</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund guidelines.</li></ul>  | <b>John A. Blackburn, Jr.</b><br><b>P-F-T</b>                              |
| <b>V.</b>   | <b>Crime Victim Compensation Program</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the following:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>A.</b> Designation of Operational Units</li><li><b>B.</b> FY13 Crime Victim Compensation Program Budget</li><li><b>C.</b> FY13 Crime Victim Compensation Program Funding Allocation</li></ul></li></ul> | <b>Larry Grubbs</b><br><b>P-F-T</b><br><b>P-F-T</b><br><b>P-F-T</b>        |

- VI. Crime Victim Assistance Program** **Larry Grubbs**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the following:
    - A. FY13 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards:**  
Government agencies eligible to serve on the ACJC **P-F-T**
    - B. FY13 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards:**  
Other government, and non-profit agencies **P-F-T**
- VII. Rules Promulgation Process Update** **Larry Grubbs**
- An update on the rules process for the Crime Victim Compensation and the Crime Victim Assistance Programs. **Info**
- VIII. Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 26 Grant Awards** **Tony Vidale**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on FY13 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Cycle 26 Grant Awards. **P-F-T**
- IX. AZ Records Improvement and Information Sharing Strategy Priority Recommendations** **Karl Heckart**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the strategic priorities and tactical feasibilities. **P-F-T**
- X. Gerald Hardt Memorial Criminal Justice Records Improvement Program** **Pat Nelson**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the Gerald Hardt Memorial Criminal Justice Records Improvement Program grant allocation for FY 2013. **P-F-T**
- XI. Full Forensic Crime Laboratory Grant Program** **Pat Nelson**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the FY13 Full Service Forensic Crime Laboratory grant proposal and allocations. **P-F-T**
- XII. Call to the Public**
- Those wishing to address the Commission need not request permission in advance. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.
- XIII. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**
- The next Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, July 19, 2012 at 2:00 p.m.** at Little America Hotel, Flagstaff, AZ.
- XIV. Adjournment**
- A copy of the agenda background material provided to Commission members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office.



**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

**Request for Commission Action**

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the March 22, 2012 Meeting

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the minutes of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting held on March 22, 2012.

**DISCUSSION:**

N/A

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**Arizona Criminal Justice Commission  
Minutes  
March 22, 2012**

A public meeting of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on March 22, 2012 at the 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

**Members Present:**

Daniel G. Sharp, Chairperson, Chief, Oro Valley Police Department  
Bill Montgomery, Vice Chairperson, Maricopa County Attorney  
John Armer, Gila County Sheriff  
Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff, Ray Churay representing  
David Byers, Director, Administrative Office of the Courts  
Clarence Dupnik, Pima County Sheriff, Warren Alter representing  
Robert Halliday, Director, Department of Public Safety  
Tom Horne, Attorney General, Andrew Pacheco representing  
Robert Huddleston, Chief, Casa Grande Police Department  
Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney by conference call  
Ralph Ogden, Yuma County Sheriff  
Charles Ryan, Director, Department of Corrections  
Linda Scott, Former Judge  
Carl Taylor, Coconino County Supervisor, by conference call

**Members Absent:**

Duane Belcher, Chairperson, Board of Executive Clemency  
David Sanders, Pima County Chief Probation Officer  
George Silva, Santa Cruz County Attorney

**Staff Participating:**

John A. Blackburn, Jr., Executive Director  
George Diaz, Public Information Officer  
Tony Vidale, Program Manager  
Phil Stevenson, Director, Statistical Analysis Center  
Matt Bileski, Research Analyst  
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

**I. Call to Order and Roll Call**

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Daniel Sharp at 1:35 p.m. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present.

**II. Minutes of the January 19, 2012 Meeting**

Chairperson Sharp called for a motion on the minutes. Commissioner Bill Montgomery entered a motion to approve the minutes of the January 19, 2012 meeting. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Robert Halliday and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

**III. Executive Director's Report**

Executive Director Blackburn acknowledged and thanked Commission Ralph Ogden for his leadership as Chairperson for the Commission as well as his guidance.

**A. Staff/Program Update**

Executive Director Blackburn introduced George Diaz, the new Public Information Officer for ACJC and Ravenn Moore, a Fellow working with the Statistical Analysis Center (SAC). Executive Director Blackburn also reported that Janice Simpson, Grants Coordinator for the Criminal Justice System Improvement and Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Programs has accepted a position with the City of Avondale. Amanda Zibell will replace Ms. Simpson as the Grants Coordinator serving these program areas. ACJC will be recruiting for the following vacant positions; Information Technology Manager, Accountant III, and a Research Analyst I.

Executive Director Blackburn also informed the Commission that ACJC received a Progress Award from Drug Free Arizona in recognition of the work and partnership of the Statistical Analysis Center.

**B. Budget Update**

Executive Director Blackburn summarized how the Governor's proposed budget removes most of the sweeps to ACJC state fund accounts. The proposed budget is very similar to last year; however, revenues sources remain lower than normal. Executive Director Blackburn indicated that one sweep that will likely be targeted again is the Indigent Defense Funds at \$970,000 in FY13.

### **C. Legislative Update**

Executive Director Blackburn gave an update on federal issues. Byrne/JAG is up for reauthorization this year with the expectation that funding will remain at the same level as last year. A request for additional funding will be made during the reauthorization process next year.

George Diaz, Public Information Officer reported that 247 bills were being tracked through the state legislature, 103 bills have moved in the legislature, and 17 were signed into law.

Mr. Diaz spoke of two pieces of legislation that are of interest to ACJC. The first is House Bill 2388; this bill was passed in the Senate Appropriations Committee. The legislation would permit the Board of Pharmacy to adopt rules to define the chemical composition of prescribed controlled substances and address abuses in the use of incense and bath salts. The second bill is Senate Bill 1072 that pertains to felony offenses for failing to report a death of a child or vulnerable adult.

### **D. Commission Member Appointments**

Executive Director Blackburn informed the Commission that sixteen commission appointments have expired with the exception of Commissioner Bill Montgomery who recently was appointed. By statute, Commissioners can serve unless replaced or they no longer occupy the position, which makes them eligible for membership on the Commission. Executive Director Blackburn stated the Governor's Office of Boards and Commissions has been contacted about reappointments to the Commission. Staff has requested the Commissioners who wish to continue to serve on the Commission to contact Executive Director Blackburn.

The Executive Director's report was presented for information purposes and did not require Commission action.

## **IV. Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 26 Grant Fund Reductions**

Tony Vidale, Program Manager summarized the current program size for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program during FY12 and the projected program size during FY13 and FY14. In FY12, the grant program size was set at \$11.7 million; however, it is estimated that the reduction in funds for FY13 will be approximately \$3.8 million leaving a \$7.9 million program. Additionally, staff has projected that in FY14 there may be a further decrease caused by a reduction in the Byrne/JAG grant totaling \$700,000. Mr. Vidale referred the Committee to the chart on page 14 of the agenda that outlines the program funding sources for FY10 through FY14.

Mr. Vidale discussed in detail various funding reduction strategies to the Committee; they consist of: 1) Program reductions with no match; 2) Instituting a 25 percent match; 3) Utilizing program income on the project and requiring non-budgeted program income be spent before grant funds; and 4) Coordinating outside funding sources. Mr. Vidale stressed that the program reduction options he outlined may not have an impact on the grant program size this fiscal year but could present challenges in the long term. He further stated that no matter what options or combination of options that are agreed upon, some level of reduction must occur.

Mr. Vidale then recapped the recommended four funding reduction options discussed during the Drug Committee meeting. The first is a requirement for a match up to twenty-five percent in which an agency would provide funding equal to a set percentage based on the amount of the grant allocation. The second is to evaluate the program's performance and effectiveness in each tier. The third would be to coordinate efforts with other federally funded programs prior to making funding allocation recommendations. The fourth is to encourage agencies to expend any additional discretionary income before requesting additional grant monies.

Commissioner Bill Montgomery entered a motion to approve the four funding directives for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Cycle 26 grant funds to eligible criminal justice agencies for the period beginning July 1, 2012 and ending June 30, 2013. The motion was seconded by Designee Warren Alter and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

## **V. Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Strategic Plan**

Pat Nelson, Program Manager presented historical and updated information on the Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Strategic Plan. Ms. Nelson also gave a review on the four goals that were approved in November 2006 and the results of the objectives.

The first goal was to expand capability of the Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS) and the Arrest Capture/Data Collection System (AC/DC). Ms. Nelson explained the rewrite was completed in October 2011 allowing the Department of Public Safety (DPS) to support and maintain the system internally. The Commission approved the use of ARRA funds in the amount of \$932,000 to finance the rewrite project. DPS reports that thirteen agencies are utilizing ADRS and the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) has incorporated ADRS within the new case management system being rolled out by the courts. The AC/DC project was initially a partnership between Phoenix Police Department and Maricopa County Sheriff's Department. The pre-booking process has provided benefits for reducing officer's time and eliminating redundant data entry.

The second goal was to deliver a common tracking capability from "first point of contact" through final disposition. Ms. Nelson reported that local initiatives are taking place utilizing the rapid identification capability and may provide further opportunities to test tracking through the criminal justice process. Discussion will continue with the Policy, Technical committees and stakeholders.

The third goal was to deliver an information query capability across justice systems. Ms. Nelson described how the Justice Web Interface (JWI) developed by Maricopa County ICJIS allows users to obtain information through one query pulling data from multiple sources such as federal, state and local criminal justice databases. Discussions regarding broad base use and leveraging of the JWI tool to benefit Arizona will be take place with the Policy and Technical committees and stakeholders next week.

Ms. Nelson spoke of another initiative called AZLink, which was created as a non-vendor specific program that represents law enforcement agencies utilizing the CopLink application with the ability to expand to other information sharing and investigative applications. Four regional nodes provide law enforcement agencies the capability to search, investigate and analyze data across jurisdictional boundaries.

Lastly, the fourth goal was to integrate with federal initiatives. Ms. Nelson addressed two tactical projects that reached that objective. The first is the FBI National Data Exchange (NDex) which is currently being implemented through individual local, county and state projects with the potential to be implemented as a statewide program. The second is the Tucson Police Department who received funds from the US Department of Homeland Security to expand the utility of the CopLink software by connecting border agencies in Arizona, California, New Mexico and Texas; and federal entities such as US Department of Homeland Security, Department of Justice, US Immigration and Customs, and US Federal Bureau of Prisons. This project served as the foundation for the CopLink cost model that evolved into the statewide AZLink initiative.

Ms. Nelson directed the Commission to the recommendations of the Information, Technology and Systems Improvement Committee for the updated vision, goals and objectives to the Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Strategy on page 20 and 21 of the agenda.

After review, Commissioner David Byers entered a motion to approve the updated vision, goals and objectives of the Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Strategic Plan (2012-2017). The motion was seconded by Commissioner Robert Halliday and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

**VI. Arizona Identity Theft and Domestic Violence Criminal History Records Information**

Phil Stevenson, Director, Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) Director and Matt Bileski, Research Analyst presented analysis of criminal history records related to identity theft and domestic violence. The study was supported by a grant in the amount of \$65,593 from the Bureau of Justice Statistics. Mr. Stevenson explained that a 10 year extract of data was used in this study. The data was provided by the Department of Public Safety from the Arizona Computerized Criminal History Record System, which included arrest information from July 1, 2000 to June 30, 2010 and subsequent case activity entered into ACCH.

Commissioners discussed the findings of the research. Mr. Stevenson stated that staff solicited feedback from stakeholders and once their comments and those of the Commission were incorporated, the report will be submitted to the Bureau Justice of Statistics and will be published by ACJC.

This agenda item presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

**VII. Call to the Public**

Chairperson Sharp made a call to the public.

**VIII. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**

The next Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, May 24, 2012 at 1:30 p.m.** at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

**IX. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 3:05 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

Audio recording is available upon request.

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 24, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on program and staff activities.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 24, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on the state and federal budget.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 24, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on legislative issues.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund (CJEF) Guidelines

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the Attorney General's Office guidelines for the Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund (CJEF) under A.R.S. § 41-2401.

**DISCUSSION:**

See attached

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table



TOM HORNE  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
STATE OF ARIZONA

ANDREW PACHECO  
CRIMINAL DIVISION

May 15, 2012

John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director  
Arizona Criminal Justice Commission  
1110 W. Washington, Suite 230  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: CJEF Guidelines:

Dear Director Blackburn:

Attached are the revised guidelines for the administration and distribution of CJEF funds under ARS §41-2401 D.7. We are submitting these revised guidelines to the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission for review and approval pursuant to the authority established under ARS §41-2405.

The revised CJEF guidelines eliminate the requirement for a program narrative to relieve as much burden as possible from the County Attorneys. We have replaced the program narrative with a certification statement which will be incorporated into the new quarterly report and in compliance with ACJC Rule (R10-4-303(A)(3)).

Moreover, to ensure compliance with the ACJC Rule R10-4-303(A)(4), we have incorporated an audit requirement which will be the responsibility of the Attorney General's Office.

The above approach should comply with both the ACJC Rules and address the concerns of the County Attorneys.

If you have any questions regarding these guidelines please contact me at 602-542-8527 or Mary Applebee, our Grants Manager, at 602-542-8502.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "A. Pacheco", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Andrew C. Pacheco  
Division Chief Counsel  
Criminal Division  
Arizona Attorney General

**OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
CRIMINAL JUSTICE ENHANCEMENT FUND (CJEF)  
FUND GUIDELINES  
May 2012**

- 1. The procedure for handling Fund monies received by the Treasurer's Office until they are allocated:**
  - By the 10<sup>th</sup> of each month, the Treasurer's office deposits monies into the AGO (CJEF) PCA 23620. This is a non-interest bearing account.
  - These deposits are made via wire transfer and represent 9.35% of monies collected pursuant to A.R.S. 12-116.01(H) and A.R.S. 41-2401(D)(7).
  - These funds remain in PCA 23620 until that quarter's distribution has been made to the County Attorneys.
  
- 2. The procedure used to allocate Fund monies received by the Treasurer's Office:**
  - The funds are distributed to the County Attorneys after the last month's quarterly deposit has been received.
  - The quarterly distribution is based on the following formula:
    - All counties are to receive an equal share of the first \$1.5 million in revenue collected. If less than \$1.5 million is received, all monies will be distributed equally among the 15 counties.
    - Monies will be distributed less any Legislative Fund Sweeps. Upon notification of any fund sweep, monies will be reduced from the following quarter distribution.
    - Revenue collected beyond \$1.5 million (less any fund sweep) is distributed based on that county's population as a percentage of the state's total population from the 2010 census.
    - \$9,000 is retained from each quarter's revenue to cover the administrative costs of the Attorney General's Office.
  
- 3. The procedure used to ensure that Fund monies are expended as specified in A.R.S. 41-2401(D)(7):**
  - All distributions are made to elected County Attorneys to be spent for the purpose of enhancing prosecutorial efforts.
  - On a quarterly basis, every County Attorney's Office shall continue to provide an expenditure report within 30 days after the end of each quarter.
  - The approver of each quarterly report shall certify that the funds are expended as specified in A.R.S. 41-2401(D)(7) by signing the cover page which includes the certification statement.

**4. The procedure used to assess the impact of the Fund monies on enhancing criminal justice:**

- The Attorney General's Office will continue to collect these reports quarterly.
- Quarterly: The Arizona Attorney General's Office will rely on the County Attorney representation made through quarterly finance statements and the certification regarding the impact of the fund monies on enhancing criminal justice.
- Annually: A representative of the Arizona Attorney General's Office may complete a site audit of the County Attorney reports. This audit will be a review of expenditure reports, documentation and/or schedules that support the quarterly financial reporting.
- County Attorney expenditure reports and the Arizona Attorney General's audit reviews will be made available for review to the Commission and the Auditor General upon request.

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY13 Crime Victim Compensation Program Designation of Operational Units

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the designation of the 15 County Attorney's Offices as operational units for the FY13 Crime Victim Compensation Program.

#### DISCUSSION:

The Commission is required to annually designate one operational unit for each county to receive an allocation from the Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund, and to administer the Crime Victim Compensation Program for that county. Currently, the County Attorney's Offices in each of the 15 counties are the designated operational units. Staff proposes continuing the current designation of the County Attorney's Offices as operational units for FY13.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Administration of a possible \$4,100,000 in Crime Victim Compensation funds for FY13.

#### ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY13 Crime Victim Compensation Program Budget

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the total funding for the FY13 Crime Victim Compensation Program be set at \$4,100,000 and allocated in accordance with the budget in table VS-1 of the agenda.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

Based on state revenue projections, the legislative appropriation for FY13 and available federal funds, staff proposes the total program size be set at \$4,100,000 with allocations made in accordance with the attached budget. The total program size includes \$2,700,000 in state compensation funds and \$1,400,000 in federal VOCA compensation funds.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

Significant – Expenditure of \$4,100,000 in Crime Victim Compensation funds for FY13.

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

Table VS-1

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION PROGRAM

FY13 PROGRAM BUDGET

**State Compensation**

	<b>FY11</b>	<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>	<b>FY14</b>
Beginning Balance	1,953,003	2,566,819	<b>2,297,995</b>	1,684,995
Revenue	3,172,755	2,369,000	<b>2,087,000</b>	2,106,720
Reversion	622,279	122,176		
	<u>5,748,037</u>	<u>5,057,995</u>	<u><b>4,384,995</b></u>	<u>3,791,715</u>
Expenditures				
Benefits	2,692,418	2,450,000	<b>2,700,000</b>	2,722,500
Study	32,500	2,500	-	-
Sweep	456,300	307,500	-	-
	<u>3,181,218</u>	<u>2,760,000</u>	<u><b>2,700,000</b></u>	<u>2,722,500</u>
Ending Balance	<u>2,566,819</u>	<u>2,297,995</u>	<u><b>1,684,995</b></u>	<u>1,069,215</u>

**Federal VOCA Compensation**

	<b>FY11</b>	<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>	<b>FY14</b>
Beginning Balance		13,895		
Revenue				
2008 grant	168,311	109,525		
2009 grant		989,637		
2010 grant		286,943	<b>899,607</b>	
2011 grant			<b>500,393</b>	671,907
2012 grant				694,450
	<u>168,311</u>	<u>1,400,000</u>	<u><b>1,400,000</b></u>	<u>1,366,357</u>
Expenditures	<u>154,417</u>	<u>1,400,000</u>	<u><b>1,400,000</b></u>	<u>1,366,357</u>
Ending Balance	13,895	-	-	-

	<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>	<b>FY14</b>
<b>County Program Size</b>	3,850,000	<b>4,100,000</b>	4,088,857

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY13 Crime Victim Compensation Program Allocation

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the allocation of \$4,100,000 in state and federal Crime Victim Compensation funds, as proposed in table VS-2, to operational units for the FY13 Crime Victim Compensation Program.

#### DISCUSSION:

Table VS-2 reflects the dollar amounts proposed for allocation to each operational unit for the 15 counties. The allocation formula includes the following process steps:

1. \$50,000 of the state funds retained in emergency reserve as required by Program Rule R10-4-102.D
2. Five percent of FFY11 federal VOCA award allocated to ACJC for administrative costs
3. A fixed administrative allocation from state funds to county programs
4. A base allotment of \$11,100 to county programs
5. The remaining balance of funds distributed by population

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Distribution of \$4,100,000 in Crime Victim Compensation funds to county compensation programs in FY13.

#### ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

Table VS-2

<b>CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION PROGRAM</b> <b>FY 2013 PROPOSED CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION ALLOCATION</b> <b>\$4,100,000 TOTAL PROGRAM</b>										
COUNTY	POPULATION	% POPULATION	POPULATION ALLOTMENT	PRIVATE FUNDS SPENT	60% PRIVATE FUNDS ALLOTMENT	BASE ALLOTMENT	ADMIN ALLOTMENT	TOTAL ALLOTMENT	% OF TOTAL ALLOTMENT	QUARTERLY ALLOCATION
APACHE	71,256	1.07%	\$ 36,639	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,100	\$ 7,200	\$ 54,939	1.36%	\$ 13,735
COCHISE	130,304	1.95%	67,000	-	-	11,100	11,400	89,500	2.21%	22,375
COCONINO	130,949	1.96%	67,332	-	-	11,100	11,400	89,832	2.22%	22,458
GILA	52,216	0.78%	26,849	-	-	11,100	5,900	43,849	1.08%	10,962
GRAHAM	36,594	0.55%	18,816	-	-	11,100	4,600	34,516	0.85%	8,629
GREENLEE	7,723	0.12%	3,971	-	-	11,100	2,600	17,671	0.44%	4,418
LA PAZ	19,770	0.30%	10,165	-	-	11,100	3,500	24,765	0.61%	6,191
MARICOPA	4,063,802	60.87%	2,089,532	-	-	11,100	255,800	2,356,432	58.18%	589,108
MOHAVE	194,299	2.91%	99,905	-	-	11,100	15,000	126,005	3.11%	31,501
NAVAJO	113,353	1.70%	58,284	-	-	11,100	9,800	79,184	1.96%	19,796
PIMA	1,027,226	15.39%	528,180	-	-	11,100	69,100	608,380	15.02%	152,095
PINAL	371,680	5.57%	191,111	-	-	11,100	17,800	220,011	5.43%	55,003
SANTA CRUZ	43,716	0.65%	22,478	-	-	11,100	5,100	38,678	0.96%	9,670
YAVAPAI	215,102	3.22%	110,602	-	-	11,100	16,200	137,902	3.40%	34,476
YUMA	198,637	2.98%	102,136	-	-	11,100	15,100	128,336	3.17%	32,084
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6,676,627</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$ 3,433,000</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 166,500</b>	<b>\$ 450,500</b>	<b>\$ 4,050,000</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 1,012,500</b>

	RECOMMENDED FUNDING	LESS ACJC VOCA ADMIN*	LESS STATE RESERVE**	EQUALS COUNTY ALLOCATION
STATE COMPENSATION FUNDS	\$2,700,000		\$50,000	\$2,650,000
FEDERAL COMPENSATION FUNDS	\$1,461,700	\$61,700		\$1,400,000
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>\$4,050,000</b>

\*ACJC admin equals 5% of FFY2011 VOCA award

\*\*\$50,000 held in reserve as required by Program Rule R10-4-102.D

Source for Population: US Department of Commerce, Census Bureau 2010

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY13 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the award of FY13 Crime Victim Assistance funds, as indicated in table VS-3, to criminal justice government agencies eligible to serve on the Commission.

#### DISCUSSION:

Fifty-four agencies applied for grant funding, requesting a total of \$2,083,979 in Crime Victim Assistance funds for FY13. The program size established by the Commission for FY13 is \$1,020,000.

A.R.S. § 41-2407 (B) states that no more than 50% of Crime Victim Assistance Funds can be allocated to criminal justice government agencies eligible to serve as a member of the Commission. These agencies are specified in A.R.S. § 41-2404 (A) and (B). The maximum amount available for distribution to the eighteen applicants in this category is \$510,000 for FY13.

The funding proposal in table VS-3 reflects the evaluation criteria and priorities approved by the Commission. A narrative is provided in the "Victim Assistance Grant Recommendation Summary" detailing the Victim Assistance Program, the evaluation process, and proposed funding for FY13.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Distribution of up to \$1,020,000 in Crime Victim Assistance grant funds to approved agencies for FY13.

#### ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY13 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the award of FY13 Crime Victim Assistance funds, as indicated in table VS-3, to non-profit agencies, and other government agencies.

#### DISCUSSION:

(See item IV-A)

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Distribution of up to \$1,020,000 in Crime Victim Assistance grant funds to approved agencies for FY13.

#### ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**Table VS-3**

<b>Table 1 Summary of FY 2013 Grant Requests &amp; Proposed Awards</b>					
<b>APPLICANT AGENCY</b>	<b>FY12 Grant Awards</b>	<b>FY12 Funded FTE Positions</b>	<b>FY13 Grant Request</b>	<b>FY13 Proposed Grant Awards</b>	<b>FY13 Proposed FTE Positions</b>
<b>VICTIM ASSISTANCE ACADEMY</b>					
Arizona Coalition of Victim Services	\$ 20,000	0.5	\$ 20,449	\$ 20,000	0.5
<b>COMMISSION ELIGIBLE CRIMINAL JUSTICE GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS</b>					
Apache County Attorney's Office	\$ 19,200	0.5	\$ 40,614	\$ 19,200	0.5
Arizona Attorney General's Office	45,500	0.9	46,744	45,500	0.8
Chandler Police Department	19,500	0.3	98,002	19,500	0.3
Cochise County Attorney's Office	14,400	0.3	21,093	14,400	0.3
El Mirage Police Department	-	0.0	30,734	-	0.0
Gila County Attorney's Office	17,600	0.4	24,029	17,600	0.4
Graham County Attorney's Office	14,200	0.4	34,351	14,200	0.4
Greenlee County Attorney's Office	9,900	0.3	13,489	9,900	0.3
La Paz County Attorney's Office	17,600	0.4	25,432	17,600	0.4
Maricopa County Attorney's Office (Victim Intervention)	-	0.0	140,722	-	0.0
Maricopa County Attorney's Office (Victim Assistance)	104,400	1.9	113,636	104,400	1.8
Mohave County Attorney's Office	25,600	0.8	26,574	25,600	0.8
Navajo County Attorney's Office	25,600	0.6	53,000	25,600	0.6
Peoria Police Department	10,800	0.2	22,238	10,800	0.2
Pima County Attorney's Office	106,900	2.0	117,806	106,900	2.0
Pinal County Attorney's Office	25,600	0.4	27,445	25,600	0.4
Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office	17,600	0.4	32,329	17,600	0.4
Yuma County Attorney's Office	25,600	0.3	37,795	25,600	0.3
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 500,000</b>	<b>10.1</b>	<b>\$ 906,033</b>	<b>\$ 500,000</b>	<b>9.9</b>
<b>NON-PROFIT AND OTHER GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS</b>					
A New Leaf, Inc. (Autumn House / Faith House)	\$ 41,600	0.8	\$ 52,351	\$ 41,600	0.8
A New Leaf, Inc. (Domestic Violence Court Advocacy)	-	0.0	25,672	-	0.0
Against Abuse, Inc.	16,000	0.5	19,951	16,000	0.5
Ama Doo Alchini Bighan, Inc. (ADABI)	-	0.0	21,095	-	0.0
Arizona Coalition Against Domestic Violence	-	0.0	37,281	-	0.0
Arizona Voice for Crime Victims	-	0.0	207,700	-	0.0
Arizona's Children Association (Las Familias)	8,800	0.2	12,410	8,800	0.2
Bullhead City Attorney's Office	11,800	0.2	14,681	11,800	0.2
Casa Grande City Attorney's Office	11,200	0.2	35,105	11,200	0.2
Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona	-	0.0	22,881	-	0.0
Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc.	12,000	0.3	12,721	12,000	0.3
Childhelp	12,000	0.2	12,308	12,000	0.2
Chrysalis Shelter For Victims of Domestic Violence	12,000	0.2	16,191	12,000	0.2
Colorado River Regional Crisis Shelter, Inc.	15,000	0.5	25,054	15,000	0.5
Emerge Center Against Domestic Abuse	46,100	1.5	71,518	46,100	1.4
EMPACT-Suicide Prevention Center	10,800	0.2	42,056	10,800	0.2
Haven Family Resource Center	12,000	0.3	15,674	12,000	0.3
Homicide Survivors, Inc.	28,700	0.8	33,902	28,700	0.8
Jewish Family and Children's Service	15,500	0.2	15,400	15,400	0.2
Kingman Aid To Abused People, Inc.	9,600	0.2	11,600	9,600	0.2
Mesa City Prosecutor's Office	24,500	0.5	46,887	24,500	0.4
Mt. Graham Safe House, Inc.	-	0.0	45,575	-	0.0
Northland Family Help Center	9,000	0.3	23,035	9,000	0.3
Page Regional Domestic Violence Services	-	0.0	21,242	-	0.0
Phoenix City Fire Department	16,000	0.2	30,558	16,000	0.2
Phoenix City Prosecutor's Office	27,200	0.4	46,787	27,200	0.4
Social Service Interagency Council of LHC, Inc.	-	0.0	23,000	-	0.0
Sojourner Center	21,600	0.6	32,547	21,600	0.6
Southern Arizona Center Against Sexual Assault	16,700	0.3	23,190	16,700	0.3
Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center	17,600	0.4	24,377	17,700	0.4
Tempe City Social Services - Care 7	21,000	0.4	24,571	21,000	0.4
Time Out, Inc.	13,000	0.4	20,179	13,000	0.4
Verde Valley Sanctuary	15,300	0.3	17,420	15,300	0.3
Victim/Witness Services For Coconino County	30,000	0.5	30,000	30,000	0.5
Wingspan	25,000	0.6	42,578	25,000	0.6
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 520,000</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>\$ 1,177,946</b>	<b>\$ 520,000</b>	<b>11.0</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,020,000</b>	<b>21.8</b>	<b>\$ 2,083,979</b>	<b>\$ 1,020,000</b>	<b>21.4</b>

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 24, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Program Rules Process Update

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

(See narrative on following page)

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## **Crime Victim Services Rules Promulgation Process Update**

At the January 2012 meeting the Commission approved opening rulemaking dockets for the Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program and the Crime Victim Compensation Program. On March 30<sup>th</sup> those dockets were opened with the Secretary of State's Office giving ACJC one year to complete rules promulgation for these programs. With the dockets open ACJC Victim Services program staff began to collect feedback from stakeholders on recommended rule changes.

Starting in February a workgroup of county compensation program coordinators began monthly meetings with ACJC program staff to review and make suggestions on the compensation program rules. The Arizona Coalition for Victim Services formed a sub-committee for the similar purpose of drafting recommendations on proposed rule changes. The ACVS recommendations were approved at their meeting held on March 21<sup>st</sup> and forwarded to ACJC for consideration.

The first week in April ACJC program staff conducted regional meetings in Phoenix, Flagstaff, and Tucson to solicit feedback from County compensation program board members, members of the victim service community, and concerned members of the general public. Compensation board members from nine different counties participated and provided feedback. Agency representatives attended from the Arizona Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Mothers Against Drunk Driving, Homicide Survivors, and many others. Additionally, several victims and members of the public shared their stories about dealing with the compensation program. Each of these meetings were very well attended and resulted in many valuable recommendations.

Draft language of proposed rule changes will be made available for review on the ACJC web site by early June. The purpose of this review is to give stakeholders a final opportunity to comment on the rule proposals before they go before the Crime Victims Committee and the full Commission for approval on July 19, 2012. For more information on the rules promulgation process please contact Larry Grubbs, Crime Victim Services Program Manager at [lgrubbs@azcjc.gov](mailto:lgrubbs@azcjc.gov) or 602-364-1154.

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 26 Grant Awards

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Tony Vidale, Program Manager  
Drug Control and Systems Improvement

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the award of the Arizona Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Cycle 26 grant funds to eligible criminal justice agencies for the period beginning July 1, 2012 and ending June 30, 2013.

**DISCUSSION:**

See attached

The Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

Significant to recipient agencies

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

## Program Background

The Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Program (DC) allows state, county, local and tribal governments to support activities that combat drugs, gangs, and violent crime. The DC program provides funding to support the components of a statewide, system-wide enhanced drug, gang, and violent crime control program as stated in the *2012-2015 Arizona Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Strategy*. The strategy includes the following two goals for the foundation and directions for the program:

- *Curtail the flow of illicit drugs, drug proceeds and instruments used to perpetuate violence across Arizona.*
- *Reduce violent crime and illicit drug use and deter repeat offenders in Arizona.*

The strategy also outlines purpose areas as a guide to funding projects meant to address the drug, gang, and violent crime problem in the state. These purpose areas are as follows:

- Apprehension
- Prosecution
- Forensic Support Services
- Adjudication & Sentencing
- Corrections & Community Corrections
- Substance Abuse Treatment for Corrections-Involved Individuals
- Prevention and Education

In FY 2012, grant awards were made in the amount of \$11.7 million. These funds were awarded to projects addressing apprehension of drug offenders, tandem prosecution of drug offenses, statewide forfeiture activities, forensic support of task forces, and drug adjudication projects. Table **DC 1** shows a summary of the FY 2012 grant awards, the FY 2013 grant requests made by applicants, and the FY 2013 proposed program size.

## Funding

The program is supported by several funding streams to successfully carry out the statewide strategy. The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne/JAG) funds awarded to Arizona by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance (DOJ/BJA) continue to support program activities along with state Drug and Gang Enforcement Account (DEA) funds established under A.R.S. §41-2402, and matching funds when approved by the Commission. At its March 2012 meeting, the Commission approved implementing a match requirement for the FY 2013 grant in response to a large reduction in overall program revenue.

In FY 2012, \$11.7 was allocated to grant projects. This amount was comprised of \$9.3 million in Byrne/JAG funds and \$2.4 million in DEA funds. Agencies did not contribute a match in FY 2012.

For FY 2013, staff was projecting a significant decrease in the program size of approximately \$3.4 million dollars from the FY 2012 funding levels due to declining revenue from the Byrne/JAG grant and DEA fund collections. In response, the Commission implemented a 25 percent match for the FY 2013 grant year to help maintain a stable program size. The decrease in available funding, however, was not as large as expected. This was driven by two main factors. The first was a decision by the Legislature to not implement a fund sweep for the DEA

fund. The net effect was the availability of \$489,000 for grant projects. The second factor was unexpended federal grant funds, most notably unexpended administrative funds from the ARRA grant and the Maricopa County Attorney's Office decision to expend unbudgeted program income in lieu of project grant funds.

Staff is proposing a program size of \$11,315,922. Of this amount, \$4,909,038 is from the federal Byrne/JAG grant, \$4,143,700 is from the state DEA fund, and \$2,263,184 is from matching funds. As part of this program size, staff is recommending a match of 20 percent instead of the approved 25 percent, reducing the overall match commitment by \$(565,796). This will ease the burden for funded projects to secure match monies. The FY 2013 program size represents a decrease of \$(351,228) from the FY 2012 program size. The table below summarizes the change in program size, by fund source, for FY 2012 and FY 2013.

<b>Program Size FY 2012 – FY 2013</b>			
	<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>FY 2013 (Recommended)</b>	<b>Change</b>
Program Size	\$ 11,667,150	\$ 11,315,922	\$ (351,228)
Fund Source:			
Byrne/JAG	\$ 9,304,722	\$ 4,909,038	\$ (4,395,684)
DEA Fund	\$ 2,362,428	\$ 4,143,700	\$ 1,781,272
Match Funds	\$0	\$ 2,263,184	\$ 2,263,184
Total	\$ 11,667,150	\$ 11,315,922	\$ (351,228)

### **Applications Received**

The solicitation for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program opened February 27, 2012 and closed March 23, 2012. Thirty-five applications were received requesting a total of \$15,671,055. Of the 35 applications, 14 were apprehension projects (Tier 1), 16 were tandem prosecution projects (Tier 1), one was a statewide forfeiture project (Tier 1), two were forensic support projects (Tier 2), one was a drug adjudication project (Tier 2), and one was a corrections project (Tier 2). Table **DC 2** displays the grant request for each eligible applicant broken out by priority area and expenditure type.

### **Evaluation and Scoring**

Eligible applications were reviewed by an evaluation team consisting of five ACJC staff and one outside evaluator. The projects were scored based on the criteria published in the solicitation with an emphasis on the goals of the statewide strategy. In addition, the solicitation emphasized that personnel expenditures would be prioritized in the budget recommendation allocation.

### **Recommendation**

Staff recommends funding according to table **DC 3**. Under the proposal, \$3,852,863 would be allocated to tier one apprehension projects, \$3,919,604 for tier one tandem prosecution projects, \$983,862 for a tier one statewide forfeiture project, \$549,284 for tier two forensic support projects and \$2,010,309 for tier two drug adjudication projects. All of the funding would support personnel costs for grant projects.

Considering the funding reductions the program faced, across-the-board reductions were not implemented as a funding strategy. For applicants scored as eligible, all expenditure types were analyzed by staff and allocated based on the needs and best interests of the grant program in

meeting the statewide strategy. The following guidelines were used by staff to build the recommendation:

- If the project was previously funded, try to keep the overall project size level.
- Maintain balance funding between tier one apprehension and prosecution projects.
- Fund at least one tier one project in each county.
- Provide some level of funding to tier two projects that are impacted by apprehension and prosecution activities.
- Prioritize funding core operations positions. Core operations positions are those considered most critical in meeting the purposes of the strategy (i.e. task force officers, attorneys, criminalists).
- Fund a position and the associated ERE at the current costs as reported by the agency in the application.
- As a priority, fund personal services, ERE, and overtime before considering any other budget category.
- Avoid funding multiple-type support positions for any project.

There are significant reductions recommended for some agencies that were funded in FY 2012. The Cochise County Sheriff's Office will no longer operate as a task force and the recommendation only includes overtime expenditures for officers to conduct drug case investigations. The Kingman Police Department submitted a proposal that was lower than their FY 2012 award. The recommendation fully funds the agency's personnel request. The Pinal County Sheriff's Office and the Arizona Administrative Office of the Courts proposals requested budget expenditures other than personal services, ERE, or overtime. The recommendation for both of these agencies fully funds their personnel requests.

Two agencies funded in FY 2012 are not recommended for funding. The La Paz County Sheriff's Office and the Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office did not submit.

Two new requests were not recommended for funding based on their application and alignment with the requirements of the program. The Mesa City Prosecutor's Office proposal did not adequately meet the requirements of the grant program. The Department of Corrections proposal requested funding for budget expenditures other than personal services, ERE, or overtime, which was not recommended for any agency submitting a proposal for FY 2013.

<b>DC 1 Summary of FY 2013 Grant Requests &amp; Proposed Awards</b>			
<b>APPLICANT AGENCY</b>	<b>FY12 Grant Awards</b>	<b>FY13 Grant Request</b>	<b>FY13 Proposed Grant Awards</b>
<b>Tier 1 Apprehension</b>			
Apache County SO	\$ 246,008	\$ 365,916	\$ 246,008
Cochise County SO	175,210	175,238	135,695
Flagstaff PD	298,117	398,682	298,117
Gila County SO	344,288	376,410	344,288
Graham County SO	29,152	32,151	29,152
Greenlee County SO	32,560	70,000	32,560
Kingman PD	370,117	350,993	350,993
La Paz County SO	131,776	-	-
Maricopa County SO	383,560	402,084	383,560
Navajo County SO	245,193	404,336	245,193
Pinal County SO	166,313	163,343	151,343
Prescott Valley PD	418,399	1,371,081	418,399
Santa Cruz County SO	178,696	236,964	178,696
Tucson PD	787,010	1,017,891	787,010
Yuma SO	251,849	252,005	251,849
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 4,058,248</b>	<b>\$ 5,617,094</b>	<b>\$ 3,852,863</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution</b>			
Apache County Attorney	\$ 96,152	\$ 140,307	\$ 96,152
Cochise County Attorney	167,425	208,269	167,425
Coconino County Attorney	146,080	288,620	146,080
Gila County Attorney	67,727	67,727	67,727
Graham County Attorney	54,284	72,300	54,284
Greenlee County Attorney	36,600	38,381	36,600
La Paz County Attorney	77,435	145,081	77,435
Maricopa County Attorney	1,482,804	1,772,211	1,482,804
Mesa City Prosecutor	-	157,033	-
Mohave County Attorney	165,935	367,786	165,935
Navajo County Attorney	117,040	209,635	117,040
Pima County Attorney	555,071	748,133	555,071
Pinal County Attorney	198,598	269,239	198,598
Santa Cruz County Attorney	52,838	-	-
Tucson City Prosecutor	332,171	393,227	332,171
Yavapai County Attorney	134,115	146,157	134,115
Yuma County Attorney	288,167	292,562	288,167
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,972,442</b>	<b>\$ 5,316,668</b>	<b>\$ 3,919,604</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities</b>			
Attorney General's Office	\$ 983,862	\$ 1,845,929	\$ 983,862
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 983,862</b>	<b>\$ 1,845,929</b>	<b>\$ 983,862</b>
<b>Tier 2 Forensic Support</b>			
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 482,388	\$ 597,199	\$ 482,388
Tucson PD - Forensics	66,896	116,096	66,896
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 549,284</b>	<b>\$ 713,295</b>	<b>\$ 549,284</b>
<b>Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections</b>			
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 2,103,314	\$ 2,063,274	\$ 2,010,309
Arizona Department of Corrections	\$ -	\$ 114,795	\$ -
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,103,314</b>	<b>\$ 2,178,069</b>	<b>\$ 2,010,309</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 11,667,150</b>	<b>\$ 15,671,055</b>	<b>\$ 11,315,922</b>

DC 2 FY 2013 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Requests									
Applicant Agency	Salary	Overtime	ERE	Prof./ Outside Svs	In-State Travel	Out-of-State Travel	Operating Expense	Equipment	Total FY12 Requested
<b>Tier 1 Apprehension</b>									
Apache County SO	\$ 231,610	\$ -	\$ 134,306	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 365,916
Cochise County SO	32,024	135,695	7,519	-	-	-	-	-	175,238
Flagstaff PD	200,937	66,558	111,637	-	3,000	3,600	12,950	-	398,682
Gila County SO	262,766	-	113,644	-	-	-	-	-	376,410
Graham County SO	-	30,151	-	-	-	-	-	2,000	32,151
Greenlee County SO	-	67,500	-	-	2,500	-	-	-	70,000
Kingman PD	220,563	-	130,430	-	-	-	-	-	350,993
La Paz County SO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Maricopa County SO	259,556	-	142,528	-	-	-	-	-	402,084
Navajo County SO	263,000	-	141,336	-	-	-	-	-	404,336
Pinal County SO	101,929	-	49,414	-	-	-	12,000	-	163,343
Prescott Valley PD	749,494	177,478	353,249	-	-	-	90,860	-	1,371,081
Santa Cruz County SO	153,381	-	83,583	-	-	-	-	-	236,964
Tucson PD	698,222	-	319,669	-	-	-	-	-	1,017,891
Yuma SO	183,243	-	68,762	-	-	-	-	-	252,005
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 3,356,725</b>	<b>\$ 477,382</b>	<b>\$ 1,656,077</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 5,500</b>	<b>\$ 3,600</b>	<b>\$115,810</b>	<b>\$ 2,000</b>	<b>\$ 5,617,094</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution</b>									
Apache County Attorney	106,552	-	33,755	-	-	-	-	-	140,307
Cochise County Attorney	162,656	-	45,613	-	-	-	-	-	208,269
Coconino County Attorney	213,840	-	74,780	-	-	-	-	-	288,620
Gila County Attorney	51,293	-	16,434	-	-	-	-	-	67,727
Graham County Attorney	54,550	-	17,750	-	-	-	-	-	72,300
Greenlee County Attorney	30,705	-	7,676	-	-	-	-	-	38,381
La Paz County Attorney	106,677	-	38,404	-	-	-	-	-	145,081
Maricopa County Attorney	1,323,615	-	448,596	-	-	-	-	-	1,772,211
Mesa City Prosecutor	-	7,578	-	-	-	-	149,455	-	157,033
Mohave County Attorney	262,704	-	105,082	-	-	-	-	-	367,786
Navajo County Attorney	152,153	-	47,359	-	2,383	-	4,740	3,000	209,635
Pima County Attorney	592,191	-	155,942	-	-	-	-	-	748,133
Pinal County Attorney	209,309	-	59,930	-	-	-	-	-	269,239
Santa Cruz County Attorney	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Tucson City Prosecutor	257,191	-	136,036	-	-	-	-	-	393,227
Yavapai County Attorney	108,833	-	37,324	-	-	-	-	-	146,157
Yuma County Attorney	217,739	-	74,823	-	-	-	-	-	292,562
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 3,850,008</b>	<b>\$ 7,578</b>	<b>\$ 1,299,504</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 2,383</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$154,195</b>	<b>\$ 3,000</b>	<b>\$ 5,316,668</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities</b>									
Attorney General's Office	\$ 1,335,385	\$ -	\$ 510,544	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,845,929
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,335,385</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 510,544</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,845,929</b>
<b>Tier 2 Forensic Support</b>									
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 446,089	\$ -	\$ 151,110	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 597,199
Tucson PD - Forensics	80,622	-	35,474	-	-	-	-	-	116,096
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 526,711</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 186,584</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 713,295</b>
<b>Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections</b>									
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,506,771	\$ -	\$ 503,555	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52,948	\$ -	\$ 2,063,274
Arizona Department of Corrections	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 88,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,795	\$ 19,600	\$ 114,795
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,506,771</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 503,555</b>	<b>\$88,400</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 59,743</b>	<b>\$19,600</b>	<b>\$ 2,178,069</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 10,575,600</b>	<b>\$ 484,960</b>	<b>\$ 4,156,264</b>	<b>\$88,400</b>	<b>\$ 7,883</b>	<b>\$ 3,600</b>	<b>\$329,748</b>	<b>\$24,600</b>	<b>\$ 15,671,055</b>

DC 3 FY 2013 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Proposed Awards									
Applicant Agency	Personal Services	Overtime	ERE Costs	Prof./ Outside Svs	In-State Travel	Out-of-State Travel	Operating Expense	Equipment	Total FY12 Approved
<b>Tier 1 Apprehension</b>									
Apache County SO	\$ 150,827	\$ -	\$ 95,181	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 246,008
Cochise County SO	-	98,060	37,635	-	-	-	-	-	135,695
Flagstaff PD	190,882	-	107,235	-	-	-	-	-	298,117
Gila County SO	241,422	-	102,866	-	-	-	-	-	344,288
Graham County SO	-	21,825	7,327	-	-	-	-	-	29,152
Greenlee County SO	-	32,560	-	-	-	-	-	-	32,560
Kingman PD	220,563	-	130,430	-	-	-	-	-	350,993
La Paz County SO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Maricopa County SO	247,500	-	136,060	-	-	-	-	-	383,560
Navajo County SO	162,027	-	83,166	-	-	-	-	-	245,193
Pinal County SO	101,929	-	49,414	-	-	-	-	-	151,343
Santa Cruz County SO	113,705	-	64,991	-	-	-	-	-	178,696
Prescott Valley PD	287,058	-	131,341	-	-	-	-	-	418,399
Tucson PD	534,773	-	252,237	-	-	-	-	-	787,010
Yuma SO	183,140	-	68,709	-	-	-	-	-	251,849
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 2,433,826</b>	<b>\$ 152,445</b>	<b>\$ 1,266,592</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 3,852,863</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution</b>									
Apache County Attorney	73,794	-	22,358	-	-	-	-	-	96,152
Cochise County Attorney	131,382	-	36,043	-	-	-	-	-	167,425
Coconino County Attorney	108,345	-	37,735	-	-	-	-	-	146,080
Gila County Attorney	51,293	-	16,434	-	-	-	-	-	67,727
Graham County Attorney	40,971	-	13,313	-	-	-	-	-	54,284
Greenlee County Attorney	30,160	-	6,440	-	-	-	-	-	36,600
La Paz County Attorney	56,838	-	20,597	-	-	-	-	-	77,435
Maricopa County Attorney	1,121,747	-	361,057	-	-	-	-	-	1,482,804
Mesa City Prosecutor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Mohave County Attorney	118,553	-	47,382	-	-	-	-	-	165,935
Navajo County Attorney	89,050	-	27,990	-	-	-	-	-	117,040
Pima County Attorney	438,817	-	116,254	-	-	-	-	-	555,071
Pinal County Attorney	153,537	-	45,061	-	-	-	-	-	198,598
Santa Cruz County Attorney	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Tucson City Prosecutor	217,318	-	114,853	-	-	-	-	-	332,171
Yavapai County Attorney	100,461	-	33,654	-	-	-	-	-	134,115
Yuma County Attorney	214,056	-	74,111	-	-	-	-	-	288,167
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 2,946,322</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 973,282</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 3,919,604</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities</b>									
Attorney General's Office	\$ 777,725	\$ -	\$ 206,137	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 983,862
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 777,725</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 206,137</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 983,862</b>
<b>Tier 2 Forensic Support</b>									
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 351,823	\$ -	\$ 130,565	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 482,388
Tucson PD - Forensics	46,513	-	20,383	-	-	-	-	-	66,896
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 398,336</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 150,948</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 549,284</b>
<b>Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections</b>									
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,506,771	\$ -	\$ 503,538	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,010,309
Arizona Department of Corrections	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,506,771</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 503,538</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 2,010,309</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 8,062,980</b>	<b>\$ 152,445</b>	<b>\$ 3,100,497</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 11,315,922</b>

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Strategy Priorities and Tactical Recommendations

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Karl Heckart  
Policy Team Chairperson

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the strategic priorities and tactical recommendations as listed in the attached document

**DISCUSSION:**

The Policy and the Technical Teams met on May 10, 2012 to determine and approve strategic priorities and tactical recommendations to accomplish the goals set forth in the Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Strategic Plan approved by the Commission on March 22, 2012. Recommendations coming forward to the Commission take into consideration the ability to build on current initiatives, maximize multiple funding sources to support projects and staffing needs to deliver successful programs to support the strategic goals.

The Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table



Arizona Records Improvement  
And  
Information Sharing Strategy  
2012 - 2017

**VISION – ENHANCE PUBLIC SAFETY AND SECURITY FOR ARIZONA CITIZENS THROUGH A COLLABORATIVE JUSTICE INFORMATION SHARING ENVIRONMENT WHILE PROTECTING THE PRIVACY OF CITIZENS AND CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION.**

**GOAL 1 - Improve criminal records quality by increasing the timeliness, accuracy, completeness and accessibility of record information.**

**Objective 1.1 – Identify opportunities to enhance the automation of information delivery, the effectiveness of programs, and the efficiency of operations.**

**Objective 1.2 – Promote ongoing records improvement collaboration across the flow of the criminal justice system.**

**GOAL 2 – Enhance information sharing across jurisdictional boundaries.**

**Objective 2.1 – Develop a conceptual framework that supports information sharing and leverages initiatives and opportunities.**

**Objective 2.2 – Pursue avenues that enhance the connectivity of disparate components, systems and databases to promote seamless information delivery.**

**Supporting Activities & Performance Measures:**

- Extend the availability of electronic disposition information entry (via ADRS) statewide by 12/31/12 **Supports Obj. 1.1, 1.2, 2.2**
- Expand XML data transfer ability to ADRS pilot agencies (MCAO, Maricopa County Clerk of the Court, and Pinal County) by June 30, 2012. **Supports Obj. 1.1, 2.2**
- Increase the completeness of criminal history records in Arizona by 10 percent by 12/31/17 **Supports Obj. 1.1, 1.2, 2.1**
- Extend JWI functionality and data sources available to JWI through collaboration with stakeholders and DPS. **Supports Obj. 1.1, 2.1, 2.2**
- Continue to provide information and support regarding the availability and utility of AZLINK as a law enforcement investigative and analysis tool. **Supports Obj. 1.1, 2.1 and 2.2**
- Continue to support through facilitation, participation and information sharing the warrant reengineering initiative focused on business process improvements, information exchange and record retention of warrants. **Supports Obj. 1.1, 1.2, 2.1**
- Continue support through facilitation and participation of executive and stakeholder workgroups and subcommittees allowing stakeholders to discuss, analyze and recommend changes to business processes, legislation, and administrative policy. **Supports Obj. 1.2, 2.2**
- Facilitate the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) project awarded to the ACJC from the Bureau of Justice Statistics in October 2011. Improve the reporting of mental health adjudications and completed dispositions for offenses used by the NICS unit for making determinations

regarding firearm purchases. Offenses included are all felonies, domestic violence and drug offenses. Deliverables of grant include Arizona NICS Record Improvement Plan. **Supports Obj. 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, and 2.2**

- Improve completeness of failure to appear (FTA) (13-2506 and 13-2507) charges currently existing in the state repository through coordinated efforts with county and municipal prosecution offices. Evaluate with stakeholders direction for warrant process improvements / reengineering. Suggested changes to be vetted through policy, technical, legislative committees and Commission as required. **Supports Obj. 1.1, 1.2**
- Be attentive to federal records improvement and information sharing priorities and leverage opportunities to align with federal initiatives. **Supports Obj. 2.1**
- Continue partnerships with Judicial Branch to encourage standardized business processes for electronic filing. **Supports Obj. 1.1, 1.2**
- Provide information regarding the benefits of E-citation to law enforcement and courts. **Supports Obj. 2.1**

#### **TECHNOLOGY STANDARDS**

- Continue to educate stakeholders on federal information exchange standards (such as NIEM) and support global initiatives through training and informational resources. **Supports Obj. 1.1, 2.1 and 2.2**
- Educate stakeholders regarding the capability of querying across multiple informational sources through JWI. **Supports Obj. 1.1, 2.1 and 2.2**
- Adopt NIEM compliant exchange standards for E-filing and E-citation information sharing. **Supports Obj. 1.1, 2.1 and 2.2**

#### **FUNDING**

- Identify funding opportunities (federal, state, local, private, other) to further the priorities of the state strategy and coordinate efforts to leverage the greatest benefit. **Supports Obj. 2.1**
- Continue to encourage national and state legislative appropriations for public safety records and information exchange efforts. **Supports Obj. 2.2**

**GOAL 1 – IMPROVE CRIMINAL RECORDS QUALITY BY INCREASING THE TIMELINESS, ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS AND ACCESSIBILITY OF RECORD INFORMATION.**

**Objective 1.1 Identify opportunities to enhance the automation of information delivery, the effectiveness of programs, and the efficiency of operations.**

**Objective 1.2 Promote ongoing records improvement collaboration across the flow of the criminal justice system.**

NO.	Activities	FY 13 Priority			POTENTIAL PARTNERS	EXISTING RESOURCES	RESOURCE NEEDS	STATUS
1.	<b>Continuation of ADRS rollout and further system enhancements to support LE information exchange to Prosecutor systems</b>	Began 2/ 2012		Through FY13	All Stakeholders – AOC & DPS joint implementation	AOC, DPS and implementation agencies	Currently funded – CJRIP & Agencies	Ongoing
ACTION STEPS	1. AOC / DPS continued roll-out			12/2013		AOC, DPS, CJRIP FUNDING	Currently funded	Ongoing
	2. DPS – implementation of enhancements			12/2012		DPS, AOC, CJRIP FUNDING	Currently funded	Ongoing
	3. Ongoing review and analysis for system improvements.			Ongoing	All Stakeholders	DPS, AOC and All Stakeholders	All Stakeholders	Ongoing
2.	<b>Criminal History Records Clean-Up &amp; Completion</b>							
ACTION STEPS	1. Activities currently funded to support the clean up of missing records by addressing backlogs and rejected dispositions.	Ongoing since 1998		Ongoing	CJ Stakeholders	NCHIP, CJRIP, NICS funding & Agency Personnel	Currently funded	Grants will expire FY12 & FY13
	2. Extensive discussion required by the Policy Team to develop scope of areas to be addressed in FY2013	Begin discussions June 2012		Ongoing	All Stakeholders	Stakeholders currently attending business process improvement meetings.	Continued support from stakeholders	Ongoing

**GOAL #2: ENHANCE INFORMATION SHARING ACROSS JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES**

**Objective 2.1 – Develop a conceptual framework that supports information sharing and leverages initiatives and opportunities.**

**Objective 2.2 – Pursue avenues that enhance the connectivity of disparate components, systems and databases to promote seamless information delivery.**

NO.	Activities	FY13 Priority			POTENTIAL PARTNERS	EXISTING RESOURCES	RESOURCE NEEDS	STATUS
1.	<b>Warrant Repository – eWarrants Process</b>	Began FY2011		Ongoing	All Stakeholders	AOC, DPS, all CJ Stakeholders, Federal funding	Funding and continued stakeholder involvement	1 <sup>st</sup> Phase of Study to be completed by July 2012. Next phase will need directed funding
ACTION STEPS	1. Phase II of study will be the development of an improved business model and system specifications for warrant issuance, tracking, reporting and repository.	TBD			All Stakeholders	Currently stakeholder discussions	Funding to hire project manager, continued stakeholder involvement and legislative analysis	Pending Commission Approval
	2. Analysis of FTA Warrant process and recommendations for improvements.	TBD			All Stakeholders	Currently stakeholder discussions	Continued stakeholder involvement	Pending Commission Approval
NO.		FY 13 Priority			POTENTIAL PARTNERS	EXISTING RESOURCES	RESOURCE NEEDS	STATUS
2.	<b>Support NICS Task Force Project</b>	FY2011		FY2013	All Stakeholders	Consultants – Project Manager & System Analysts, ACJC SAC & Program Staff and CJ Stakeholders and BJS funding	Stakeholder involvement	Ongoing

	1. Currently Task Force is doing analysis of 7 reporting categories for NICS to develop recommendations for business process improvements.	FY2011		FY2013	All Stakeholders		Continued involvement of stakeholders	Ongoing - Additional funding request submitted 4/2012
	2. Automation of court orders currently being submitted in paper to DPS – then forwarded to NICS.			FY2013	AOC & DPS	AOC & DPS	Funding and contract personnel to manage project	Pending Commission Approval
NO.	Activities	START DATE			POTENTIAL PARTNERS	EXISTING RESOURCES	RESOURCE NEEDS	STATUS
3.	<b>NIEM Standards and Education</b>	FY2000			All Stakeholders	Technical Team	Committee Direction	Ongoing
ACTION STEPS	1. Technical Team to develop plan for Arizona adoption of NIEM standards and educational opportunities in partnership with federal resources (Global, SEARCH, BJA and NCJA technical assistance)	FY2012		FY13	All Stakeholders	Committees	Committees and Stakeholders	Ongoing

**Medium Priorities for 2013 include:**

- **JWI – Data Source Sharing** – Additional detailed discussion required with Policy Team to formulate recommendations to the Commission.
- **AZLink** – Streamlined agreement process – AG currently working on agreements – Further discussion needed with Policy Team to develop recommendations to the Commission.
- **Failure to Appear** – Exclusion of Stats – Policy discussion needed to develop recommendation for the Commission regarding omission of FTA violations without disposition in statistics. (Recommendation to consider would be to report both with FTAs and without making appropriate footnote.)
- **eCitation** – Implementation in all jurisdictions – Discussion needed with Policy Team and Technical Team for recommendations of how to assist smaller jurisdictions – evaluation if DPS software could be made available. Need to develop consortium model.
- **Synchronization** of Probation – Release – Statute and Ordinance Systems – Common Code Tables. Policy and Technical Team discussion required to develop recommendations to the Commission.

**High Priorities in FY2014**

- Criminal eFiling

**Medium Priority in FY2014**

- JWI Operational Consortium
- AZLink Funding

**Low Priority in FY2014**

- AZLink Enhanced Services



**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

**Request for Commission Action**

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Gerald Hardt Memorial Criminal Justice Records Improvement Program Funding

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Pat Nelson, Program Manager  
CJ System Improvements Program

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve directing 2013 Byrne/JAG 5% set-aside funding designated for the Gerald Hardt Criminal Justice Records Improvement Program in the amount of \$200,000 to support the implementation for the electronic transfer capability of Arizona mental health case information to the FBI/National Criminal Background Check System (NICS).

**DISCUSSION:**

See attached

The Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

Significant to recipient agencies

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

The Gerald Hardt Memorial Criminal Justice Records Improvement Program is designed to implement various projects to improve the quality, completeness, and accessibility of the state's criminal justice records systems with an emphasis on criminal history information. High priority is given to encourage automation, and establishing uniform, automated procedures for reporting.

Adequate resources are critical to support the program and in the past, the Edward Byrne Formula Grant was a primary funding source. Initially, funding to support information and record improvement projects was provided through a congressional requirement that each state allocate at least 5% of the Byrne funding for the improvement of criminal justice records. Although no longer a requirement, the Commission has continued to support a 5% set aside for the Gerald Hardt Memorial Criminal Justice Records Improvement Program.

In March, the Commission approved the updated vision, goals and objectives for the Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Strategy (attached). Recommendations were presented today from the Policy and Technical Committees for strategic priorities and tactical recommendations to accomplish the goals. Criminal Justice stakeholders continue to meet regularly to work through and make recommendations for improvements to the criminal justice process and information exchanges. The ability to use the limited funding from CJRIP will allow continued progress for improving Arizona's standards for reporting.

Funding will be utilized to support implementation of an automated transfer of information on mental health adjudications from the Administrative Office of the Courts to the Department of Public Safety which then transfers to the FBI/NICS repository. Additionally, work will begin with the business and technical design requirements to develop an electronic warrant system/repository through a collaborative effort with all criminal justice stakeholders.

Previous funding cycles have been awarded to support initiatives that provide a statewide benefit. Recommendation to direct the FY2013 funding to support the electronic exchange of mental health information required for NICS from the Administrative Office of the Courts to DPS will support the strategic goals approved in March 2012 and provide a statewide benefit for criminal justice agencies and the public.

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 24, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Full Forensic Crime Laboratory Grant Program

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Pat Nelson, Program Manager  
CJ System Improvements Program

#### RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the Full Service Forensic Crime Laboratory grant allocations for FY13 in the amount of \$800,000 as outlined in the attached table.

#### DISCUSSION:

Arizona Revised Statute 41-2421, commonly referred to as "Fill the Gap", directs the monies collected pursuant to section 12-116.01, subsection B shall be allocated in part to the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission for distribution to state, county and municipal law enforcement full-service forensic crime laboratories pursuant to rules adopted by the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission.

Full Service Forensic Crime laboratory grant applications were received from all five laboratories for the grant program cycle of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013. Staff reviewed the requests for \$800,000 as outline in the attached table.

The Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant to recipient agencies

#### ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

<b>Funding Recipient</b>	<b>Total FY 2013 Allocation pursuant to ARS 41-2421 (J)(5)</b>	<b>Base Allocation *</b>	<b>Population Percentages</b>	<b>Population Allocation</b>	<b>Proposed Allocation</b>
	<b>\$800,000</b>	<b>\$700,000</b>		<b>\$100,000</b>	<b>\$800,000</b>
AZ Department of Public Safety (3 labs)		\$300,000	55%	\$55,000	\$355,000
Mesa Police Department		\$100,000	7%	\$7,000	\$107,000
Phoenix Police Department		\$100,000	22%	\$22,000	\$122,000
Scottsdale Police Department		\$100,000	4%	\$4,000	\$104,000
Tucson Police Department		\$100,000	12%	\$12,000	\$112,000
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>\$800,000</b>	<b>\$700,000</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$100,000</b>	<b>\$800,000</b>

\* Every full-service forensic crime lab (DPS has 3 labs) gets a base of \$100,000.