

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING  
OF THE  
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION  
AND  
AGENDA**

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** and to the general public that the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** will hold a meeting open to the public on **Thursday, May 23, 2013** beginning at **1:30 p.m.** at the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.**

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

The Commission may go into Executive Session on any of the following agenda items for the purposes of receiving legal advice pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3).

Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| <b>I. Call to Order and Roll Call</b>   | <b>Chairperson Daniel Sharp</b> |
| <b>II. Minutes of the March 21, 2013 Meeting</b>  |                                 |
| • Approval of Minutes   | <b>P-F-T</b>                    |
| <b>III. Executive Director's Report</b>   | <b>John A. Blackburn, Jr.</b>   |
| <b>A. Staff and Program Updates</b>   | <b>Info</b>                     |
| <b>B. Budget Update</b>   | <b>Info</b>                     |
| <b>C. Legislative Update</b>  | <b>Info</b>                     |
| <b>IV. Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund Update</b>   | <b>Larry Grubbs</b>             |
| • Information on the State budget's impact on victim program funding.   | <b>Info</b>                     |
| <b>V. FY13 Victim Compensation Funding Reallocation</b>   | <b>Larry Grubbs</b>             |
| • Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on reallocating available FY13 victim compensation funds. | <b>P-F-T</b>                    |

- VI. FY14 Crime Victim Compensation Program** **Larry Grubbs**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the following:
    - A. Total Program Allocation** **P-F-T**
    - B. Designation of Operational Units** **P-F-T**
    - C. Operational Unit Funding Allocation** **P-F-T**
    - D. Restitution/Subrogation Expenditures** **P-F-T**
- VII. FY14 Crime Victim Assistance Program** **Larry Grubbs**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the following:
    - A. Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards:**  
Government agencies eligible to serve on the ACJC **P-F-T**
    - B. Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards:**  
Other government, and non-profit agencies **P-F-T**
- VIII. Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Cycle 27 Grant Awards** **Tony Vidale**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on FY14 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control (Cycle 27) Grant Awards. **P-F-T**
- IX. Call to the Public**
- Those wishing to address the Commission need not request permission in advance. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.
- X. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**
- The next Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, July 18, 2013** at **2:00 p.m.** at Little America Hotel, Flagstaff, AZ.
- XI. Adjournment**

A copy of the agenda background material provided to Commission members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office.



**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

**Request for Commission Action**

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 23, 2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the March 21, 2013 Meeting

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the minutes of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting held on March 21, 2013.

**DISCUSSION:**

N/A

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**Arizona Criminal Justice Commission**  
**Minutes**  
**March 21, 2013**

A public meeting of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on March 21, 2013 at 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Members Present:

Daniel G. Sharp, Chairperson, Chief, Oro Valley Police Department  
Bill Montgomery, Vice Chairperson, Maricopa County Attorney  
Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff, Jack MacIntyre representing  
Joseph Brugman, Chief, Coolidge Police Department  
David Byers, Director, Administrative Office of the Courts  
Timothy Dorn, Chief, Gilbert Police Department  
Clarence Dupnik, Pima County Sheriff, Warren Alter representing  
Chris Gibbs, Mayor, City of Safford  
Robert Halliday, Director, Department of Public Safety  
Jesse Hernandez, Chairperson, Board of Executive Clemency  
Tom Horne, Attorney General, Paula Alleman representing  
Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney, David Smutzer representing by conference call  
William Pribil, Coconino County Sheriff, Cathy Allen representing  
Sheila Polk, Yavapai County Attorney  
Charles Ryan, Director, Department of Corrections, Jeff Hood representing  
David Sanders, Pima County Chief Probation Officer  
Mark Spencer, Law Enforcement Leader

Members Absent:

Steven Sheldon, Former Judge

Staff Participating:

John A. Blackburn, Jr., Executive Director  
George Diaz, Public Information Officer  
Tony Vidale, Program Manager  
Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Pat Nelson, Program Manager  
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

Guests Participating:

Tony Coulson, NICS Task Force  
Aaron Gorrell, NICS Task Force

**I. Call to Order and Roll Call**

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Daniel Sharp at 2:00 p.m. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present.

**II. Minutes of the January 24, 2013 Meeting**

Chairperson Sharp called for a motion on the minutes. Commissioner Sheila Polk entered a motion to approve the minutes of the January 24, 2013 meeting. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Robert Halliday and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

**III. Executive Director's Report**

**A. Staff and Program Update**

Executive Director Blackburn addressed the staff and program update.

On the staff report, ACJC will await the results of the budget process to determine when to fill the vacant auditor position. Executive Director Blackburn announced that each of the program areas are fully engaged in activity as will be evident by the program reports presented during the Commission meeting.

**B. Budget Update**

Executive Director Blackburn noted since the critical issue requests from ACJC were not recommended for funding in the Governor's proposal, staff is actively working with the legislature to find funding. Executive Director Blackburn explained that there are concerns with the budget and the limited funds from the Governor's office. What ACJC is asking for from the legislature also brings up concerns with some Commission members and we understand that they may not support what we are requesting in our agency budget. Executive Director Blackburn reported a 5 percent cut to all federal programs due to the federal sequestration. According to the rules established by Congress, agencies will not be allowed to move more than 5 percent of their reductions to programs. Executive Director Blackburn pointed out that there will be no more than a 10 percent reduction and with the SORNA penalty for non-compliance since 2008; there has been a 60 percent reduction in federal funds to criminal justice programs in Arizona through ACJC.

**C. Legislative Update**

George Diaz, Public Information Officer discussed the last of the committee hearings to hear bills were held at the state legislature. Mr. Diaz commented about recent press coverage on the prescription drug misuse and abuse initiative and stated most of the stories are coming out of the smaller communities. Also, articles were written on the effects of the budget cuts as a result of the federal sequester and the use of the database for criminal history background checks.

The Executive Director's Report was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

#### **IV. Consideration of Match Requirement for FY 2014 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Grant**

Tony Vidale, Program Manager presented the recommendation of the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee that the Commission approve setting a match requirement of 20 percent for the FY 2014 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Grant. The Commission was referred to Table DC1 on page 13 of the agenda showing actual and estimated state and federal funding from FY 2011 through FY 2015 by grant fund source and year to year percentage change.

Mr. Vidale provided additional information on the purpose of the match requirement for the overall program. The benefits of the match program are that these resources help maintain a level of effort dedicated to addressing the drug problem in communities, grant recipients have a stake in knowing they are helping themselves by contributing resources to combat drug-related crime, and in some instances the match requirement helps to secure or protect a funding set-aside for the project from the county or city appropriating authority.

Mr. Vidale also discussed how the match could represent a challenge for some agencies because they may not have any discretionary funds to cover a match requirement and therefore refuse grant awards or experience project reductions. The same benefits and challenges were present in FY2013 as well but all agencies were able to meet the match requirements and none reported any issues in meeting the match.

Mr. Vidale briefly reviewed a question from the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee meeting. A question was asked if a match could provide a higher level of funding to projects and the answer is no because the match does not allow us to shift funds to give a project more funding than in the previous year.

Commissioner Sheila Polk entered a motion to approve a required match of 20 percent for the FY 2014 grant year. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Robert Halliday and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

#### **V. Funding Priorities for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Grant**

Tony Vidale, Program Manager presented the recommendation of the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee that the Commission approve the use of a tiered system to establish funding priorities for the FY 2014 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Grant. The Commission was referred to Table DC3 on page 17 of the agenda that described the purpose areas established and defined in the Arizona 2012-2015 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Strategy. They include apprehension, prosecution, adjudication and sentencing, corrections and community corrections, forensic support services, prevention and education, and substance abuse treatment for corrections-involved individuals.

Mr. Vidale explained instead of approving the tiers on an annual basis the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee is recommending the approval of the tier structure for the grant program. If in the future the Commission decides to shift priorities, this could be addressed in the January 2014 meeting before the grant solicitation is released. Also, the statewide strategy is reviewed and/or revised every four years so the tiered system would also be reviewed at that time.

After discussion, Commissioner Timothy Dorn entered a motion to approve the use of a tiered system to establish funding priorities for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control grant as listed in Table DC3 on page 17 of the agenda. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Chris Gibbs and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

#### **VI. Victim Compensation FY13 Funding Reallocation**

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager presented the recommendation of the Crime Victims Committee that the Commission approve the available crime victim compensation funds for the current FY13 be reallocated to operational units in accordance with Table VS1 of the agenda. Mr. Grubbs explained ACJC compensation staff examines county victim compensation expenditures throughout the fiscal year. Adjustments are made to the original allocation when necessary to meet the demand for compensation benefits statewide, and to ensure compensation funds are expended by the end of the fiscal year.

Mr. Grubbs directed the Commission to Table VS1 on page 19 of the agenda and reported that three counties submitted requests for reallocation in the amount of \$36,500. Of the three, only Pima County qualified by meeting the expenditure requirements and the restitution availability. Maricopa County agreed to have their allocation reduced by \$25,000 to accommodate the reallocation request of \$25,000 to Pima County.

Commissioner Bill Montgomery entered a motion to approve the available compensation funds for the current FY13 year be reallocated to operational units in accordance with Table VS1 of the agenda. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Jesse Hernandez and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

#### **VII. ACJC Victim Services Administrative Funds**

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager presented the recommendation of the Crime Victims Committee that the Commission approve \$99,645 from the Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund be allocated annually to ACJC to fund the Crime Victim Services Program Manager position and employee related expenses. Mr. Grubbs stated that currently the position is paid from the Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund (CJEF). The cost shift to the Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund will open up CJEF funds in FY14 and mitigate the loss of federal funds used to provide funding for other ACJC positions. The Commission was directed to Table VS2 on page 21 of the agenda that shows the overall impact to the Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund is minimal at 2.9 percent of the estimated annual revenue.

Commissioner Bill Montgomery entered a motion to approve the amount of \$99,645 from the Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund be allocated annually to ACJC to fund the Crime Victim Services Program Manager position and employee related expenses. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Jesse Hernandez and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

#### **VIII. Victim Compensation Funding Allocation Formula**

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager presented the recommendation of the Crime Victims Committee that the Commission approve the FY14 Crime Victim Compensation Funding Allocation Formula. Mr. Grubbs explained that the funding allocation formula used in past years does not accurately reflect annual average compensation program expenditures at the operational unit level, resulting in the need for significant adjustments to the original allocation throughout the fiscal year. The proposed changes to the victim compensation allocation formula should result in compliance with the requirements of recently revised program rules; fairly consider the influence of variable program criteria; and bring county compensation allocation amounts closer to the actual county expenditure rates. This should also reduce the

demand for reallocation of compensation funds mid-year and reduce the dollar amount reallocated annually. The Commission was directed to the Crime Victim Compensation Program Funding Allocation Formula outlined on page 23 of the agenda. Mr. Grubbs clarified that the Commission would be voting to approve the formula only and that the actual allocation of funds would occur at the May meeting.

Commissioner Robert Halliday entered a motion to approve the FY14 crime victim compensation program funding allocation formula. The motion was seconded by Commissioner David Byers and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

#### **IX. Arizona National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) Initiative**

Pat Nelson, Program Manager provided background information on the task force recommendations for the AZ NICS Records Improvement Plan. Ms. Nelson gave special recognition to the stakeholders, NICS contractors Tony Coulson and Aaron Gorrell, Executive Director John A. Blackburn, Jr., Statistical Analysis Center Director Phillip Stevenson and Deputy Director Karen Ziegler who have been supportive and had a part in the development of the plan and recommendations for the past year and a half.

The task force recommendations support the Records Improvement Information Sharing Strategy Plan that was approved in May 2012. The strategy spans the years 2012 -2017 and focuses on two goals; improving criminal records quality by increasing the timeliness, accuracy, completeness and accessibility of record information and enhance information sharing across jurisdictional boundaries.

Ms. Nelson explained that criminal history records are critical to our processes and are used in completing background and employment checks, foster care, adoption, firearm purchases and information that is used at significant points during the criminal justice process.

Ms. Nelson summarized how work started in 2011 after receiving a grant through the Department of Justice (DOJ) NICS Records Improvement Program. The grant program required the formation of a task force and to create a plan to improve reporting to NICS. Arizona was one of the few states that had legislation in place that allowed relief for persons with mental health adjudications; this allowed ACJC to apply for the funds.

Arizona included an additional goal to provide awards to agencies that could address missing dispositions in the criminal history repository for the NICS categories; namely the felonies, misdemeanors for domestic violence, and drug offenses by working on disposition backlogs and rejects. In 2012 further funding was awarded; Arizona has been successful in securing over \$1.5M to address the missing records in NICS reporting.

Ms. Nelson stated the recommendations are the beginning steps for further analysis, review of statutes, rules and policies that will be necessary to fully determine the viability of each proposal; she further stressed there was no budget assigned to each request. Since there were over thirty recommendations by the task force, Mr. Coulson will address only a few for your consideration today. The Commission was encouraged to ask questions and to provide feedback.

Tony Coulson presented the short term category recommendations for the Arizona NICS Record Improvement Plan. Mr. Coulson highlighted the recommendations for seven categories that included the following prohibited possessors: **Felony Convictions, Active Indictments/Informations/Verified Complaints** (a person under indictment on information), **Active Wants/Warrants** (fugitive on an active felony or misdemeanor warrant), **Unlawful Drug Use** (unlawful drug user-arrest within last year or multiple arrests in 5 years or drug test in last year or medical marijuana cardholder), **Mental Health Adjudications or Commitments** (a person adjudicated to be mentally defective or involuntarily committed or incompetent to manage own affairs), **Orders of Protection** (the subject of a protection order after being provided notice and opportunity to attend a hearing) and **Convictions for Potential Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence**.

A brief summary of category one recommendation was given that included conducting a study of non-dispose charges and analyzing the reasons for the open dispositions. Part of the proposal asks to consider a Department of Public Safety (DPS) policy change in transmitting qualifying non-matching disposition records to NICS. Another area is to identify the data flow for the process control number (PCN) to make sure data is transmitted to all justice agencies. Mr. Coulson touched on a major point that calls for the requirement of the fingerprints captured in the criminal history before the sentencing hearing. Also, because there is a lack of fingerprints in the system, this could be done by the placement of a fingerprint device in each superior court building and to conduct the necessary training for personnel. This would require collaboration with law enforcement, judges and county attorneys. The other recommendation includes ADRS being utilized but would require training be provided for all counties in Arizona.

Mr. Coulson discussed under category two, the task force found that Arizona does not report active indictments. As a short term fix, the task force recommended developing a means for superior courts and prosecutors offices to report charges indicated on the indictment or the information. A second recommendation would be to make use of the DPS message switch to forward the information from the prosecutor into NICS.

Mr. Coulson discussed category three, Active Warrants. In this group, the end solution is to capture and forward all active misdemeanors and felony warrants to NICS. The recommendations are to create and implement standardized e-Warrant system; this is a current project being done by the Administrative Office of the Courts to support the creation and implementation of a warrant repository that would be used to report information to NICS.

The fourth category is unlawful drug use. Part of the NICS description for unlawful drug use calls for a person found through a drug test, to use a controlled substance unlawfully provided the test was administered within the past year. Under this category, a medical marijuana cardholder is considered a prohibited possessor. The issue is to prevent the acquisition of a firearm under unlawful drug use. The short term recommendation is to determine whether through legislation, all medical marijuana cardholders would be reported to NICS aligning with federal law.

Mr. Coulson explained category five-Mental Health as one of the important groups in the NICS act. Arizona reports only Title 36 involuntary commitments to mental health treatment. The task force found a gap in mental health reporting which included no coverage of Title 14 or Rule 11 adjudications. The first recommendation is to require NICS reporting for adjudication from a judge on findings that a person is "incapacitated" under Title 14. The second recommendation is a criminal proceeding under Rule 11 whereby a judge rules someone "not competent". Under the listed variations, it would be a requirement to capture the data and report to NICS. The third recommendation is to implement a system tracking relief/restoration on NICS-based denials.

Category Six covers Orders of Protection and the recommendation from the task force is to support the ongoing efforts by AOC for development of CPOR so all orders of protection are in on a timely basis, recorded and removed when expired.

Mr. Coulson covered category seven, Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence. Mr. Coulson explained when there is a conviction of misdemeanor crimes of domestic violence and no arrest record is found, there would need to be an expeditious way for NICS to receive the information instead of creating an arrest record. ADRS could forward a domestic violence guilty disposition into NICS as a short term fix.

Aaron Gorrell, reviewed the high level programmatic recommendations; focusing on the system wide development, modifications and/or enhancements that impact data collection and quality.

Recommendation one establishes specific stewardship guidelines making each stakeholder accountable for maintaining the reliability of only those charges established and/or adjudicated by the stakeholder.

Recommendation two modifies the Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS) to support the stewardship guidelines established above and capture charges, as they exist during each segment of the case lifecycle.

Recommendation three modifies the Arizona rap sheet to display the charges captured during each segment.

Mr. Gorrell discussed recommendation four that requires evaluating and providing a performance matrix on the effectiveness of reporting into the criminal history repository. The approach is to have ACJC and DPS work together and develop countywide score cards across various NICS categories to indicate what percentages of charges have been disposed. Once the issues and challenges are found, a follow up can be done through technical assistance and guidance training.

Mr. Gorrell addressed recommendation five; this includes the second phase of the e-Warrant project that is identifying functional and non-functional requirements. The second piece focuses on the mental health repository that would be built upon the centralized case index. Mr. Gorrell explained it takes information from the case management systems in Arizona and places in a centralized location allowing NICS to review court clerk entries, identify cases in Title 32, and other qualifying cases in mental health. The third piece relates to the enhancements of the CPOR repository currently in place and continuing the expansion of the requirements and refining the system.

Recommendation six is conducting process mapping within the criminal justice agencies and understanding the different business procedures for each jurisdiction. This can be done through documentation so improvements can be made in the collection/reporting of criminal justice and mental health data.

Pat Nelson, Program Manager reviewed recommendation seven. This is to continue providing technical assistance to the criminal justice agencies along with process mapping; follow up with the DPS audits to implement the scorecards, flowchart on the jurisdictional process and apply the technical solutions by resolving the reporting problems.

There were discussions on several items. The first item addressed the funding forecast for the NICS Plan. Mr. Byers stated that the NICS Plan did not include a recommendation for funding and the plan did not include a budget. Ms. Nelson noted staff would continue with the collaboration of the local initiatives; apply for additional funding at the next grant cycle under the Department of Justice (DOJ)/Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) for NICS, and search for other grant solicitations. The second point directed at the NICS reporting requirements on mental health. The discussion centered on how the information that is to be shared with NICS is available through regulation from the federal government; however, it prohibits the sharing of the information with state and local law enforcement. Another issue refers to the conflict of state law on the restrictions of what mental health issues fall under being a prohibited possessor and what is identified under federal law.

After further discussion, Commissioner David Byers entered a motion to support the AZ NICS Task Force report and recommendations on improving the completeness, automation and transmittal of records and mental health information to the NICS; proposing staff to conduct further research on what Arizona laws would have to be changed to make mental health information available to local law enforcement, and to consider for the legislature next year. The motion was seconded by Commissioner David Sanders and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

**X. Call to the Public**

Chairperson Sharp made a call to the public. No members of the public addressed the Commission.

**XI. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**

The next Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, May 23, 2013 at 1:30 p.m.** at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

**XII. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 3:54 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

Audio recording is available upon request.

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 23, 2013	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on the staff and staff activities.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

---

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 23, 2013	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on the state and federal budget.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 23, 2013	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on legislative issues.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 23, 2013	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund Update

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

ACJC staff will provide an update on the status of the State budget and its impact on the Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund for FY14. Information will include the status of the critical budget issue related to victim programs and funding alternatives available for FY14 if the critical budget issue is not approved.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

**TABLE VS-1**

<b>ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION</b>						
<b>CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION AND ASSISTANCE FUND</b>						
ASSISTANCE PROGRAM			COMPENSATION PROGRAM			FUND TOTAL
FY13 BEGINNING BALANCE	\$	563,802	FY13 BEGINNING BALANCE	\$	2,536,778	\$ 3,100,580
FY13 PROJECTED REVENUE			FY13 PROJECTED REVENUE			
			CJEF	\$	1,988,200	\$ 3,350,700
Community Supervision Fees	\$	1,250,000	DOC Inmate Work Fees	\$	12,500	
			Unclaimed Restitution	\$	100,000	
REVERSIONS RECEIVED (AS OF 03/31/13)	\$	40,032	REVERSIONS RECEIVED (AS OF 03/31/13)	\$	4,328	\$ 44,360
FY13 TOTAL AVAILABLE	\$	1,853,834	FY13 TOTAL AVAILABLE	\$	4,641,805	\$ 6,495,639
FY13 PROGRAM SIZE	\$	1,020,000	FY13 PROJECTED PROGRAM EXPENDITURES	\$	2,650,000	\$ 3,670,000
PROJECTED AVAILABLE PROGRAM FUNDS FOR FY14	\$	833,834	PROJECTED AVAILABLE PROGRAM FUNDS FOR FY14	\$	1,991,805	\$ 2,825,639
FY14 PROJECTED REVENUE			FY14 PROJECTED REVENUE			
			CJEF	\$	1,988,200	\$ 3,363,200
Community Supervision Fees	\$	1,262,500	DOC Inmate Work Fees	\$	12,500	
			Unclaimed Restitution	\$	100,000	
FY14 ESTIMATED AVAILABLE	\$	2,096,334	FY14 ESTIMATED AVAILABLE	\$	4,092,505	\$ 6,188,839
FY14 APPROPRIATION REQUEST	\$	1,250,000	FY14 APPROPRIATION REQUEST	\$	2,872,500	\$ 4,122,500
FY14 ESTIMATED BALANCE	\$	846,334	FY14 ESTIMATED BALANCE	\$	1,220,005	\$ 2,066,339
					ACJC ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE	\$ 99,645
					<b>FY15 PROJECTED AVAILABLE PROGRAM FUNDS</b>	<b>\$ 1,966,694</b>
FY15 PROJECTED REVENUE			FY15 PROJECTED REVENUE			
			CJEF	\$	1,988,200	\$ 3,375,800
Community Supervision Fees	\$	1,275,100	DOC Inmate Work Fees	\$	12,500	
			Unclaimed Restitution	\$	100,000	
FY15 ESTIMATED AVAILABLE	\$	2,121,434	FY15 ESTIMATED AVAILABLE	\$	3,320,705	\$ 5,342,494
FY15 APPROPRIATION REQUEST	\$	1,250,000	FY15 APPROPRIATION REQUEST	\$	2,872,500	\$ 4,122,500
FY15 ESTIMATED BALANCE	\$	871,434	FY15 ESTIMATED BALANCE	\$	448,205	\$ 1,319,639
					ACJC ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE	\$ 99,645
					<b>PROJECTED AVAILABLE PROGRAM FUNDS FOR FY16</b>	<b>\$ 1,219,994</b>

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 23, 2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY13 Victim Compensation Funding Reallocation

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
 Crime Victims Services

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the compensation funds for the current FY13 be reallocated to operational units in accordance with Table VS-2 of the agenda using the fund sources identified.

**DISCUSSION:**

ACJC compensation program staff closely monitors county victim compensation expenditures throughout the fiscal year. Periodically it is necessary to adjust the original allocation to meet demand for compensation benefits statewide. The purpose of these adjustments is to reallocate compensation funding to those counties with enough demand to expend additional compensation funds by the end of the fiscal year.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

Significant – Reallocation of up to \$96,910 in FY 2013 crime victim compensation funds to county programs, and requiring the expenditure of \$53,090 in county subrogation and restitution funds.

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**TABLE VS-2**

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION PROGRAM FY 2013 PROPOSED CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION REALLOCATION										
COUNTY	REQUESTED AMOUNT	REVISED TOTAL ALLOCATION* AS OF 3/21/13	EXPENDITURES REIMBURSED AS OF 4/26/13*	PERCENTAGE EXPENDED AS OF 4/26/13	RESTITUTION / SUBROGATION BALANCE	SIX MONTH EXPENDITURE RESERVE*	RESERVE OVERAGE >\$1,000	ALLOCATION ADJUSTMENT	REVISED REMAINING ALLOCATION	REVISED TOTAL ALLOCATION
APACHE	\$ -	\$ 54,939	\$ 29,792	54.23%	\$ 58,307	\$ 19,384	\$ 38,923	\$ -	\$ 25,147	\$ 54,939
COCHISE	-	89,500	24,738	27.64%	97,047	29,006	68,042	-	64,762	89,500
COCONINO	50,000	126,826	109,187	86.09%	95,518	80,589	14,929	35,071	52,710	161,897
GILA	40,000	43,849	39,891	90.97%	56,533	28,529	28,004	11,996	15,954	55,845
GRAHAM	-	34,516	16,663	48.28%	59,236	13,785	45,451	-	17,853	34,516
GREENLEE	-	17,671	16,445	93.06%	17,836	8,150	9,686	-	1,226	17,671
LA PAZ	-	34,765	30,303	87.16%	15,247	16,858	-	-	4,462	34,765
MARICOPA	-	2,224,438	1,528,707	68.72%	1,333,314	799,224	534,090	-	695,731	2,224,438
MOHAVE	-	186,005	155,527	83.61%	44,655	92,327	-	-	30,478	186,005
NAVAJO	-	79,184	52,674	66.52%	92,371	43,255	49,117	-	26,510	79,184
PIMA	60,000	633,380	460,989	72.78%	316,691	306,534	10,157	49,843	222,234	683,223
PINAL	-	220,011	157,370	71.53%	201,516	68,963	132,553	-	62,641	220,011
SANTA CRUZ	-	38,678	20,448	52.87%	18,193	20,229	-	-	18,230	38,678
YAVAPAI	-	137,902	137,902	100.00%	155,372	91,026	64,346	-	-	137,902
YUMA	-	128,336	128,336	100.00%	260,947	73,900	187,047	-	-	128,336
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 150,000</b>	<b>\$ 4,050,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,908,971</b>	<b>71.83%</b>	<b>\$ 2,822,783</b>	<b>\$ 1,691,758</b>	<b>\$ 1,182,344</b>	<b>\$ 96,910</b>	<b>\$ 1,237,940</b>	<b>\$ 4,146,911</b>

\*includes administrative expenditures

**Availability of Funds**

ACJC program staff recommends the following sources be used to cover the eligible reallocation amounts:

1. With the approval of the Commission, after the final reimbursement requests are submitted for FY13 ACJC program staff will reallocate any remaining balance of a county's allocation amount to cover the requested allocation adjustments. The allocation adjustments will be less than or equal to the "Total Adjustment" amount in Table VS-2; and/or
2. In the case that the unexpended balance of county allocations does not cover the requested reallocation, there is a \$100,000 available between total victim service program allocations and the total appropriation for the Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund for FY13. With the approval of the Commission, a portion of this balance less than or equal to the "Total Adjustment" amount in Table VS-2 could be used to meet the requested allocation adjustments.

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 23, 2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY14 Crime Victim Compensation Total Program Allocation

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the total allocated funding for the FY14 Crime Victim Compensation Program be set at \$3,942,500, and expended in accordance with the budget in table VS-3 of the agenda.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

Based on prior year expenditures, state revenue projections, the anticipated legislative appropriation for FY14, and available federal funds, staff proposes the total program allocation be set at \$3,942,500 with expenditures made in accordance with the attached budget. The total program size includes \$2,542,500 in state compensation funds and \$1,400,000 in federal VOCA compensation funds.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

Significant – Expenditure of \$3,942,500 in Crime Victim Compensation funds for FY14.

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

Table VS-3

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION PROGRAM					
PROGRAM BUDGET					
<b>State Compensation</b>					
		<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>	<b>FY14</b>	<b>FY15</b>
	Beginning Balance	2,566,820	2,536,778	1,991,806	1,550,006
	Revenue	2,384,311	2,100,700	2,100,700	2,100,700
	Reversion		4,328		
		4,951,131	4,641,806	4,092,506	3,650,706
	Expenditure Plan				
	Benefits	2,104,353	2,650,000	2,542,500	2,542,500
	Study	2,500		-	-
	Sweep	307,500	-	-	-
		2,414,353	2,650,000	2,542,500	2,542,500
	Ending Balance	2,536,778	1,991,806	1,550,006	1,108,206
<b>Federal VOCA Compensation</b>					
		<b>FY12</b>	<b>FY13</b>	<b>FY14</b>	<b>FY15</b>
	Beginning Balance		(0)	(0)	
	Revenue				
	2008 grant	111,153			
	2009 grant	989,662			
	2010 grant	409,551	776,999		
	2011 grant		623,001	<b>549,299</b>	
	2012 grant			<b>694,450</b>	
	2013 grant (est)			<b>156,251</b>	1,043,749
		1,510,366	1,400,000	1,400,000	1,200,000
	Expenditures	1,510,366	1,400,000	<b>1,400,000</b>	1,200,000
	Ending Balance	(0)	(0)	(0)	0
			<b>FY13</b>	<b>FY14</b>	<b>FY15</b>
	<b>County Program Size</b>		<b>4,100,000</b>	<b>3,942,500</b>	<b>3,742,500</b>
	<b>Average Annual Total Expenditures</b>		<b>3,383,000</b>		

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 23, 2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY14 Designation of Victim Compensation Operational Units

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the designation of the 15 County Attorney's Offices as operational units for the FY14 Crime Victim Compensation Program.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

The Commission is required to annually designate one operational unit (OU) for each county, to receive an allocation from the Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund, and to administer the Crime Victim Compensation Program for that county. In accordance with compensation program rule R10-4-104(A) all 15 County Attorney's Offices submitted a request in writing to be designated operational units for FY14. Staff proposes continuing the current designation of the County Attorney's Offices as operational units for FY14.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

Significant – Administration of \$3,942,500 in Crime Victim Compensation funds for FY14.

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 23, 2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY14 Crime Victim Compensation OU Funding Allocations

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the allocation of \$3,942,500 in state and federal crime victim compensation funds, as proposed in table VS-4 of the agenda, to operational units for the FY14 Crime Victim Compensation Program.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

Table VS-4 reflects the dollar amounts proposed for allocation to each operational unit for the 15 counties. The allocation formula approved at the March 2013 Commission meeting includes the following process steps:

- \$50,000 of the state funds retained in emergency reserve (R10-4-102.D);
- Five percent of most recent federal VOCA award allocated to ACJC for administrative costs;
- A fixed administrative allocation from state funds to each county program (R10-4-102.I);
- A base allotment of \$25,000 to each county program (R10-4-102.C.1);
- A 60% reimbursement to county programs spending other local funds on compensation benefits during the previous fiscal year (R10-4-102.H);
- The remaining balance is distributed as follows:
  - 50% based on prior years' program benefit expenditure average (R10-4-102.C.2);
  - 30% based on average share of crime (R10-4-102.C.4);
  - 20% based on population (R10-4-102.C.3).

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

Significant – Distribution of \$3,942,500 in Crime Victim Compensation funds to county compensation programs in FY14.

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**TABLE VS-4**

<b>CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION PROGRAM</b> <b>FY 2014 CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION ALLOCATION</b> <b>\$4,100,000 TOTAL PROGRAM</b>					
COUNTY	FIXED ADMIN. ALLOCATION	AVAILABLE FOR COMP BENEFITS	FINAL ALLOCATION TOTAL	% OF TOTAL ALLOCATION	3 YR AVG TOTAL EXPENDITURES <sup>+</sup>
APACHE	\$ 7,200	\$ 52,166	\$ 59,366	1.53%	\$ 38,768
COCHISE	11,400	78,365	89,765	2.31%	58,012
COCONINO	11,400	132,590	143,990	3.70%	161,177
GILA	5,900	63,246	69,146	1.78%	57,057
GRAHAM	4,600	44,254	48,854	1.26%	27,570
GREENLEE	2,600	33,360	35,960	0.92%	16,300
LA PAZ	3,500	46,036	49,536	1.27%	33,717
MARICOPA	255,800	1,663,719	1,919,519	49.31%	1,598,448
MOHAVE	15,000	156,264	171,264	4.40%	184,654
NAVAJO	9,800	84,248	94,048	2.42%	86,509
PIMA	69,100	580,313	649,413	16.68%	613,069
PINAL	17,800	167,297	185,097	4.76%	137,926
SANTA CRUZ	5,100	52,670	57,770	1.48%	40,458
YAVAPAI	16,200	150,139	166,339	4.27%	182,052
YUMA	15,100	137,333	152,433	3.92%	147,800
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 450,500</b>	<b>\$ 3,442,000</b>	<b>\$ 3,892,500</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$ 3,383,516</b>
		RECOMMENDED FUNDING	LESS ACJC VOCA ADMIN*	LESS STATE RESERVE**	PROGRM ALLOCATION
		\$ 2,542,500	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ 2,492,500
		1,436,550	36,550	-	1,400,000
				<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 3,892,500</b>
*ACJC admin equals 5% of FFY2012 VOCA award					
**\$50,000 held in reserve as required by Program Rule R10-4-102.D					
+Source for County Expenditure Activity: ACJC Quarterly Compensation Activity Reports (4-1-2010 to 3-31-2013)					

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 23, 2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY14 Crime Victim Compensation Restitution/ Subrogation Expenditures

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the operational unit restitution and subrogation fund balances, exceeding a reserve amount equal to the operational unit's annual expenditure average, be spent on compensation benefits by the operational unit during FY14.

#### DISCUSSION:

Expenditure of available restitution and subrogation funds is an increasingly important part of the overall statewide expenditure plan for the compensation program. ACJC program staff proposes the following process to manage the expenditure of restitution and subrogation funds for FY14:

- Identify county programs with a total restitution and subrogation fund balance exceeding a reserve amount equal to the county's annual expenditure average using county program revenue data submitted for the fourth quarter of FY13.
- Require that county programs with an amount greater than the one-year reserve spend the overage on compensation benefits during FY14.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Could result in expenditure of an estimated \$275,000 in restitution and subrogation funds during FY14.

#### ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 23, 2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY14 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards-Criminal Justice Government Agencies

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the awarding of FY14 Crime Victim Assistance grant funds, as indicated in table VS-5, to criminal justice government agencies eligible to serve on the Commission.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

A.R.S. § 41-2407 (B) states that no more than 50% of Crime Victim Assistance Funds can be allocated to criminal justice government agencies eligible to serve as a member of the Commission. These agencies are specified in A.R.S. § 41-2404 (A) and (B). The maximum amount available for distribution to the eighteen applicants in this category is \$625,000 for FY14.

The funding proposal in table VS-5 reflects the evaluation criteria and priorities approved by the Commission. A narrative is provided in the agenda on page 23 detailing the victim assistance grant program, the evaluation process, and proposed funding for FY14.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

Significant – Distribution of up to \$1,250,000 in Crime Victim Assistance grant funds to approved agencies for FY14.

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 23, 2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY14 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards-Non-Profit and Other Government Agencies

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the awarding of FY14 Crime Victim Assistance grant funds, as indicated in table VS-5, to non-profit agencies and other government agencies.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

(See item VII-A)

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

Significant – Distribution of up to \$1,250,000 in Crime Victim Assistance grant funds to approved non-profit agencies and other government agencies for FY14.

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

## **Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program FY 2014 Funding Recommendation**

The Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program is established to provide grant awards to support direct services to crime victims in the State of Arizona. At the meeting in January 2013 the Commission approved a program size up to \$1,250,000 in victim assistance grant awards for FY14. At this time the total program funding level is awaiting legislative approval.

### **Applications Received**

On February 4, 2013, the FY14 Crime Victim Assistance Program grant application period opened. The deadline for submission of applications was Friday, March 15, 2013 at 3:00 p.m. Fifty-one applications were submitted by the deadline, requesting a total of \$2,052,979. Of this amount, the 18 criminal justice government agencies eligible to serve as a member of the Commission requested \$1,014,405; and 33 non-profit and other government programs requested \$1,038,574. The total amount of funds requested exceeding the \$1,250,000 approved by the Commission.

### **Evaluation and Scoring**

Grant applications were evaluated pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-2702. All applications received were reviewed and scored by three individuals including ACJC staff and outside evaluators. Scoring was based on the Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program rules, criteria, and priorities approved by the Commission. Applicants had to score a minimum of 700 points out of 1,000 to be eligible for grant funding. Meeting the minimum score does not guarantee an award due to the limited funding available. Of the 51 applications submitted, all applicants met the minimum required score.

### **Funding Priorities**

In November 2011 the Commission approved the following as funding priorities for the Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program:

<b>Crime Victim Assistance Funding Priorities</b>	
1	Continue funding from one grant period to the next for programs with a strong performance history, who meet the minimum required application score.
2	Funding programs that demonstrate a strong collaborative effort with law enforcement, prosecution, service providers, community organizations, and other social service agencies.
3	Funding programs that provide multiple service types to a diverse range of victimization types.
4	Funding programs that demonstrate strong support of established goals and objectives and clearly identify how the success of the program will be measured.
5	Funding at least one program in each county in the State provided that the program meets eligibility requirements and the minimum required application score.
6	Funding programs operating in counties with the highest crime per capita rate based on the latest Uniform Crime Report.

7	Funding programs that demonstrate a strong component of assisting crime victims in filing victim compensation claims.
8	Annually providing \$20,000 directly to the Arizona Victim Assistance Academy.
9	Funding programs providing services to address an emerging victim issue, or to an underserved victim population, as designated annually by the ACJC.*

\* At the January 2013 meeting, the Commission chose not to designate an emerging victim issue or underserved victim population as a funding priority for FY14.

### **FY 2014 Funding Recommendation**

The total program size of \$1,250,000 includes an increase of \$230,000 over the total program size for FY13. Several considerations were made when allocating the total amount including the \$230,000 increase. Because of these additional considerations, across-the-board funding increases were not included as a funding strategy.

For all applications meeting the minimum required score, all budget requests were analyzed by staff. Funding allocations were made based on the needs of the applicant program, and based on how funding the applicant program increased the ability of the Victim Assistance Grant Program to meet the established funding priorities. The following guidelines were used by staff to develop the funding recommendation:

- Allocate to all eligible programs currently funded for FY13 an amount equal to or greater than the agency's FY13 grant award (Priority 1);
- Prioritize applicant programs providing multiple service types to a diverse range of victimization types (Priority 3);
- Fund at least one program in each county (Priority 5);
- Fund personnel services and ERE before considering any other budget category;
- Prioritize funding for positions providing direct services to victims of crime;
- Fund one position and the associated ERE at the current costs as reported by the applicant agency;
- Allocate no more than \$625,000 to applicant agencies eligible to serve as members of the ACJC (A.R.S. § 41-2407.B).

All agencies currently funded for FY13 will continue to be funded for FY14. The funding recommendation includes funding for three agencies not currently receiving a grant award from the victim assistance program. These new programs are Ama Doo Alchini Bighan, Inc. (ADABI), Arizona Coalition Against Domestic Violence, and Mt. Graham Safe House, Inc. These new awards were made based on the content of the application submitted and alignment with the requirements of the grant program.

Four additional new grant requests were not recommended for funding. Those agencies are City of Maricopa PD, El Mirage PD, City of Surprise Prosecutor's Office, and Never Again Foundation. Based on the content of the application, either the activities of these programs did not align with the requirements of the grant program, or that determination could not be made based on the information provided in the application.

Table VS-5 displays the staff recommendation for funding allocations to criminal justice government programs eligible to serve on the Commission, non-profit programs, and other government programs. The table summarizes the FY13 grant awards, FY14 grant requests, and proposed FY14 awards for all applicant programs.

TABLE VS-5

Summary of FY 2014 Grant Requests & Proposed Awards			
APPLICANT AGENCY	FY13 Grant Awards	FY14 Grant Request	FY14 Proposed Grant Awards
<b>VICTIM ASSISTANCE ACADEMY</b>			
Arizona Coalition of Victim Services	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,435	\$ 20,000
<b>COMMISSION ELIGIBLE CRIMINAL JUSTICE GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS</b>			
Apache County Attorney's Office	\$ 19,200	\$ 40,614	\$ 23,339
Arizona Attorney General's Office	45,500	114,302	57,237
Chandler Police Department	19,500	96,183	27,467
City of Maricopa (Police Dept.)	-	20,642	-
Cochise County Attorney's Office	14,400	42,591	21,044
El Mirage Police Department	-	39,123	-
Gila County Attorney's Office	17,600	24,171	17,600
Graham County Attorney's Office	14,200	35,812	22,362
Greenlee County Attorney's Office	9,900	13,895	13,894
La Paz County Attorney's Office	17,600	25,432	25,432
Maricopa County Attorney's Office	104,400	168,607	104,400
Mohave County Attorney's Office	25,600	28,447	28,447
Navajo County Attorney's Office	25,600	70,936	32,295
Peoria Police Department	10,800	39,471	17,913
Pima County Attorney's Office	106,900	145,095	106,900
Pinal County Attorney's Office	25,600	27,445	27,445
Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office	17,600	43,130	20,216
Yuma County Attorney's Office	25,600	38,509	38,508
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 500,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,014,405</b>	<b>\$ 584,499</b>
<b>NON-PROFIT AND OTHER GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS</b>			
A New Leaf, Inc.	\$ 41,600	\$ 64,330	\$ 41,600
Against Abuse, Inc.	16,000	21,500	21,500
Ama Doo Alchini Bighan, Inc. (ADABI)	-	29,641	13,253
Arizona Coalition Against Domestic Violence	-	45,927	18,742
Arizona's Children Association	8,800	12,899	12,898
Bullhead City Attorney's Office	11,800	15,217	15,217
Casa Grande City Attorney's Office	11,200	35,105	19,785
Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc.	12,000	17,339	17,338
Childhelp	12,000	12,308	12,308
Chrysalis Shelter For Victims of Domestic Violence	12,000	17,000	17,000
City of Surprise (Prosecutor's Office)	-	24,081	-
Colorado River Regional Crisis Shelter, Inc.	15,000	21,353	21,353
Emerge Center Against Domestic Abuse	46,100	71,652	46,100
EMPACT-Suicide Prevention Center	10,800	36,849	22,662
Haven Family Resource Center	12,000	15,674	15,673
Homicide Survivors, Inc.	28,700	31,424	28,700
Jewish Family and Children's Service	15,500	15,630	15,629
Kingman Aid To Abused People, Inc.	9,600	11,600	11,600
Mesa City Prosecutor's Office	24,500	43,804	24,500
Mt. Graham Safe House, Inc.	-	22,570	15,375
Never Again Foundation	-	122,000	-
Northland Family Help Center	9,000	20,000	20,000
Phoenix City Fire Department	16,000	35,134	17,568
Phoenix City Prosecutor's Office	27,200	48,633	32,420
Sojourner Center	21,600	32,547	21,600
Southern Arizona Center Against Sexual Assault	16,700	25,639	25,638
Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center	17,600	32,190	21,158
Tempe City Social Services - Care 7	21,000	30,698	27,349
Time Out, Inc.	13,000	23,110	16,120
Verde Valley Sanctuary	15,300	17,416	17,415
Victim/Witness Services For Coconino County	30,000	30,000	30,000
Wingspan	25,000	34,869	25,000
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 520,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,038,574</b>	<b>\$ 665,501</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,020,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,052,979</b>	<b>\$ 1,250,000</b>

## Funding Allocation Distribution

The table below illustrates how total program funding and the \$230,000 funding increase are distributed through the recommended allocation:

<b>Funding Distribution by Victimization Type</b>	<b>Number of Awards</b>	<b>% of Awards</b>	<b>\$ Amount Awarded</b>	<b>% of \$ Awarded</b>	<b>\$ Increase Awarded</b>	<b>% of Increase Awarded</b>
Multiple Victimization Types	23	49%	751,338	60%	109,638	48%
Domestic Violence	16	34%	330,298	26%	91,598	40%
Sexual Assault	1	2%	25,638	2%	8,938	4%
Child Abuse	3	6%	46,364	4%	7,964	3%
Other Specialized	4	9%	96,362	8%	11,862	5%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$1,250,000</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$230,000</b>	<b>100%</b>

  

<b>Funding Distribution by Primary Service Area</b>	<b>Number of Awards</b>	<b>% of Awards</b>	<b>\$ Amount Awarded</b>	<b>% of \$ Awarded</b>	<b>\$ Increase Awarded</b>	<b>% of Increase Awarded</b>
State Wide	3	6%	95,979	8%	30,479	13%
Apache County	2	4%	36,592	3%	17,392	8%
Cochise County	1	2%	21,044	2%	6,644	3%
Coconino County	2	4%	50,000	4%	11,000	5%
Gila County	2	4%	33,720	3%	3,120	1%
Graham County	2	4%	37,737	3%	23,537	10%
Greenlee County	1	2%	13,894	1%	3,994	2%
La Paz County	2	4%	46,785	4%	14,185	6%
Maricopa County	14	30%	399,754	32%	50,854	22%
Mohave County	4	9%	70,937	6%	11,937	5%
Navajo County	1	2%	32,295	3%	6,695	3%
Pima County	6	13%	266,394	21%	16,594	7%
Pinal County	4	9%	68,730	5%	15,930	7%
Santa Cruz County	1	2%	20,216	2%	2,616	1%
Yavapai County	1	2%	17,415	1%	2,115	1%
Yuma County	1	2%	38,508	3%	12,908	6%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$1,250,000</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$230,000</b>	<b>100%</b>

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 23, 2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 27 Grant Awards

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Tony Vidale, Program Manager  
Drug Control and Systems Improvement

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the award of the Arizona Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Cycle 27 grant funds to eligible criminal justice agencies for the period beginning July 1, 2013 and ending June 30, 2014, except the Attorney General's Office Medicaid Fraud project, which will be funded from October 1, 2013 and end September 30, 2014.

**DISCUSSION:**

See attached

The Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

Significant to recipient agencies

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

## Program Background

The Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Program (DC) allows state, county, local and tribal governments to support activities that combat drugs, gangs, and violent crime. The DC program provides funding to support the components of a statewide, system-wide enhanced drug, gang, and violent crime control program as stated in the *2012-2015 Arizona Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Strategy*. The strategy includes the following two goals for the foundation and direction of the program:

- *Curtail the flow of illicit drugs, drug proceeds and instruments used to perpetuate violence across Arizona.*
- *Reduce violent crime and illicit drug use and deter repeat offenders in Arizona.*

The strategy also outlines purpose areas as a guide to funding projects meant to address the drug, gang, and violent crime problem in the state. These purpose areas are as follows:

- Apprehension
- Prosecution
- Forensic Support Services
- Adjudication & Sentencing
- Corrections & Community Corrections
- Substance Abuse Treatment for Corrections-Involved Individuals
- Prevention and Education

In FY 2013, grant awards were made in the amount of \$11.4 million. These funds were awarded to projects addressing apprehension of drug offenders, prosecution of drug offenses, statewide forfeiture activities, forensic support of task forces, and drug adjudication projects. Table **DC 1** on page 31 shows a summary of the FY 2013 grant awards, the FY 2014 grant requests made by applicants, and the FY 2014 proposed projects.

## Funding

The program is supported by several funding streams to successfully carry out the statewide strategy. The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne/JAG) funds awarded to Arizona by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance (DOJ/BJA) continue to support program activities along with state Drug and Gang Enforcement Account (DEA) funds established under A.R.S. §41-2402, and matching funds when approved by the Commission. At its March 2013 meeting, the Commission approved implementing a match requirement of 20 percent for the FY 2014 grant in response to reductions in overall program revenue.

In FY 2013, \$11.4 million was allocated to grant projects. This amount was comprised of \$4.9 million in Byrne/JAG funds, \$4.2 million in DEA funds, and \$2.3 million in matching funds.

For FY 2014, staff is proposing a program size of \$10,336,292. Of this amount, \$4,044,682 is from the federal Byrne/JAG grant, \$4,244,516 is from the state DEA fund, and \$2,047,094 is from matching funds. The FY 2014 program size represents a decrease of \$(1,080,446) from the FY 2013 program size. Included in this reduction, grant funds drop by \$864,356 and agencies would contribute \$216,090 less in matching funds from FY 2013. Table **DC 2** summarizes the change in program size, by fund source, for FY 2013 and FY 2014.

<b>DC 2 Program Size FY 2013 – FY 2014</b>			
	<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>FY 2014 (Recommended)</b>	<b>Change</b>
Program Size	\$ 11,416,738	\$ 10,336,292	\$ (1,080,446)
Fund Source:			
Byrne/JAG	\$ 4,909,038	\$ 4,044,682	\$ (864,356)
DEA Fund	\$ 4,244,516	\$ 4,244,516	\$ 0
Match Funds	\$ 2,263,184	\$ 2,047,094	\$ (216,090)
Total	\$ 11,416,738	\$ 10,336,292	\$ (1,080,446)

### **Applications Received**

The solicitation for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program opened February 25, 2013 and closed March 22, 2013. Thirty-five applications were received requesting a total of \$16,411,359. Of the 35 applications, 14 were apprehension projects (Tier 1), 17 were prosecution projects (Tier 1), one was a statewide forfeiture project (Tier 1), two were forensic support projects (Tier 2), and one was a drug adjudication project (Tier 2). Table **DC 3** on page 32 displays the grant request for each eligible applicant broken out by priority area and expenditure type.

### **Evaluation and Scoring**

Eligible applications were reviewed by an evaluation team consisting of five ACJC staff and one outside evaluator. The projects were scored based on the criteria published in the solicitation with an emphasis on the goals of the statewide strategy. In addition, the solicitation emphasized that personnel expenditures would be prioritized in the budget recommendation allocation.

### **Recommendation**

Staff recommends the funding allocation according to table **DC 4** on page 33. Under the proposal, \$3,439,895 would be allocated to tier one apprehension projects, \$3,857,492 for tier one prosecution projects, \$874,481 for a tier one statewide forfeiture project, \$458,888 for tier two forensic support projects and \$1,705,536 for tier two drug adjudication projects. The total grant project amount is comprised of \$8,289,198 in grant funds and \$2,047,094 in match funds. All of the funding would support personnel costs for grant projects, allocating \$7,138,399 in personal services, \$2,748,022 in employee related expenditures, and \$449,871 in overtime expenditures.

Considering the funding reductions the program faced, across-the-board reductions were not implemented as a funding strategy. For applicants scored as eligible, all expenditure types were analyzed by staff and allocated based on the needs and best interests of the grant program in meeting the statewide strategy. The following guidelines were used by staff to build the recommendation:

- Prioritize previously funded projects that demonstrate effectiveness.
- Maintain as much balance funding as possible between tier one apprehension and prosecution projects.
- Fund at least one tier one apprehension project and prosecution project in each county.
- Provide some level of funding to tier two projects that are impacted by apprehension and prosecution activities.
- Prioritize funding core operations positions. Core operations positions are those considered most critical in meeting the purposes of the strategy (i.e. task force officers, attorneys, criminalists).
- Fund a position and the associated ERE at the current costs as reported by the agency in the application.
- As a priority, fund personal services, ERE, and overtime before considering any other budget category.
- Avoid funding multiple support-type positions for any project until core position needs are met.

The Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, which was funded in FY 2013, did not submit an application for the FY 2014 grant. The recommendation includes funding for two agencies, the La Paz County Sheriff's Office and the Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office that did not receive funding in FY 2013. Prior to FY 2013, these projects received grant funds from the DC program to support task force operations and a tandem prosecution project, respectively.

The recommendation for the AG's Medicaid Fraud project would require the grant period to run from October 1, 2013 to September 30, 2014. This project would provide matching funds for a federal grant and the grant period needs to run concurrent to the federal fiscal year. All other projects would have a grant period of July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014.

Table **DC 5** on page 34 shows the FY 2013 awards and the FY 2014 recommended awards, broken out by county.

DC 1 Summary of FY 2014 Grant Requests & Proposed Awards			
APPLICANT AGENCY	FY13 Grant Awards	FY14 Grant Request	FY14 Proposed Grant Awards
<b>Tier 1 Apprehension</b>			
Apache County SO	\$ 246,008	\$ 255,740	\$ 239,496
Cochise County SO	135,695	237,799	132,839
Flagstaff PD	298,117	419,372	293,693
Gila County SO	344,288	392,727	340,146
Graham County SO	29,152	35,012	28,728
Greenlee County SO	32,560	70,000	32,200
Kingman PD	350,993	376,599	346,016
La Paz County SO	-	320,351	46,750
Maricopa County SO	383,560	-	-
Navajo County SO	245,193	424,184	241,860
Pinal County SO	151,343	164,705	148,810
Prescott Valley PD	418,399	2,113,205	409,884
Santa Cruz County SO	178,696	178,685	170,534
Tucson PD	787,010	1,014,479	762,162
Yuma SO	251,849	251,303	246,777
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,852,863</b>	<b>\$ 6,254,161</b>	<b>\$ 3,439,895</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution</b>			
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 100,816	\$ 127,066	\$ 100,816
Apache County Attorney	96,152	140,307	91,849
Cochise County Attorney	167,425	245,340	158,957
Coconino County Attorney	146,080	285,495	138,354
Gila County Attorney	67,727	79,773	63,818
Graham County Attorney	54,284	102,617	51,315
Greenlee County Attorney	36,600	37,500	36,600
La Paz County Attorney	77,435	122,369	72,250
Maricopa County Attorney	1,482,804	1,790,922	1,394,295
Mohave County Attorney	165,935	385,058	156,239
Navajo County Attorney	117,040	290,862	112,452
Pima County Attorney	555,071	831,029	523,652
Pinal County Attorney	198,598	270,337	190,162
Santa Cruz County Attorney	-	97,835	48,918
Tucson City Prosecutor	332,171	317,352	317,352
Yavapai County Attorney	134,115	368,077	128,023
Yuma County Attorney	288,167	322,230	272,440
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 4,020,420</b>	<b>\$ 5,814,169</b>	<b>\$ 3,857,492</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities</b>			
Attorney General's Office	\$ 983,862	\$ 1,948,249	\$ 874,481
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 983,862</b>	<b>\$ 1,948,249</b>	<b>\$ 874,481</b>
<b>Tier 2 Forensic Support</b>			
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 482,388	\$ 560,989	\$ 399,417
Tucson PD - Forensics	66,896	59,471	59,471
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 549,284</b>	<b>\$ 620,460</b>	<b>\$ 458,888</b>
<b>Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections</b>			
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 2,010,309	\$ 1,774,320	\$ 1,705,536
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,010,309</b>	<b>\$ 1,774,320</b>	<b>\$ 1,705,536</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 11,416,738</b>	<b>\$ 16,411,359</b>	<b>\$ 10,336,292</b>

DC 3 FY 2014 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Requests						
Applicant Agency	Salary	Overtime	ERE	In-State Travel	Operating Expense	Total FY14 Requested
<b>Tier 1 Apprehension</b>						
Apache County SO	\$ 157,603	\$ -	\$ 98,137	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 255,740
Cochise County SO	32,024	173,268	12,507	-	20,000	237,799
Flagstaff PD	224,437	68,003	112,012	-	14,920	419,372
Gila County SO	257,234	-	135,493	-	-	392,727
Graham County SO	-	35,012	-	-	-	35,012
Greenlee County SO	-	70,000	-	-	-	70,000
Kingman PD	230,919	-	145,680	-	-	376,599
La Paz County SO	234,038	-	86,313	-	-	320,351
Maricopa County SO	-	-	-	-	-	0
Navajo County SO	260,902	-	163,282	-	-	424,184
Pinal County SO	52,478	70,740	31,487	-	10,000	164,705
Prescott Valley PD	1,185,433	210,563	641,299	-	75,910	2,113,205
Santa Cruz County SO	-	178,685	-	-	-	178,685
Tucson PD	704,614	-	309,865	-	-	1,014,479
Yuma SO	183,243	-	68,060	-	-	251,303
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 3,522,925</b>	<b>\$ 806,271</b>	<b>\$ 1,804,135</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 120,830</b>	<b>\$ 6,254,161</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution</b>						
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 81,150	\$ -	\$ 45,916	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 127,066
Apache County Attorney	106,552	-	33,755	-	-	140,307
Cochise County Attorney	187,679	-	57,661	-	-	245,340
Coconino County Attorney	218,439	-	67,056	-	-	285,495
Gila County Attorney	60,965	-	18,808	-	-	79,773
Graham County Attorney	76,646	-	25,971	-	-	102,617
Greenlee County Attorney	30,000	-	7,500	-	-	37,500
La Paz County Attorney	89,977	-	32,392	-	-	122,369
Maricopa County Attorney	1,318,326	-	472,596	-	-	1,790,922
Mohave County Attorney	269,271	-	115,787	-	-	385,058
Navajo County Attorney	213,234	-	75,245	2,383	-	290,862
Pima County Attorney	639,102	-	191,927	-	-	831,029
Pinal County Attorney	210,162	-	60,175	-	-	270,337
Santa Cruz County Attorney	74,000	-	23,835	-	-	97,835
Tucson City Prosecutor	202,727	-	114,625	-	-	317,352
Yavapai County Attorney	275,676	-	92,401	-	-	368,077
Yuma County Attorney	221,464	-	100,766	-	-	322,230
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 4,275,370</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,536,416</b>	<b>\$ 2,383</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 5,814,169</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities</b>						
Attorney General's Office	\$ 1,395,537	\$ -	\$ 552,712	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,948,249
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,395,537</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 552,712</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,948,249</b>
<b>Tier 2 Forensic Support</b>						
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 428,537	\$ -	\$ 132,452	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 560,989
Tucson PD - Forensics	42,479	-	16,992	-	-	59,471
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 471,016</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 149,444</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 620,460</b>
<b>Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections</b>						
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,268,028	\$ -	\$ 437,508	\$ -	\$ 68,784	\$ 1,774,320
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,268,028</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 437,508</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 68,784</b>	<b>\$ 1,774,320</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 10,932,876</b>	<b>\$ 806,271</b>	<b>\$ 4,480,215</b>	<b>\$ 2,383</b>	<b>\$ 189,614</b>	<b>\$ 16,411,359</b>

DC 4 FY 2014 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Proposed Awards						
Applicant Agency	Personal Services	Overtime	ERE	Total Proposed Project	Federal & State Funds	Match Funds
<b>Tier 1 Apprehension</b>						
Apache County SO	\$ 146,477	\$ -	\$ 93,019	\$ 239,496	\$ 191,597	\$ 47,899
Cochise County SO	-	132,839	-	132,839	106,271	26,568
Flagstaff PD	176,346	20,725	96,622	293,693	234,954	58,739
Gila County SO	223,226	-	116,920	340,146	272,117	68,029
Graham County SO	-	28,728	-	28,728	22,982	5,746
Greenlee County SO	-	32,200	-	32,200	25,760	6,440
Kingman PD	212,704	-	133,312	346,016	276,813	69,203
La Paz County SO	34,154	-	12,596	46,750	37,400	9,350
Maricopa County SO	-	-	-	-	-	-
Navajo County SO	152,870	-	88,990	241,860	193,488	48,372
Pinal County SO	52,478	64,845	31,487	148,810	119,048	29,762
Prescott Valley PD	270,890	-	138,994	409,884	327,907	81,977
Santa Cruz County SO	-	170,534	-	170,534	136,427	34,107
Tucson PD	525,456	-	236,706	762,162	609,730	152,432
Yuma SO	179,609	-	67,168	246,777	197,422	49,355
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,974,210</b>	<b>\$ 449,871</b>	<b>\$ 1,015,814</b>	<b>\$ 3,439,895</b>	<b>\$ 2,751,916</b>	<b>\$ 687,979</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution</b>						
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 73,496	\$ -	\$ 27,320	\$ 100,816	\$ 100,816	\$ -
Apache County Attorney	70,332	-	21,517	91,849	73,479	18,370
Cochise County Attorney	123,937	-	35,020	158,957	127,166	31,791
Coconino County Attorney	108,597	-	29,757	138,354	110,683	27,671
Gila County Attorney	48,772	-	15,046	63,818	51,054	12,764
Graham County Attorney	38,323	-	12,992	51,315	41,052	10,263
Greenlee County Attorney	29,280	-	7,320	36,600	29,280	7,320
La Paz County Attorney	53,125	-	19,125	72,250	57,800	14,450
Maricopa County Attorney	1,053,435	-	340,860	1,394,295	1,115,436	278,859
Mohave County Attorney	109,258	-	46,981	156,239	124,991	31,248
Navajo County Attorney	82,690	-	29,762	112,452	89,962	22,490
Pima County Attorney	408,099	-	115,553	523,652	418,922	104,730
Pinal County Attorney	150,157	-	40,005	190,162	152,130	38,032
Santa Cruz County Attorney	37,000	-	11,918	48,918	39,134	9,784
Tucson City Prosecutor	202,727	-	114,625	317,352	253,882	63,470
Yavapai County Attorney	98,262	-	29,761	128,023	102,418	25,605
Yuma County Attorney	191,889	-	80,551	272,440	217,952	54,488
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 2,879,379</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 978,113</b>	<b>\$ 3,857,492</b>	<b>\$ 3,106,157</b>	<b>\$ 751,335</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities</b>						
Attorney General's Office	\$ 669,614	\$ -	\$ 204,867	\$ 874,481	\$ 699,585	\$ 174,896
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 669,614</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 204,867</b>	<b>\$ 874,481</b>	<b>\$ 699,585</b>	<b>\$ 174,896</b>
<b>Tier 2 Forensic Support</b>						
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 304,689	\$ -	\$ 94,728	\$ 399,417	\$ 319,534	\$ 79,883
Tucson PD - Forensics	42,479	-	16,992	59,471	47,577	11,894
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 347,168</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 111,720</b>	<b>\$ 458,888</b>	<b>\$ 367,111</b>	<b>\$ 91,777</b>
<b>Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections</b>						
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,268,028	\$ -	\$ 437,508	\$ 1,705,536	\$ 1,364,429	\$ 341,107
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,268,028</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 437,508</b>	<b>\$ 1,705,536</b>	<b>\$ 1,364,429</b>	<b>\$ 341,107</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 7,138,399</b>	<b>\$ 449,871</b>	<b>\$ 2,748,022</b>	<b>\$ 10,336,292</b>	<b>\$ 8,289,198</b>	<b>\$ 2,047,094</b>

DC 5 FY 2013 Awards and FY 2014 Recommended Awards by County				
County	FY 13 Approved	% Allocation	FY 14 Recommended	% Allocation
<b>Apache County</b>				
Apache County Attorney	\$ 96,152		\$ 91,849	
Apache County Sheriff	\$ 246,008		\$ 239,496	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 342,160</b>	<b>3.00%</b>	<b>\$ 331,345</b>	<b>3.21%</b>
<b>Cochise County</b>				
Cochise County Attorney	\$ 167,425		\$ 158,957	
Cochise County Sheriff	\$ 135,695		\$ 132,839	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 303,120</b>	<b>2.66%</b>	<b>\$ 291,796</b>	<b>2.82%</b>
<b>Coconino County</b>				
Coconino County Attorney	\$ 146,080		\$ 138,354	
Flagstaff Police Department	\$ 298,117		\$ 293,693	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 444,197</b>	<b>3.89%</b>	<b>\$ 432,047</b>	<b>4.18%</b>
<b>Gila County</b>				
Gila County Attorney	\$ 67,727		\$ 63,818	
Gila County Sheriff	\$ 344,288		\$ 340,146	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 412,015</b>	<b>3.61%</b>	<b>\$ 403,964</b>	<b>3.91%</b>
<b>Graham County</b>				
Graham County Attorney	\$ 54,284		\$ 51,315	
Graham County Sheriff	\$ 29,152		\$ 28,728	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 83,436</b>	<b>0.73%</b>	<b>\$ 80,043</b>	<b>0.77%</b>
<b>Greenlee County</b>				
Greenlee County Attorney	\$ 36,600		\$ 36,600	
Greenlee County Sheriff	\$ 32,560		\$ 32,200	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 69,160</b>	<b>0.61%</b>	<b>\$ 68,800</b>	<b>0.67%</b>
<b>La Paz County</b>				
La Paz County Attorney	\$ 77,435		\$ 72,250	
La Paz County Sheriff	\$ -		\$ 46,750	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 77,435</b>	<b>0.68%</b>	<b>\$ 119,000</b>	<b>1.15%</b>
<b>Maricopa County</b>				
Maricopa County Attorney	\$ 1,482,804		\$ 1,394,295	
Maricopa County Sheriff	\$ 383,560		\$ -	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,866,364</b>	<b>16.35%</b>	<b>\$ 1,394,295</b>	<b>13.49%</b>
<b>Mohave County</b>				
Mohave County Attorney	\$ 165,935		\$ 156,239	
Kingman Police Department	\$ 350,993		\$ 346,016	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 516,928</b>	<b>4.53%</b>	<b>\$ 502,255</b>	<b>4.86%</b>
<b>Navajo County</b>				
Navajo County Attorney	\$ 117,040		\$ 112,452	
Navajo County Sheriff	\$ 245,193		\$ 241,860	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 362,233</b>	<b>3.17%</b>	<b>\$ 354,312</b>	<b>3.43%</b>
<b>Pima County</b>				
Pima County Attorney	\$ 555,071		\$ 523,652	
Tucson City Prosecutor	\$ 332,171		\$ 317,352	
Tucson Police Department - Task Force	\$ 787,010		\$ 762,162	
Tucson Police Department - Crime Lab	\$ 66,896		\$ 59,471	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,741,148</b>	<b>15.25%</b>	<b>\$ 1,662,637</b>	<b>16.09%</b>
<b>Pinal County</b>				
Pinal County Attorney	\$ 198,598		\$ 190,162	
Pinal County Sheriff	\$ 151,343		\$ 148,810	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 349,941</b>	<b>3.07%</b>	<b>\$ 338,972</b>	<b>3.28%</b>
<b>Santa Cruz County</b>				
Santa Cruz County Attorney	\$ -		\$ 48,918	
Santa Cruz County Sheriff	\$ 178,696		\$ 170,534	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 178,696</b>	<b>1.57%</b>	<b>\$ 219,452</b>	<b>2.12%</b>
<b>Yavapai County</b>				
Yavapai County Attorney	\$ 134,115		\$ 128,023	
Prescott Valley Police Department	\$ 418,399		\$ 409,884	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 552,514</b>	<b>4.84%</b>	<b>\$ 537,907</b>	<b>5.20%</b>
<b>Yuma County</b>				
Yuma County Attorney	\$ 288,167		\$ 272,440	
Yuma County Sheriff	\$ 251,849		\$ 246,777	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 540,016</b>	<b>4.73%</b>	<b>\$ 519,217</b>	<b>5.02%</b>
<b>Statewide</b>				
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 2,010,309		\$ 1,705,536	
Attorney General - Forfeiture	\$ 983,862		\$ 874,481	
Attorney General - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 100,816		\$ 100,816	
Department of Public Safety - Crime Lab	\$ 482,388		\$ 399,417	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,577,375</b>	<b>31.33%</b>	<b>\$ 3,080,250</b>	<b>29.80%</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$ 11,416,738</b>		<b>\$ 10,336,292</b>	