

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING
OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
AND
AGENDA**

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** and to the general public that the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** will hold a meeting open to the public on **Thursday, May 22, 2014** beginning at **1:30 p.m.** at the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.**

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

The Commission may go into Executive Session on any of the following agenda items for the purposes of receiving legal advice pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3).

Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- I. Call to Order and Roll Call** **Chairperson Bill Montgomery**

- II. Minutes of the March 20, 2014 Meeting**
 - Approval of Minutes **P-F-T**

- III. Executive Director's Report** **John A. Blackburn, Jr.**
 - A. Staff and Program Updates** **Info**
 - B. Budget Update** **Info**
 - C. Legislative Update** **Info**
 - D. Drug Enforcement Account (DEA) Audit** **Info**

- IV. Crime Victim Compensation Program** **Larry Grubbs**
 - Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the following:
 - A. FY15 Designation of Operational Units** **P-F-T**
 - B. FY15 Operational Unit State & Federal Funding Allocation** **P-F-T**
 - C. Annual Restitution/Subrogation Expenditures** **P-F-T**

- V. Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program** **Larry Grubbs**
 - Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the following:
 - A. FY15 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards: Agencies eligible to serve on the ACJC** **P-F-T**
 - B. FY15 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards: Other government, and non-profit agencies** **P-F-T**

VI. Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 28 Grant Awards

Tony Vidale

- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on FY15 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control (Cycle 28) Grant Awards. **P-F-T**

VII. Call to the Public

Those wishing to address the Commission need not request permission in advance. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

VIII. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

- The next Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, July 17, 2014** at **2:00 p.m.** at Little America Hotel, Flagstaff, AZ.

IX. Adjournment

A copy of the agenda background material provided to Commission members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office.



ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 22, 2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the March 20, 2014 Meeting

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the minutes of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting held on March 20, 2014.

DISCUSSION:

N/A

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**Arizona Criminal Justice Commission
Minutes
March 20, 2014**

A public meeting of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on March 20, 2014 at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Members Present:

Bill Montgomery, Chairperson, Maricopa County Attorney
David Byers, Vice Chairperson, Director, Administrative Office of the Courts, Jerry Landau representing
Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff, Jack MacIntyre representing
Joe Brugman, Chief, Coolidge Police Department
Clarence Dupnik, Pima County Sheriff, Paul Wilson representing
Chris Gibbs, Mayor, City of Safford
Robert Halliday, Director, Department of Public Safety
Tom Horne, Attorney General, Andrew Pacheco representing
Drew John, Graham County Supervisor
Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney
Brian Livingston, Chairperson, Board of Executive Clemency
Sheila Polk, Yavapai County Attorney
William Pribil, Coconino County Sheriff, Cathy Allen representing by conference call
Charles Ryan, Director, Department of Corrections
David Sanders, Pima County Chief Probation Officer, Carl Sheets representing by conference call
Daniel G. Sharp, Chief, Oro Valley Police Department, Larry Stevens representing
Steven Sheldon, Former Judge
Mark Spencer, Law Enforcement Leader

Members Absent:

Timothy Dorn, Chief, Gilbert Police Department

Staff Participating:

John A. Blackburn, Jr., Executive Director
Matt Bileski, Research Analyst II, Statistical Analysis Center
Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer
Larry Grubbs, Program Manager
Shana Malone, Senior Research Analyst, Statistical Analysis Center
Phil Stevenson, Director, Statistical Analysis Center
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

I. Call to Order and Roll Call

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Bill Montgomery at 1:30 p.m. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present.

II. Minutes of the January 23, 2014 Meeting

Chairperson Montgomery called for a motion on the minutes. Commissioner Joe Brugman entered a motion to approve the minutes of the January 23, 2014 meeting. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Robert Halliday and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

III. Executive Director's Report

A. Staff and Program Updates

Executive Director Blackburn provided a staff and program update. Megan Armstrong was hired as a Research Analyst I in the Statistical Analysis Center replacing Irene Griffin who accepted a position in the private sector. Ms. Armstrong had been working at ACJC as an AZDHS Fellow. ACJC worked with the Department of Administration (DOA) in awarding staff a small one-time payment based on merit or a grade adjustment; the majority of the funding for this payment was derived from vacancy savings. Debby Finkel, Grant Coordinator retired from ACJC earlier and agreed to work part-time to close out grants. The grants are completed and she will be retiring at the end of the month.

Executive Director Blackburn reviewed the new standing committee assignments with Commissioners. Staff has been fully engaged in all levels of grant processing, announcing, applying and evaluating.

Matt Bileski, Research Analyst II, Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) gave a tutorial on the E-TAG Grant Management System (GMS) tool that searches both current and closed grants by program area, agency type, geographical location or fiscal year.

B. Budget Update

Executive Director Blackburn noted since the critical issue requests from ACJC were not recommended for funding in the Governor's proposal, ACJC staff continues to discuss the importance of the Records Improvement projects with legislators and stakeholders. Federal funds are being used to support both the Records Improvement and National Instant Criminal Background

Check System (NICS) projects. Staff is also working with Representative John Kavanagh to change the language of the Arizona Prevention Resource Center statute to direct funding to support the research efforts of the SAC. Presently the funding is designated to support the Arizona Youth Survey only and having the mechanism in place will allow for additional funding on all SAC projects.

C. Legislative Update

Executive Director Blackburn gave an update on federal issues. The Byrne/JAG funding level has been decreased to \$319M. There will also be a 10 percent penalty for not meeting the guidelines of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (SORNA) and a five percent penalty for non-compliance for the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) that will be applied to this year's Byrne/JAG grant allocation.

Executive Director Blackburn provided an overview of the difficulties that states are experiencing with PREA compliance. There are no trained auditors to implement a full review of the prisons, and it will take three years before the completion of any audit can be applied so Governors are not willing to sign off on the required certification. The Commission asked staff to work with the Department of Corrections (DOC) and the Governor's Office in drafting a letter to our Arizona delegation to certify the funds would be used for compliance to PREA.

Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer reported there were 1204 bills introduced in the state legislature, 739 have not been heard in committee, and 43 are pending action. ACJC also tracked 123 bills that included Title 13 – criminal code, Title 12 – courts and civil proceedings, and Title 41 – state government.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

IV. HB2322

Executive Director Blackburn discussed the NICS legislation, HB 2322 and the legislative process update. The recommendation before the Commission is to consider taking action on the NICS bill. ACJC would attempt to have a striker added to another bill dealing with the law enforcement notification portion that the Commission approved, which was removed from the current version of HB 2322.

Executive Director Blackburn stated ACJC staff worked with the National Rifle Association (NRA), the Arizona Rifle and Pistol Association, Arizona Citizen Defense League and other stakeholders on the bill. Although the bill was stripped of the language that allowed for law enforcement notification of prohibited possessors, it passed through the senate committee and will go to the senate floor. NRA and Arizona Rifle and Pistol Association are supporting the bill.

Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer presented the changes on the two versions of the bill to what the Commission requested in the provisions and what the bill does. The bill was identified with two mental health areas reported to the NICS system. The bill specifies that the courts control the mental health information which flows to the Department of Public Safety (DPS), and from DPS to NICS. The bill identified language that would allow DPS to send notification to law enforcement officers as a flag in the system so if someone was found to have a mental ruling by the court, law enforcement officers would be aware of it. The bill also would have a mechanism for law enforcement officers to increase the prohibited possessor language to include these offenses so that law enforcement would have the option to seize the weapon.

Mr. LeFevre explained that Representative Justin Pierce as well as the other stakeholders were uncomfortable with the extension of the prohibited possessor language and the law enforcement notification. The compromise to the bill was to report the mental health information to the NICS system. Currently the bill has passed through the senate public safety committee and is close to the end of the process.

Executive Director Blackburn also stated as far as the law enforcement notification in locating a striker, staff could ask that the flag system be put in place with the same provision for law enforcement to run a query that shows the identifier of a prohibited possessor.

The Commission discussed passing the bill on what has been signed off by the stakeholders, see how the data collection and transmission will work and add the law enforcement notification in the next legislative session. There was a consideration on keeping the NICS bill as it stands, contacting the stakeholders about the potential of a striker for adding the push notification for law enforcement and keeping the two items separate.

Chairperson Montgomery summarized the action the Commission reviewed, to provide guidance on pursuing a striker for the law enforcement notification with direction to reach out to the stakeholders first to determine their agreement.

After discussion, Commissioner Brian Livingston entered a motion directing ACJC staff to contact stakeholders to determine whether or not a striker would permit law enforcement notification for the mental health adjudication mechanisms so Title 36, Rule 11, Title 14 would be the source and information provided to law enforcement to identify. The motion was seconded by Designee Andrew Pacheco and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

V. FY14 Crime Victim Compensation Funding Reallocation

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager presented the recommendation of the Crime Victims Committee that available crime victim compensation funds for the current FY14 be reallocated to the operational units in accordance with Table VS-1 on page 13 of the agenda.

Mr. Grubbs reported the purpose of the reallocation is to allocate compensation funding to those counties with high enough demand to expend additional compensation funds by the end of the fiscal year. Gila, Mohave and Pima counties submitted requests for reallocation in the amount of \$70,000.

Mr. Grubbs stated that the three counties experienced unusually high compensation benefit requests during the first half of FY14. Gila County had two violent victimizations that resulted in high medical expenses, Pima County received a backlog of medicals claims from a medical provider for processing, and Mohave County received compensation claims related to thirteen homicides during the first six months of the fiscal year.

Mr. Grubbs identified the two funding options and how they could be used to cover the reallocation amount. First, after the final reimbursement requests are submitted for FY14 in July, staff would reallocate any unspent state funds remaining to cover the requested reallocation amounts. The allocation adjustment will be less than or equal to the allocation adjustment total amount in Table VS-1 at \$70,000. Second, if the unexpended balance of county allocations does not cover the total requested re-allocation amount, the \$50,000 reserve included in the FY14 total crime victim compensation program size will be used to cover the shortfall.

Designee Paul Wilson entered a motion to approve the reallocation of available compensation funds for the current FY14 to the three counties using the fund sources identified in accordance with Table VS-1 on page 13 of the agenda. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Barbara LaWall and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

VI. FY15 Crime Victim Compensation Program

A. State & Federal Program Allocation Amount

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager presented the recommendation from the Crime Victims Committee that the total state and federal allocated funding for the FY15 Crime Victim Compensation Program be set at \$3,942,500 including \$2,542,500 in state compensation funds and \$1,400,000 in federal VOCA compensation funds. The recommendation mirrors the same funding level as FY14.

Mr. Grubbs addressed how the decreased funding balance from one year to the next was a concern last year for the Commission. Staff is addressing the decreasing fund balance with several initiatives at the program level to reduce overall expenditures. The Affordable Care Act and increased Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS) enrollment is projected to have a significant impact on medical expenditures. However, at this point it is difficult to predict the degree of statewide impact.

Mr. Grubbs stated staff is working with the county victim compensation programs to increase restitution collections, and staff is working with county programs to establish a rate schedule for medical and funeral expenses.

Commissioner Chris Gibbs entered a motion to approve the total funding for the FY15 Crime Victim Compensation Program be set at \$3,942,500 and expended in accordance with the budget on page 15 of the agenda. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Barbara LaWall and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

B. Program Administrative Allocation

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager presented the recommendation from the Crime Victims Committee to increase the total state administrative funding from \$450,500 to \$493,100. Since 2007, the county compensation program administrative workload has increased as a result of rule changes implemented in 2008 and 2013 requiring additional investigation and substantiation of compensation claims. The additional allocation of administrative funds would go to counties based on a claim processing workload analysis and county level personnel costs.

Mr. Grubbs directed the Commission to Table VS-3 on page 18 of the agenda and explained that staff considered the following factors in developing the administrative allocation amounts: 1) attempt to fully fund county costs for claim processing activities; 2) the claim processing efforts calculated against actual personnel costs submitted; 3) programs where current administrative allocation is over the amount calculated for claim processing activities will not experience a reduction in support; 4) maintain an annual administrative percentage of state compensation funds below 20 percent, and 5) increases were limited to 10 percent of current county administration allocations.

Commissioner Barbara LaWall entered a motion to approve the total state crime victim compensation program administrative funds be set at \$493,100 and allocated by county as listed in Table VS-3 of the agenda effective starting FY 2015. The motion was seconded by Designee Paul Wilson and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

C. Revised Administrative Expenditure Policy

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager presented the recommendation from the Crime Victims Committee to modify the administrative funds policy for the crime victim compensation program effective FY 2015. The proposed changes are a result of staff analysis and feedback from the county programs gathered at site visits, quarterly meetings and the results from the survey administrated in July 2013.

Mr. Grubbs noted the suggested changes to the Crime Victim Compensation Program Administrative Funds Policy on page 20 of the agenda. In the first paragraph, **administrative expenses are limited to a set amount of approved by the Commission**, the keyword **annually** was removed from the language. The other noted changes were to allow salary and **employee related expenses**, remove the activities specific language of **claims and completing Crime Victim Compensation reports**, remove specific language of **automated data processing** and leave the keyword **equipment**, remove **office** and leave the keyword **supplies**, and add the language **food and drink costs are not permitted**.

Mr. Grubbs pointed out the two specific changes in the administrative expenditure policy include allowing **program outreach** as an administrative cost, and the clarification and broadening of the amount of administrative funds that can be used for travel for purposes of training for board members and program staff.

Mr. Grubbs explained the existing language was restrictive in stating that training could only be used for the Crime Victim Compensation National Conference and that there are other related trainings throughout the year that can be beneficial such as the National Organization for Victim Assistance (NOVA) conference or the Arizona Victim Assistance Academy.

The Commission had questions on the conflicting changes to the specific language, specifically to **claims and completion of reports** section being removed from the administrative funds policy, where earlier part of the program administrative allocation was approved for the claim processing.

Mr. Grubbs explained the modification of the administrative funds policy was to allow flexibility to support the other activities such as training and outreach whereas the current language limited the processing of claims and completing reports only.

Designee Andrew Pacheco entered a motion to approve the state crime victim compensation program administrative funds be spent in accordance with the revised Administrative Funds Policy included in the agenda that will be effective for FY 2015. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Robert Halliday and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

VII. Prescription Drug Misuse and Abuse Initiative

Phil Stevenson, Director, Statistical Analysis Center introduced the results of the first 24-26 months of activities of the Arizona Prescription Drug Misuse and Abuse Initiative of the implementation sites. Mr. Stevenson acknowledged the commitment of partners in Yavapai, Pinal, Graham, Greenlee, and Mohave counties and the core group of individuals and governmental agencies at the state level that include Jeanne Blackburn, Tammy Paz-Combs from the Governor’s Office of Children, Youth and Families, Dean Wright from the Arizona Board of Pharmacy, Richard Rosky from the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA), Shelly Mowrey, Drug Free Arizona, Karen Ziegler and Executive Director Blackburn, ACJC. The Arizona Department of Health Services received a grant from the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration in the amount of \$8M to address underage drinking in the community and college campuses as well as the prescription drug misuse and abuse. The funds will be used to support the implementation of the model that has been developed at ACJC for eight of the fifteen counties.

Shana, Malone, Senior Research Analyst presented the results of the Arizona Prescription Drug Misuse and Abuse Initiative.

Ms. Malone gave a conceptual framework on the expected increases and decreases of the supply and demand of the prescription drug epidemic. One change is to encourage registration and use of the prescription drug monitoring program (PDMP) by prescribers and pharmacists and to change the public perception that the drugs are socially accepted and perceived as low risk. In finding a solution a multi-systematic approach is being used for medical/treatment, law enforcement and prevention. The strategies include: 1) reducing the diversion of Prescription drugs; 2) promote responsible prescribing and dispensing policies and practices; 3) enhancing prescription drug practice and policies in law enforcement; 4) increase public awareness and patient education about prescription drug misuse; 5) enhance assessment and referral to treatment.

Ms. Malone presented examples of the public safety announcements (PSA) for the PDMP sign up and Safe Storage and Disposal ads in raising the awareness of the campaign.

Ms. Malone also highlighted the preliminary evaluation results of the initiative. On the evaluation side, 37 drop boxes in the initiative sites include collecting over 7,000 pounds of unused/unneeded drugs, 1,400 prescribers are receiving quarterly report cards, and 237 law enforcement officers have received the drug crimes curriculum. On the supply side, prescriber PDMP sign up has increased 71 percent in initiative counties, PDMP use has increased 27 percent on average, number of prescriptions and pills have decreased 10 percent on average, and the percentage of outliers has decreased. On the demand side, the public awareness of the drug problem has increased. On the law enforcement side, the PDMP signup has increased 140 percent in the initiative counties.

Ms. Malone revealed new stories on prescription drug arrests and operations around the pilot sites that involved Yavapai, Graham, Pinal, and Mohave counties. The next steps include a diversion crimes training at the HIDTA training center in June and a push for increasing drug drop boxes across the state.

This agenda item was presented for information purposes and did not require Commission action.

VIII. Call to the Public

Chairperson Montgomery made a call to the public. No members of the audience addressed the Commission.

IX. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

The next Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, May 22, 2014** at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

X. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 3:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

Audio recording is available upon request.

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 22, 2014	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION:

Information Only

DISCUSSION:

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on the staff and staff activities.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

N/A

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 22, 2014	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION:

Information Only

DISCUSSION:

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on the state and federal budget.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

N/A

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 22, 2014	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION:

Information Only

DISCUSSION:

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on legislative issues.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

N/A

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 22, 2014	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION:

Information Only

DISCUSSION:

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on the DEA audit.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

N/A

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 22, 2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY15 Designation of Victim Compensation Operational Units

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Larry Grubbs, Program Manager
Crime Victims Services

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the designation of the 15 County Attorney's Offices as operational units for the FY15 Crime Victim Compensation Program.

DISCUSSION:

The Commission is required to annually designate one operational unit (OU) for each county, to receive an allocation from state and/or federal compensation fund sources, and to administer the Crime Victim Compensation Program for that county. In accordance with compensation program rule R10-4-104(A) all 15 County Attorney's Offices submitted a request in writing to be designated operational units for FY15. Staff proposes continuing the current designation of the County Attorney's Offices as operational units for FY15.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Administration of \$3,942,500 in Crime Victim Compensation funds for FY15.

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 22, 2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY15 Operational Unit State & Federal Funding Allocation

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Larry Grubbs, Program Manager
Crime Victims Services

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the allocation of \$3,942,500 in state and federal crime victim compensation funds, as proposed in table VS-1 of the agenda, to operational units (OU) for the FY15 Crime Victim Compensation Program.

DISCUSSION:

Table VS-1 reflects the dollar amounts proposed for allocation to each operational unit for the 15 counties. The allocation formula approved at the March 2013 Commission meeting includes the following process steps:

- o \$50,000 of the state funds retained in emergency reserve (R10-4-102.D);
- o Five percent of most recent federal VOCA award allocated to ACJC for administrative costs;
- o Fixed administrative allocation of state funds to each OU (R10-4-102.I);
- o A base allotment of \$25,000 to each OU (R10-4-102.C.1);
- o A 60% reimbursement to OUs spending other local funds on compensation benefits during the previous fiscal year (R10-4-102.H);
- o The remaining balance is distributed as follows:
 - 50% based on prior years' program benefit expenditure average (R10-4-102.C.2);
 - 30% based on average share of crime (R10-4-102.C.4);
 - 20% based on population (R10-4-102.C.3).

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Distribution of \$3,942,500 in Crime Victim Compensation funds to county compensation programs in FY15.

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

TABLE VS-1

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION PROGRAM FY 2015 CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION ALLOCATION \$3,942,500 TOTAL STATE AND FEDERAL FUNDING					
COUNTY	FIXED ADMIN. ALLOCATION	AVAILABLE FOR COMP BENEFITS	FINAL ALLOCATION TOTAL	% OF TOTAL ALLOCATION	3 YR AVG TOTAL EXPENDITURES ⁺
APACHE	\$ 7,920	\$ 57,858	\$ 65,778	1.69%	\$ 58,489
COCHISE	11,400	70,075	81,475	2.09%	50,141
COCONINO	12,540	117,594	130,134	3.34%	155,402
GILA	5,900	64,577	70,477	1.81%	71,690
GRAHAM	4,600	42,904	47,504	1.22%	32,763
GREENLEE	2,600	31,166	33,766	0.87%	13,530
LA PAZ	3,850	44,002	47,852	1.23%	36,303
MARICOPA	281,380	1,683,145	1,964,525	50.47%	1,966,669
MOHAVE	16,500	153,380	169,880	4.36%	208,192
NAVAJO	10,780	80,830	91,610	2.35%	95,765
PIMA	76,010	581,571	657,581	16.89%	706,805
PINAL	19,580	163,401	182,981	4.70%	158,150
SANTA CRUZ	5,610	41,622	47,232	1.21%	22,138
YAVAPAI	17,820	129,043	146,863	3.77%	167,625
YUMA	16,610	138,232	154,842	3.98%	183,631
TOTAL	\$ 493,100	\$ 3,399,400	\$ 3,892,500	100.00%	\$ 3,927,293
		RECOMMENDED FUNDING	LESS ACJC VOCA ADMIN*	LESS STATE RESERVE**	PROGRM ALLOCATION
		STATE COMPENSATION FUNDS	\$ 2,542,500	\$ -	\$ 2,492,500
		FEDERAL COMPENSATION FUNDS	1,450,500	50,500	1,400,000
				TOTAL	\$ 3,892,500
<i>*ACJC admin equals 5% of FFY2013 VOCA award</i>					
<i>**\$50,000 held in reserve as required by Program Rule R10-4-102.D</i>					
<i>+Source for County Expenditure Activity: ACJC Quarterly Compensation Activity Reports (4-1-2011 to 3-31-2014)</i>					

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 22, 2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Annual Restitution/ Subrogation Expenditures

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Larry Grubbs, Program Manager
Crime Victims Services

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve that beginning in FY15 operational unit restitution and subrogation fund balances exceeding a one-year reserve amount, be spent on compensation benefits by the operational unit during the current fiscal year.

DISCUSSION:

Expenditure of available restitution and subrogation funds is an increasingly important part of the overall statewide expenditure plan for the compensation program. ACJC program staff proposes the following process to manage the annual expenditure of restitution and subrogation funds:

- In September of each year identify county programs with a total restitution and subrogation fund balance exceeding the reserve amount. The reserve amount equals the county's three-year annual expenditure average, calculated using county program revenue and expenditure data submitted quarterly.
- Require that county programs with an amount greater than the one-year reserve, spend the overage on compensation benefits during the current fiscal year.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Operational units collect an average of \$350,000 in restitution and subrogation funds each year.

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 22, 2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY15 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards: Government Agencies eligible to serve on the ACJC

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Larry Grubbs, Program Manager
Crime Victims Services

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the awarding of FY15 Crime Victim Assistance grant funds, as indicated in table VS-2 of the agenda, to criminal justice government agencies eligible to serve on the Commission.

DISCUSSION:

A.R.S. § 41-2407 (B) states that no more than 50% of Crime Victim Assistance Funds can be allocated to criminal justice government agencies eligible to serve as a member of the Commission. These agencies are specified in A.R.S. § 41-2404 (A) and (B). The maximum amount available for distribution to the seventeen applicants in this category is \$625,000 for FY15.

The funding proposal in table VS-2 reflects the evaluation criteria and priorities approved by the Commission. A narrative is provided following this agenda item detailing the victim assistance grant program, the evaluation process, and proposed funding for FY15.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Distribution of up to \$625,000 in Crime Victim Assistance grant funds to approved agencies for FY15.

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program FY 2015 Funding Recommendation

The Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program is established to provide grant awards to support direct services to crime victims in the State of Arizona. At the meeting in January 2014 the Commission approved a program size up to \$1,250,000 in victim assistance grant awards for FY15. At this time the total program funding level has been approved by the legislature.

Applications Received

On February 3, 2014, the FY15 Crime Victim Assistance Program grant application period opened. The deadline for submission of applications was Friday, March 14, 2014 at 3:00 p.m. Fifty applications were submitted by the deadline, requesting a total of \$1,973,688. Of this amount, the 17 criminal justice government agencies eligible to serve as a member of the Commission requested \$908,579; with 33 non-profit and other government programs requested \$1,039,704. The total amount of funds requested exceed the \$1,250,000 approved by the Commission.

Evaluation and Scoring

Grant applications were evaluated pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-2702. All applications received were reviewed and scored by three individuals including ACJC staff and outside evaluators. Scoring was based on the Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program rules, criteria, and priorities approved by the Commission.

Funding Priorities

In November 2011 the Commission approved the following as funding priorities for the Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program:

Crime Victim Assistance Funding Priorities	
1	Continue funding from one grant period to the next for programs with a strong performance history, who meet the application requirements.
2	Funding programs that demonstrate a strong collaborative effort with law enforcement, prosecution, service providers, community organizations, and other social service agencies.
3	Funding programs that provide multiple service types to a diverse range of victimization types.
4	Funding programs that demonstrate strong support of established goals and objectives and clearly identify how the success of the program will be measured.
5	Funding at least one program in each county in the State provided that the program meets eligibility requirements and the minimum required application score.
6	Funding programs operating in counties with the highest crime per capita rate based on the latest Uniform Crime Report.
7	Funding programs that demonstrate a strong component of assisting crime victims in filing victim compensation claims.
8	Annually providing \$20,000 directly to the Arizona Victim Assistance Academy.
9	Funding programs providing services to address an emerging victim issue, or to an underserved victim population, as designated annually by the ACJC.*

* At the January 2014 meeting, the Commission chose not to designate an emerging victim issue or underserved victim population as a funding priority for FY15.

FY 2015 Funding Recommendation

Forty-seven victim service projects are currently funded for FY14. The FY15 funding allocation recommends continued funding for 46 of 47 current grantees. The recommendation does not include continued funding of the victim service project at Wingspan in Pima County. The application submitted did not fulfill the minimum application requirements, including an acceptable total score, resulting in critical concerns from the three person review team and ACJC program staff.

For the applications recommended for funding, all associated budget requests were analyzed by staff. Funding allocations were made based on the needs of the applicant program, and how funding increased the ability of the Victim Assistance Grant Program to meet the established priorities. In addition to the funding priorities, the following guidelines were used by staff to develop the funding recommendation:

- Fund personnel services and ERE before considering any other budget category;
- Prioritize funding for positions providing direct services to victims of crime;
- Fund one position and the associated ERE at costs reported by the applicant agency;
- Allocate no more than \$625,000 to applicant agencies eligible to serve as members of the ACJC (A.R.S. § 41-2407.B).

The recommendation to no longer fund the victim service project at Wingspan results in the availability of \$25,000 in victim assistance grant funds. Additionally, the City of Chandler Police Department submitted a funding request for FY15 that is \$7,943 less than the agency's current FY14 award. These sources combined total \$32,943 in available victim assistance funding for FY15. Staff recommends allocating the available \$32,943 to the following for FY15:

- Increase the annual amount of priority funding for the Arizona Victim Assistance Academy (AVAA) from \$20,000 to \$25,000 beginning in FY15. The AVAA continues to be the only statewide victim assistance academy that provides basic comprehensive and standardized training on victim issues and resources in Arizona. The requested increase would fund the salary and employee related expenses of the part-time academy director.
- Provide funding for one agency not currently receiving a grant award from the victim assistance program. This new program is the City of Maricopa in Pinal County. This recommendation is based on the content of the application submitted and project's alignment with the requirements of the grant program.
- Increase funding to Verde Valley Sanctuary in Yavapai County. This agency submitted one of the top performing applications among currently funded programs.

Two additional new grant requests were not recommended for funding. Those agencies are City of Surprise and Page Regional Domestic Violence Services. Both of these programs submitted quality applications; however, funding these programs was not recommended due to the limited amount of available funds.

Table VS-2 displays the staff recommendation for funding allocations to criminal justice government programs eligible to serve on the Commission, non-profit programs, and other government programs. The table summarizes the FY14 grant awards, FY15 grant requests, and proposed FY15 awards for all applicant programs.

TABLE VS-2 Summary of FY 2015 Grant Requests & Proposed Awards

APPLICANT AGENCY	FY14 Grant Awards	FY15 Grant Request	FY15 Proposed Grant Awards
ARIZONA VICTIM ASSISTANCE ACADEMY			
Arizona Coalition of Victim Services	\$ 20,000	\$ 25,405	\$ 25,000
COMMISSION ELIGIBLE CRIMINAL JUSTICE GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS			
Apache County Attorney's Office	\$ 23,339	\$ 40,320	\$ 23,339
Arizona Attorney General's Office	57,237	115,276	57,237
Chandler Police Department	27,467	19,524	19,524
City of Maricopa	-	25,641	20,000
Cochise County Attorney's Office	21,044	41,803	21,044
Gila County Attorney's Office	17,600	24,062	17,600
Graham County Attorney's Office	22,362	35,929	22,362
Greenlee County Attorney's Office	13,894	21,567	13,894
La Paz County Attorney's Office	25,432	24,959	25,432
Maricopa County Attorney's Office	104,400	133,745	104,400
Mohave County Attorney's Office	28,447	28,447	28,447
Navajo County Attorney's Office	32,295	91,420	32,295
Peoria Police Department	17,913	55,978	17,913
Pima County Attorney's Office	106,900	134,882	106,900
Pinal County Attorney's Office	27,445	28,141	27,445
Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office	20,216	46,068	20,216
Yuma County Attorney's Office	38,508	40,817	38,508
Sub-Total	\$ 584,499	\$ 908,579	\$ 596,556
NON-PROFIT AND OTHER GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS			
A New Leaf, Inc.	\$ 41,600	\$ 51,532	\$ 41,600
Against Abuse, Inc.	21,500	34,391	21,500
Ama Doo Alchini Bighan, Inc. (ADABI)	13,253	31,448	13,253
Arizona's Children Association	12,898	13,274	12,898
Arizona's Children Association (SACASA)	25,638	27,332	25,638
AZ Coalition to End Sexual and Domestic Violence	18,742	51,030	18,742
Bullhead City Attorney's Office	15,217	16,206	15,217
Casa Grande City Attorney's Office	19,785	40,928	19,785
Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc.	17,338	32,339	17,338
Childhelp	12,308	15,480	12,308
Chrysalis Shelter For Victims of Domestic Violence	17,000	19,000	17,000
City of Surprise	-	18,028	-
Colorado River Regional Crisis Shelter, Inc.	21,353	30,828	21,353
Emerge Center Against Domestic Abuse	46,100	71,652	46,100
EMPACT-Suicide Prevention Center	22,662	45,972	22,662
Haven Family Resource Center	15,673	15,674	15,673
Homicide Survivors, Inc.	28,700	33,841	28,700
Jewish Family and Children's Service	15,629	15,629	15,629
Kingman Aid To Abused People, Inc.	11,600	11,600	11,600
Mesa City Prosecutor's Office	24,500	57,636	24,500
Mt. Graham Safe House, Inc.	15,375	32,404	15,375
Northland Family Help Center	20,000	20,002	20,000
Page Regional Domestic Violence Services	-	-	-
Phoenix City Fire Department	17,568	35,134	17,568
Phoenix City Prosecutor's Office	32,420	39,189	32,420
Sojourner Center	21,600	32,547	21,600
Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center	21,158	35,000	21,158
Tempe City Social Services - Care 7	27,349	59,595	27,349
Time Out, Inc.	16,120	26,017	16,120
Verde Valley Sanctuary	17,415	31,484	25,358
Victim/Witness Services For Coconino County	30,000	37,967	30,000
Wingspan	25,000	25,143	-
Sub-Total	\$ 665,501	\$ 1,033,707	\$ 653,444
TOTAL	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,942,286	\$ 1,250,000

TABLE VS-3

Funding Distribution by Victimization Type	Number of Awards	% of Awards	\$ Amount Awarded	% of \$ Awarded
Multiple Victimization Types	24	51%	763,395	61%
Domestic Violence	16	34%	338,241	27%
Sexual Assault	1	2%	25,638	2%
Child Abuse	3	6%	46,364	4%
Other Specialized	3	6%	76,362	6%
TOTAL	47	100%	\$1,250,000	100%

Funding Distribution by Primary Service Area	Number of Awards	% of Awards	\$ Amount Awarded	% of \$ Awarded
State Wide	3	6%	100,979	8%
Apache County	2	4%	36,592	3%
Cochise County	1	2%	21,044	2%
Coconino County	2	4%	50,000	4%
Gila County	2	4%	33,720	3%
Graham County	2	4%	37,737	3%
Greenlee County	1	2%	13,894	1%
La Paz County	2	4%	46,785	4%
Maricopa County	14	30%	391,811	31%
Mohave County	4	9%	70,937	6%
Navajo County	1	2%	32,295	3%
Pima County	6	13%	241,394	19%
Pinal County	4	9%	88,730	7%
Santa Cruz County	1	2%	20,216	2%
Yavapai County	1	2%	25,358	2%
Yuma County	1	2%	38,508	3%
TOTAL	47	100%	\$1,250,000	100%

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 22, 2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY15 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards: Other government, and non-profit agencies

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Larry Grubbs, Program Manager
Crime Victims Services

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the awarding of FY15 Crime Victim Assistance grant funds, as indicated in table VS-2, to non-profit agencies and other government agencies.

DISCUSSION:

(See item V-A)

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Distribution of up to \$625,000 in Crime Victim Assistance grant funds to approved non-profit agencies and other government agencies for FY15.

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 22, 2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 28 Grant Awards

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager
Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the award of the Arizona Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Cycle 28 grant funds to eligible criminal justice agencies for the period beginning July 1, 2014 and ending June 30, 2015, except the Attorney General's Office Medicaid Fraud project, which will be funded from October 1, 2014 and end September 30, 2015.

DISCUSSION:

See attached

The Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant to recipient agencies

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

Program Background

The Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Program (DC) allows state, county, local and tribal governments to support activities that combat drugs, gangs, and violent crime. The DC program provides funding to support the components of a statewide, system-wide enhanced drug, gang, and violent crime control program as stated in the *2012-2015 Arizona Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Strategy*. The strategy includes the following two goals for the foundation and direction of the program:

- *Curtail the flow of illicit drugs, drug proceeds and instruments used to perpetuate violence across Arizona.*
- *Reduce violent crime and illicit drug use and deter repeat offenders in Arizona.*

The strategy also outlines purpose areas as a guide to funding projects meant to address the drug, gang, and violent crime problem in the state. These purpose areas are as follows:

- Apprehension
- Prosecution
- Forensic Support Services
- Adjudication & Sentencing
- Corrections & Community Corrections
- Substance Abuse Treatment for Corrections-Involved Individuals
- Prevention and Education

In FY 2014, grant awards were made in the amount of \$10.3 million. These funds were awarded to projects addressing apprehension of drug offenders, prosecution of drug offenses, statewide forfeiture activities, forensic support of task forces, and drug adjudication projects. Table ***DC 1*** on page 26 shows a summary of the FY 2014 grant awards, the FY 2015 grant requests made by applicants, and the FY 2015 proposed projects.

Funding

The program is supported by several funding streams to successfully carry out the statewide strategy. The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne/JAG) funds awarded to Arizona by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance (DOJ/BJA) continue to support program activities along with state Drug and Gang Enforcement Account (DEA) funds established under A.R.S. §41-2402, and matching funds when approved by the Commission. At its January 2014 meeting, the Commission approved implementing a match requirement of 25 percent for the FY 2015 grant in response to reductions in overall program revenue.

In FY 2014, \$10.3 million was allocated to grant projects. This amount was comprised of \$4 million in Byrne/JAG funds, \$4.2 million in DEA funds, and \$2 million in matching funds.

For FY 2015, staff is proposing a program size of \$10,307,537. Of this amount, \$3,211,105 is from the federal Byrne/JAG grant, \$4,334,516 is from the state DEA fund, \$210,234 is from unspent program income funds and \$2,551,682 is from matching funds. The unspent program income was from a previous grantee that chose to return the funds to ACJC. The FY 2015 program size represents a decrease of \$(28,755) from the FY 2014 program size. Table **DC 2** summarizes the change in program size, by fund source, for FY 2014 and FY 2015.

DC 2 Program Size FY 2014 – FY 2015			
	FY 2014	FY 2015 (Recommended)	Change
Program Size	\$ 10,336,292	\$ 10,307,537	\$ (28,755)
Fund Source:			
Byrne/JAG	\$ 4,044,682	\$ 3,211,105	\$ (833,577)
DEA Fund	\$ 4,244,516	\$ 4,334,516	\$ 90,000
Prog. Income	\$0	\$210,234	\$210,234
Match Funds	\$ 2,047,094	\$ 2,551,682	\$ 504,588
Total	\$ 10,336,292	\$ 10,307,537	\$ (28,755)

Applications Received

The solicitation for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program opened February 24, 2014 and closed March 21, 2014. Thirty-five applications were received requesting a total of \$14,462,570. Of the 35 applications, 14 were apprehension projects (Tier 1), 17 were prosecution projects (Tier 1), one was a statewide forfeiture project (Tier 1), two were forensic support projects (Tier 2), and one was a drug adjudication project (Tier 2). Table **DC 3** on page 27 displays the grant request for each eligible applicant broken out by priority area and expenditure type.

Evaluation and Scoring

Eligible applications were reviewed by an evaluation team consisting of ACJC staff and outside evaluators. The projects were scored based on the criteria published in the solicitation with an emphasis on the goals of the statewide strategy. In addition, the solicitation emphasized that personnel expenditures would be prioritized in the budget recommendation allocation.

Recommendation

Staff recommends the funding allocation according to table **DC 4** on page 28. Under the proposal, \$3,439,148 would be allocated to tier one apprehension projects, \$3,818,100 for tier one prosecution projects, \$869,400 for a tier one statewide forfeiture project, \$503,343 for tier two forensic support projects and \$1,677,546 for tier two drug adjudication projects. The total grant project amount is comprised of \$7,755,855 in grant funds and \$2,551,682 in match funds. All of the funding would support personnel costs for grant projects, allocating \$7,028,976 in personal services, \$2,851,386 in employee related expenditures, and \$427,176 in overtime expenditures.

For applicants scored as eligible, all expenditure types were analyzed by staff and allocated based on the needs and best interests of the grant program in meeting the statewide strategy. The following guidelines were used by staff to build the recommendation:

- Prioritize previously funded projects that demonstrate effectiveness.
- Maintain as much balance funding as possible between tier one apprehension and prosecution projects.
- Fund at least one tier one apprehension project and prosecution project in each county.
- Provide some level of funding to tier two projects impacted by apprehension and prosecution activities.
- Prioritize funding core operations positions. Core operations positions are those considered most critical in meeting the purposes of the strategy (i.e. task force officers, attorneys, criminalists).
- Fund a position and the associated ERE at the current costs as reported by the agency in the application.
- As a priority, fund personal services, ERE, and overtime before considering any other budget category.
- Avoid funding multiple support-type positions for any project until core position needs are met.

The recommendation for the Attorney General's Office Medicaid Fraud project would require the grant period to run from October 1, 2014 to September 30, 2015. This project would provide matching funds for a federal grant and the grant period needs to run concurrent to the federal fiscal year. All other projects would have a grant period of July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015.

Table **DC 5** on page 29 shows the FY 2014 awards and the FY 2015 recommended awards, broken out by county.

DC 1 Summary of FY 2015 Grant Requests & Proposed Awards				
APPLICANT AGENCY	FY14 Grant Awards	FY15 Grant Request	FY15 Proposed Grant Awards	Distribution % (A.R.S. § 41-2402)
Tier 1 Apprehension				
Apache County SO	\$ 239,496	\$ 443,414	\$ 236,316	
Cochise County SO	132,839	196,532	131,197	
Flagstaff PD	293,693	362,272	291,660	
Gila County SO	340,146	416,906	336,917	
Graham County SO	28,728	35,012	28,429	
Greenlee County SO	32,200	72,000	32,000	
Kingman PD	346,016	368,293	345,254	
La Paz County SO	-	320,351	67,914	
Navajo County SO	241,860	423,667	241,425	
Pinal County SO	148,810	166,932	147,520	
Prescott Valley PD	409,884	560,850	408,756	
Santa Cruz County SO	170,534	170,540	169,526	
Tucson PD	762,162	1,137,049	756,637	
Yuma SO	246,777	324,538	245,597	
Sub-Total	\$ 3,393,144	\$ 4,998,356	\$ 3,439,148	33.4%
Tier 1 Prosecution				
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 100,816	\$ 163,754	\$ 100,816	
Apache County Attorney	91,849	103,249	90,469	
Cochise County Attorney	158,957	241,519	157,305	
Coconino County Attorney	138,354	293,939	135,988	
Gila County Attorney	63,818	74,889	63,656	
Graham County Attorney	51,315	102,376	51,188	
Greenlee County Attorney	36,600	53,056	36,600	
La Paz County Attorney	72,250	130,855	71,499	
Maricopa County Attorney	1,394,295	1,494,831	1,377,099	
Mohave County Attorney	156,239	385,096	154,171	
Navajo County Attorney	112,452	290,863	112,450	
Pima County Attorney	523,652	1,358,493	515,145	
Pinal County Attorney	190,162	270,337	189,749	
Santa Cruz County Attorney	48,918	98,028	49,014	
Tucson City Prosecutor	317,352	315,018	315,358	
Yavapai County Attorney	128,023	295,443	127,004	
Yuma County Attorney	272,440	332,026	270,589	
Sub-Total	\$ 3,857,492	\$ 6,003,772	\$ 3,818,100	37.0%
Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities				
Attorney General's Office	\$ 874,481	\$ 1,137,525	\$ 869,400	
Sub-Total	\$ 874,481	\$ 1,137,525	\$ 869,400	8.4%
Tier 2 Forensic Support				
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 446,167	\$ 560,989	\$ 445,265	
Tucson PD - Forensics	59,471	58,078	58,078	
Sub-Total	\$ 505,638	\$ 619,067	\$ 503,343	4.9%
Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections				
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,705,536	\$ 1,703,850	\$ 1,677,546	
Sub-Total	\$ 1,705,536	\$ 1,703,850	\$ 1,677,546	16.3%
TOTAL	\$ 10,336,291	\$ 14,462,570	\$ 10,307,537	100%

DC 3 FY 2015 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Requests								
Applicant Agency	Salary	Overtime	ERE	P & O Services	In-State Travel	Operating Expense	Equipment	Total FY15 Requested
Tier 1 Apprehension								
Apache County SO	\$ 236,997	\$ 12,198	\$ 194,219	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 443,414
Cochise County SO	-	176,532	-	-	-	20,000	-	196,532
Flagstaff PD	134,641	39,304	80,267	93,480	-	14,580	-	362,272
Gila County SO	260,270	-	156,636	-	-	-	-	416,906
Graham County SO	-	35,012	-	-	-	-	-	35,012
Greenlee County SO	-	72,000	-	-	-	-	-	72,000
Kingman PD	80,523	-	43,973	243,797	-	-	-	368,293
La Paz County SO	234,038	-	86,313	-	-	-	-	320,351
Navajo County SO	260,902	-	162,765	-	-	-	-	423,667
Pinal County SO	50,935	70,740	30,561	-	-	10,000	4,696	166,932
Prescott Valley PD	313,010	-	171,930	-	-	75,910	-	560,850
Santa Cruz County SO	-	108,033	-	62,507	-	-	-	170,540
Tucson PD	762,878	-	374,171	-	-	-	-	1,137,049
Yuma SO	191,636	49,662	83,240	-	-	-	-	324,538
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 2,525,830	\$ 563,481	\$ 1,384,075	\$ 399,784	\$ -	\$ 120,490	\$ 4,696	\$ 4,998,356
Tier 1 Prosecution								
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 88,671	\$ -	\$ 75,083	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 163,754
Apache County Attorney	77,882	-	25,367	-	-	-	-	103,249
Cochise County Attorney	185,233	-	56,286	-	-	-	-	241,519
Coconino County Attorney	225,057	-	68,882	-	-	-	-	293,939
Gila County Attorney	55,875	-	19,014	-	-	-	-	74,889
Graham County Attorney	76,646	-	25,730	-	-	-	-	102,376
Greenlee County Attorney	42,445	-	10,611	-	-	-	-	53,056
La Paz County Attorney	91,101	-	39,754	-	-	-	-	130,855
Maricopa County Attorney	1,139,152	-	355,679	-	-	-	-	1,494,831
Mohave County Attorney	269,298	-	115,798	-	-	-	-	385,096
Navajo County Attorney	213,234	-	75,239	-	2,390	-	-	290,863
Pima County Attorney	1,029,185	-	329,308	-	-	-	-	1,358,493
Pinal County Attorney	210,162	-	60,175	-	-	-	-	270,337
Santa Cruz County Attorney	74,000	-	24,028	-	-	-	-	98,028
Tucson City Prosecutor	210,233	-	104,785	-	-	-	-	315,018
Yavapai County Attorney	220,540	-	74,903	-	-	-	-	295,443
Yuma County Attorney	225,107	-	106,919	-	-	-	-	332,026
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 4,433,821	\$ -	\$ 1,567,561	\$ -	\$ 2,390	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,003,772
Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities								
Attorney General's Office	\$ 740,236	\$ -	\$ 362,459	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 34,830	\$ -	\$ 1,137,525
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 740,236	\$ -	\$ 362,459	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 34,830	\$ -	\$ 1,137,525
Tier 2 Forensic Support								
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 428,537	\$ -	\$ 132,452	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 560,989
Tucson PD - Forensics	40,900	-	17,178	-	-	-	-	58,078
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 469,437	\$ -	\$ 149,630	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 619,067
Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections								
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,243,479	\$ -	\$ 460,371	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,703,850
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 1,243,479	\$ -	\$ 460,371	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,703,850
TOTAL	\$ 9,412,803	\$ 563,481	\$ 3,924,096	\$ 399,784	\$ 2,390	\$ 155,320	\$ 4,696	\$ 14,462,570

DC 4 FY 2015 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Proposed Awards						
Applicant Agency	Personal Services	Overtime	ERE	Total Proposed Project	Federal & State Funds	Match Funds
Tier 1 Apprehension						
Apache County SO	\$ 138,997	\$ -	\$ 97,319	\$ 236,316	\$ 177,237	\$ 59,079
Cochise County SO	-	131,197	-	131,197	98,398	32,799
Flagstaff PD	187,573	-	104,087	291,660	218,745	72,915
Gila County SO	211,003	-	125,914	336,917	252,688	84,229
Graham County SO	-	28,429	-	28,429	21,322	7,107
Greenlee County SO	-	32,000	-	32,000	24,000	8,000
Kingman PD	210,401	-	134,853	345,254	258,940	86,314
La Paz County SO	47,466	-	20,448	67,914	50,935	16,979
Navajo County SO	155,684	-	85,741	241,425	181,069	60,356
Pinal County SO	50,935	66,024	30,561	147,520	110,640	36,880
Prescott Valley PD	261,667	-	147,089	408,756	306,567	102,189
Santa Cruz County SO	-	169,526	-	169,526	127,144	42,382
Tucson PD	499,688	-	256,949	756,637	567,478	189,159
Yuma SO	171,775	-	73,822	245,597	184,198	61,399
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 1,935,189	\$ 427,176	\$ 1,076,783	\$ 3,439,148	\$ 2,579,361	\$ 859,787
Tier 1 Prosecution						
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 58,155	\$ -	\$ 42,661	\$ 100,816	\$ 100,816	\$ -
Apache County Attorney	68,601	-	21,868	90,469	67,852	22,617
Cochise County Attorney	123,064	-	34,241	157,305	117,979	39,326
Coconino County Attorney	106,835	-	29,153	135,988	101,991	33,997
Gila County Attorney	47,494	-	16,162	63,656	47,742	15,914
Graham County Attorney	38,323	-	12,865	51,188	38,391	12,797
Greenlee County Attorney	29,284	-	7,316	36,600	27,450	9,150
La Paz County Attorney	52,075	-	19,424	71,499	53,624	17,875
Maricopa County Attorney	1,051,979	-	325,120	1,377,099	1,032,824	344,275
Mohave County Attorney	107,812	-	46,359	154,171	115,628	38,543
Navajo County Attorney	82,690	-	29,760	112,450	84,337	28,113
Pima County Attorney	411,249	-	103,896	515,145	386,359	128,786
Pinal County Attorney	149,516	-	40,233	189,749	142,312	47,437
Santa Cruz County Attorney	37,000	-	12,014	49,014	36,760	12,254
Tucson City Prosecutor	208,012	-	107,346	315,358	236,518	78,840
Yavapai County Attorney	97,620	-	29,384	127,004	95,253	31,751
Yuma County Attorney	188,231	-	82,358	270,589	202,942	67,647
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 2,857,940	\$ -	\$ 960,160	\$ 3,818,100	\$ 2,888,778	\$ 929,322
Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities						
Attorney General's Office	\$ 629,586	\$ -	\$ 239,814	\$ 869,400	\$ 652,050	\$ 217,350
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 629,586	\$ -	\$ 239,814	\$ 869,400	\$ 652,050	\$ 217,350
Tier 2 Forensic Support						
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 340,198	\$ -	\$ 105,067	\$ 445,265	\$ 333,949	\$ 111,316
Tucson PD - Forensics	40,900	-	17,178	58,078	43,558	14,520
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 381,098	\$ -	\$ 122,245	\$ 503,343	\$ 377,507	\$ 125,836
Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections						
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,225,163	\$ -	\$ 452,383	\$ 1,677,546	\$ 1,258,159	\$ 419,387
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 1,225,163	\$ -	\$ 452,383	\$ 1,677,546	\$ 1,258,159	\$ 419,387
TOTAL	\$ 7,028,976	\$ 427,176	\$ 2,851,386	\$ 10,307,537	\$ 7,755,855	\$2,551,682

DC 5 FY 2014 Awards and FY 2015 Recommended Awards by County				
County	FY 14 Approved	% Allocation	FY 15 Recommended	% Allocation
Apache County				
Apache County Attorney	\$ 91,849		\$ 90,469	
Apache County Sheriff	\$ 239,496		\$ 236,316	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 331,345	3.21%	\$ 326,785	3.17%
Cochise County				
Cochise County Attorney	\$ 158,957		\$ 157,305	
Cochise County Sheriff	\$ 132,839		\$ 131,197	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 291,796	2.82%	\$ 288,502	2.80%
Coconino County				
Coconino County Attorney	\$ 138,354		\$ 135,988	
Flagstaff Police Department	\$ 293,693		\$ 291,660	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 432,047	4.18%	\$ 427,648	4.15%
Gila County				
Gila County Attorney	\$ 63,818		\$ 63,656	
Gila County Sheriff	\$ 340,146		\$ 336,917	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 403,964	3.91%	\$ 400,573	3.89%
Graham County				
Graham County Attorney	\$ 51,315		\$ 51,188	
Graham County Sheriff	\$ 28,728		\$ 28,429	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 80,043	0.77%	\$ 79,617	0.77%
Greenlee County				
Greenlee County Attorney	\$ 36,600		\$ 36,600	
Greenlee County Sheriff	\$ 32,200		\$ 32,000	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 68,800	0.67%	\$ 68,600	0.67%
La Paz County				
La Paz County Attorney	\$ 72,250		\$ 71,499	
La Paz County Sheriff	\$ -		\$ 67,914	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 72,250	0.70%	\$ 139,413	1.35%
Maricopa County				
Maricopa County Attorney	\$ 1,394,295		\$ 1,377,099	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 1,394,295	13.49%	\$ 1,377,099	13.36%
Mohave County				
Mohave County Attorney	\$ 156,239		\$ 154,171	
Kingman Police Department	\$ 346,016		\$ 345,254	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 502,255	4.86%	\$ 499,425	4.85%
Navajo County				
Navajo County Attorney	\$ 112,452		\$ 112,450	
Navajo County Sheriff	\$ 241,860		\$ 241,425	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 354,312	3.43%	\$ 353,875	3.43%
Pima County				
Pima County Attorney	\$ 523,652		\$ 515,145	
Tucson City Prosecutor	\$ 317,352		\$ 315,358	
Tucson Police Department - Task Force	\$ 762,162		\$ 756,637	
Tucson Police Department - Crime Lab	\$ 59,471		\$ 58,078	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 1,662,636	16.09%	\$ 1,645,218	15.96%
Pinal County				
Pinal County Attorney	\$ 190,162		\$ 189,749	
Pinal County Sheriff	\$ 148,810		\$ 147,520	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 338,972	3.28%	\$ 337,269	3.27%
Santa Cruz County				
Santa Cruz County Attorney	\$ 48,918		\$ 49,014	
Santa Cruz County Sheriff	\$ 170,534		\$ 169,526	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 219,452	2.12%	\$ 218,540	2.12%
Yavapai County				
Yavapai County Attorney	\$ 128,023		\$ 127,004	
Prescott Valley Police Department	\$ 409,884		\$ 408,756	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 537,907	5.20%	\$ 535,760	5.20%
Yuma County				
Yuma County Attorney	\$ 272,440		\$ 270,589	
Yuma County Sheriff	\$ 246,777		\$ 245,597	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 519,217	5.02%	\$ 516,186	5.01%
Statewide				
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,705,536		\$ 1,677,546	
Attorney General - Forefeiture	\$ 874,481		\$ 869,400	
Attorney General - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 100,816		\$ 100,816	
Department of Public Safety - Crime Lab	\$ 446,167		\$ 445,265	
<i>Total</i>	\$ 3,127,000	30.25%	\$ 3,093,027	30.01%
Grand Total	\$ 10,336,291		\$ 10,307,537	